Widespread Associations between Behavioral Metrics and Brain Microstructure in ASD

Abbreviated Title: Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Haylee Ressa¹*, Benjamin T. Newman^{1,2}*, Zachary Jacokes³, James C.

McPartland⁴, Natalia M. Kleinhans⁵, T. Jason Druzgal², Kevin A. Pelphrey^{2,1}, &

John Darrell Van Horn^{1,3*} For the GENDAAR Research Consortium

¹Department of Psychology, University of Virginia, Gilmer Hall, Charlottesville, VA 22903

²Department of Neurology, University of Virginia, School of Medicine, Gilmer Hall, Charlottesville, VA 2290

³School of Data Science, University of Virginia, Elson Building, Charlottesville, VA 22903

⁴Yale Child Study Center and the Yale Center for Brain and Mind Health, Yale School of Medicine, Sterling Hall of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06520

⁵University of Washington Integrated Brain Imaging Center, Eunice Kennedy Shriver Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Research Center, Seattle, WA 98195

*These authors are co-first authors of this manuscript

[#]Email: <u>jdv7g@virginia.edu</u>

Words in Abstract: 250; Words in Body: 5,567; Figures: 7; Tables: 1

2

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Conflicts

James C. McPartland consults with Customer Value Partners, Bridgebio, Determined Health, Apple, and BlackThorn Therapeutics, has received research funding from Janssen Research and Development, serves on the Scientific Advisory Boards of Pastorus and Modern Clinics, and receives royalties from Guilford Press, Lambert, Oxford, and Springer.

Acknowledgements

This work was performed on behalf of the GENDAAR Consortium (NIH R01 MH100028), and we thank all of our collaborating colleagues, the study participants, and their family members. We would like to specifically acknowledge the contributions of Anna Kresse, MPH; Megha Santhosh, MHA; Désirée Lussier-Lévesque, PhD; Emily Neuhaus, PhD; Katy Ankenman, MSW; Jessica Benton, MA; and Rachel Fung, BS. The grant sponsors had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

3

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Abstract:

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by deficits in social communication and repetitive behaviors. A diagnosis of ASD is provided by a clinician following cognitive and behavioral evaluations, but there is currently no biomarker associating these metrics with neurological changes. Our lab has previously found that g-ratio, the proportion of axon width to myelin diameter, and axonal conduction velocity, which is associated with the capacity of an axon to carry information, are both decreased in ASD individuals. By associating these differences with performance on cognitive and behavioral tests, we can evaluate which tests most reveal changes in the brain. Analyzing 273 participants (148 with ASD) ages 8-to-17 (49% female) through an NIH-sponsored Autism Centers of Excellence (ACE) network (Grant#: MH100028), we observe widespread associations between behavioral and cognitive evaluations of autism and between behavioral and microstructural metrics. Analyzing data from all participants, conduction velocity but not g-ratio was significantly associated with many behavioral metrics. However, this pattern was reversed when looking solely at ASD participants. This reversal may suggest that the mechanism underlying differences between autistic and non-autistic individuals may be distinct from the mechanism underlying ASD behavioral severity. Two additional machine learning cluster analyses applied to neuroimaging data reinforce the association between neuroimaging and behavioral metrics and suggest that age-related maturation of brain metrics may drive changes in ASD behavior. By associating neuroimaging metrics with ASD, it may be possible to measure and identify individuals at high risk of ASD before behavioral tests can detect them.

Significance Statement:

This study establishes numerous relationships between multiple behavioral, language, and social metrics in ASD. Subsequently, this study is the first to then show associations between diffusion microstructure and subscales of behavioral assessments. Limited associations of these behaviors with conduction velocity may indicate that axonal diameter is a predominating factor in characterizing ASD over other metrics, such as myelination, however within ASD subjects the g-ratio is more closely related to behavioral metrics, suggesting a potential role for myelination in ASD severity. These findings suggest that some subscales and metrics more accurately capture

4

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

behaviors associated neurologically with ASD than others, including composite scores, demonstrating the potential to identify children at high risk for ASD at an earlier age.

5

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder with a prevalence of approximately 1 in 36 children (Maenner et al., 2023). ASD is characterized by deficits in social communication and atypical behaviors, particularly restrictive repetitive behaviors and interests (Lord et al., 2020). In a clinical setting, a diagnosis of ASD is made by a clinician according to criteria established by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) that describe persistent social or communication deficits, repetitive behaviors or interests, and atypical response to sensory information (*Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 2013). Recently, to counteract variability in clinical decision-making, there has been an increased emphasis on assessing performance on one or more behavioral and cognitive tests (Constantino & Charman, 2016). Several tests have been developed and normed, but the relationship between different test metrics and the neural structure underlying ASD is still unclear.

ASD and the Brain: Studies demonstrating altered functional connectivity in subjects with ASD have led to the underconnectivity theory of ASD, which postulates that ASD individuals have lower functional connectivity in frontal and posterior processing as a result of lower cortical bandwidth (Just et al., 2012). Changes in the cellular microstructure of neurons may underline these differences in cortical bandwidth. Diffusion MRI can examine microstructural axonal properties at the sub-voxel level, such as diameter and anisotropy (Afzali et al., 2021). Axonal defects may slow action potentials or reduce their efficiency. Myelin sheath thickness in cortical white matter is correlated with inner axon diameter, which is directly related to conduction velocity (Liewald et al., 2014). Furthermore, long-range connections rely on larger-diameter axons for signal transduction (Das & Gilbert, 1995; Stepanyants et al., 2009).

Diffusion Microstructure and ASD: Structural differences in the axons of ASD participants have been observed in previous diffusion studies. In particular, ASD individuals exhibited abnormal white matter microstructural patterns in the splenium of the corpus callosum (Zhao et al., 2022). Findings suggest ASD participants have lower fractional anisotropy and higher diffusivity in white matter tracts associated with behaviors commonly disrupted in ASD individuals. Fixel-based analysis of white matter tracts demonstrated that ASD individuals have lower fiber density in the splenium, corresponding with greater social impairments (Dimond et al., 2019). Alternatively, neurite orientation dispersion and density imagining (NODDI) has

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

found that ASD participants had higher extracellular free-water levels and lower neurite density. These differences were mainly present in long-range association tracts that guide ASD behaviors (Andica et al., 2021).

Conduction Velocity, G-ratio, and Extracellular Water: A recent paper (Newman et al., 2024) demonstrated architectural differences in the axonal microstructure of ASD participants. G-ratio is a proportion of axon to myelin diameter with an optimal range of around 0.6-0.7 (Rushton, 1951). As axon and myelination thickness play a role in signal transduction speed and efficiency, g-ratio is related to conduction velocity, a measure associated with an axon's capacity to carry information sensitive to myelin and axonal development differences. This study found that in ASD participants, extracellular water, g-ratio, and conduction velocity, was altered in the brains of ASD participants compared to non-ASD participants. These microstructural differences were present throughout the cortex, subcortex, and white matter skeleton. Decreases in conduction velocity and g-ratio, in particular, suggests deficits in long-range connections that rely on larger axon and myelination diameters (Newman et al., 2024).

To continue this work, here we evaluate a large cohort of autistic and non-autistic individuals from the NIH-sponsored (Grant#: MH100028) Autism Centers of Excellence (ACE) cohort. This is a large and carefully evaluated group of age, sex, and diagnosis-matched individuals. This study will assess which metrics best correlate with underlying subject neurology by comparing microstructural results across many brain regions to performance on cognitive and behavioral metrics, including assessments frequently used in diagnosing or evaluating autism. The results will inform clinical decisions regarding which tests to administer and how these tests relate to neurological differences observed in brain cellular microstructure between autistic and non-autistic individuals.

Methods:

Participants:

Two-hundred seventy-three (mean age = 154.3 months ± 35.21 S.D., age range = 96-216 months; 133 female [49%]) participants from Wave 1 of an NIH-sponsored Autism Centers of Excellence Network were included in this study. The study cohort included 148 individuals diagnosed with ASD (mean age = 150.8 months ± 34.31 S.D., 70 female [47%]) and 124

7

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

neurotypical participants (mean age = 154.3 months ± 35.21 S.D., 62 female [50%]). Data used in this study is available from the NIH National Database for Autism Research (NDAR).

TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

Behavioral and Cognitive Assessments:

Participants were administered the battery of behavioral and cognitive tests summarized in Table 1 at each participating site (Harvard University, Yale University, Seattle Children's Hospital with the University of Washington, and the University of California, Los Angeles). All participants within the ASD cohort were validated via the administration of the ADI-R and ADOS, including separate social and behavioral subcomponents, by a clinician. Participants family members were also asked about age of language acquisition. The social, behavioral, overall, and composite ADOS scores were included for ASD participants only. Participants not meeting ASD criteria in the ASD cohort were excluded from the study. Participants in the final ASD cohort were paired using age and sex-matched non-autistic participants. The behavioral tests utilized in this study are as follows:

The Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals—Fourth Edition (CELF-4) is a test given to participants ages 5 through 21 to evaluate language ability through expressive and receptive language-based subtests that measure phonology, morphology, syntax, semantics, and working memory. CELF-4 is administered by a professional, typically a speech pathologist. Language skills vary greatly among children with ASD (Salem et al., 2021). While children with ASD tend to have impairments in both expressive and receptive language skills, deficits in receptive language are usually more significant (Mody et al., 2012).

The Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functions (BRIEF) is a behavior rating scale used to screen for executive function deficits in children ages 5 to 18. Parents and teachers complete the BRIEF questionnaire and ask how the child behaves in everyday situations, particularly those that require problem-solving. Children with ASD have been found to have

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

significantly elevated BRIEF scores compared to neurotypical children, corresponding to executive function ability deficits (Blijd-Hoogewys et al., 2014).

The Repetitive Behavior Scale-Revised (RBS-R) evaluates an array of restrictive, repetitive behaviors (RRBs) an individual with ASD may exhibit. RRBs, a common presentation of ASD, are divided into subscales of stereotypic behaviors, self-injurious behaviors, compulsions, ritualized behaviors, insistence on sameness, and restricted interests (Lam & Aman, 2007). Caregivers self-report the RBS-R questionnaire. As RRBs are a hallmark of ASD, children with ASD are expected to score higher on subscales of the RBS-R.

The Adolescent/Adult Sensory Profile (AASP) measures sensory processing and individual sensory preferences that lead to behaviors in participants 11 and older. AASP is a self-reported questionnaire that scores components such as sensory sensitivity and avoidance for the five senses. Children with ASD have been found to have abnormal sensory processing behaviors that match in intensity but differ in processing patterns from neurotypical children (Crane et al., 2009).

The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) component of the Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment assesses a range of behavioral and emotional syndromes, including anxiety, depression, aggression, and defiant behavior problems. The syndromes are grouped into internally and externally focused behaviors and emotions. The CBCL questionnaire is administered to parents of children ages 6 to 18. Children with ASD have been found to have higher scores on CBCL subscales for depression, social problems, thought problems, and attention problems compared to neurotypical children (Arias et al., 2022).

The Social Responsiveness Scale version 2 (SRS-II) is a rating scale measuring behavioral associated with ASD and can be completed by raters with at least 1 month of experience with the rated individual. Different rating forms are available for age groups, including a self-report form for individuals aged 19 and up. The SRS-2 focuses on social differences and each item is responded with a 4-point Likert scale rating(Bruni, 2014; Constantino & Gruber, 2012).

The Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales – II (Vineland-II) is utilized for the assessment of social and adaptive functions, also termed social competency. Vineland-II is sometimes used as a substitute for traditional intelligence testing in situations where the participant's verbal

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

ability is inadequate or there is active psychopathology due to the shortness and ease of administration(Doll, 1935).

The Differential Ability Scales (DAS) is a cognitive battery designed to test a range of abilities with a narrower and more specific domain than general intelligence tests. The DAS is intended to provide a profile of specific cognitive strengths and weaknesses to educators in order to tailor interventions. The various subtests are altered depending on participant age and ability and subdivisions cover verbal and nonverbal domains (Elliott et al., 1990, 2007).

Statistical Analysis:

All behavioral and cognitive test results were compared to the mean microstructural values in each ROI using general linear models. All models featured sex-as-assigned at birth, participant age, full scale IQ, scanner/evaluation site, and intracranial volume as control terms. All resulting aggregate g-ratio and conduction velocity p-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini & Hochberg (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) method across all 214 ROIs. Test scales were not batch altered or z-scored for linear model testing as several tests are highly bimodally distributed in scoring by design, with non-autistic individuals frequently scoring at or near 0.

Image Acquisition:

Diffusion, T1-weighted, and T2-weighted images were acquired from each subject. Diffusion images were acquired with an isotropic voxel size of $2x2x2mm^3$, 64 non-colinear gradient directions at b=1000 s/mm², and 1 b=0, TR=7300ms, TE=74ms. T1-weighted MPRAGE images with a FOV of 176x256x256 and an isotropic voxel size of 1x1x1mm³, TE=3.3; T2-weighted images were acquired with a FOV of 128x128x34 with a voxel size of 1.5x1.5x4mm³, TE=35.

Image Data Processing:

All image data was processed per the protocol described in Newman et al. (Newman et al., 2024) to generate aggregate g-ratio and aggregate conduction velocity maps. In brief, preprocessing was performed following prior work (Newman, Dhollander, et al., 2020); diffusion images were denoised (Veraart et al., 2016), corrected for Gibbs ringing artifacts (Kellner et al.,

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

2016), and corrected for inhomogeneity fields using FSL's topup and eddy commands utilizing outlier detection and replacement (Andersson et al., 2003, 2016; Andersson & Sotiropoulos, 2016), The final preprocessed diffusion images were up-sampled to an isotropic voxel size of 1.3x1.3x1.3mm³ (Greenspan, 2009). WM, GM, and CSF tissue response functions were generated using the Dhollander algorithm (Dhollander et al., 2016), and single-shell 3-tissueconstrained spherical deconvolution were used to generate the WM fiber orientation distribution (FODs) and GM and CSF representations. 3-Tissue Constrained Spherical Deconvolution (Dhollander et al., 2017; Kelly et al., 2022; Mito et al., 2018, 2020) was used to calculate the voxel-wise maps of the fraction of signal arising from each of 3 compartments: an intracellular anisotropic, intracellular isotropic, and extracellular isotropic freely diffusing water compartment by setting the sum of all FOD coefficients equal to unity. WM-FODs were then used to create a cohort-specific template with a subset of 40 individuals counterbalanced between sex and diagnosis (D. Raffelt et al., 2012). All subject's WM-FODs were registered to this template using an affine non-linear transform warp, and then the template was registered to a b-value matched template in stereotaxic MNI space (Hsu et al., 2015; Newman, Untaroiu, et al., 2020). A fixelbased morphometry (FBM) (D. Raffelt et al., 2012; D. A. Raffelt et al., 2017) approach was used to estimate the intra-axonal cross-sectional area within each voxel to be used as an apparent axonal volume fraction (AVF). Each subject's AVF maps were then registered to MNI space using the ANTs SyN nonlinear registration technique by aligning each to the 11-year-old adolescent template developed by Richards et al. Note that a template approximately one standard deviation below the mean age of this study was used to better register the comparatively smaller younger subjects (Richards et al., 2016; Richards & Xie, 2015). T1w and T2w images were processed as described in the MICA-MNI pipeline (Cruces et al., 2022), including N4-bias correction (Avants et al., 2009), rescaling both images from 0-100, co-registration using a rigid transform, and subsequently non-linear ANTs SyN registration to the same Richards et al., template as the diffusion-based images (Avants et al., 2014; Richards et al., 2016). While there are noted shortcomings to using T1w/T2w ratio to measure myelin in white matter regions (Sandrone et al., 2023), the method has also been shown to correlate well with myelin in the cortex (Glasser & Van Essen, 2011; Sandrone et al., 2023). No calibration or adjustments were performed because g-ratio values are generally not well established in adolescents, and there is a

11

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

desire not to alter or introduce additional error to g-ratio measurements before proceeding to aggregate conduction velocity calculation.

Code Accessibility:

All work was performed using publicly available software, and additional code for calculating gratio and conduction velocity from WM FODs and T1w/T2w ratio images are available here: https://github.com/btn6sb/Conduction_Velocity.

Aggregate G-Ratio and Conduction Velocity Calculation:

Both metrics were calculated according to previously published methods (Newman et al., 2024). The aggregate g-ratio was calculated on a voxel-wise basis according to Stikov et al. and was used according to Mohammadi & Callaghan, as displayed in Equation 1(Campbell et al., 2018; Mohammadi & Callaghan, 2021; Stikov et al., 2011, 2015). As a measure of intra-axonal volume, the fiber density cross section was used as the AVF (D. Raffelt et al., 2012). As a metric of myelin density, the T1w/T2w ratio was used as the myelin volume fraction (MVF). These metrics represent the total sums of each respective compartment across the volume of the voxel and are a volume-based equivalent to the original formulation of g as the ratio of axon diameter (d) to fiber diameter (D).

$$g = \frac{d}{D} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{MVF}{MVF + AVF}}$$

(1)

Aggregate conduction velocity was calculated based on the calculations of Rushton (Rushton, 1951) and Berman et al. (Berman et al., 2019), reiterating Rushton's calculation that conduction velocity (θ) is proportional to the length of each fiber segment (1) and that this is roughly proportional to *D*, which in turn can be defined as the ratio between *d* and the g-ratio (g). Furthering the considerations of Rushton, Berman et al. show that a value proportional to conduction velocity can be calculated using axon diameter and the g-ratio as in equation 2 (Berman et al., 2019):

12

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

$$\theta \propto l \propto Dg \sqrt{-\ln(g)} \propto d \sqrt{-\ln(g)}$$

(2)

Aggregate g-ratio and conduction velocity were averaged across 214 ROIs from the JHU-ICBM WM atlas (48 ROIs) (Mori et al., 2005) and the Destrieux Cortical Atlas (164 ROIs) (Destrieux et al., 2010). Additionally, two composite ROIs were included, one of all 48 JHU ROIs and one of 150 neocortical regions from the Destrieux Atlas.

Cluster Analyses:

To further investigate the relationship between the behavioral and cognitive metrics and brain microstructure beyond the ROI level, we employed two separate machine learning cluster analysis techniques in an exploratory manner. These clustering techniques allow for examining the relationship between participants and brain imaging metrics by grouping participants, brain areas, and behavioral and cognitive metrics based on similarity across metrics. In performing these analyses, we aimed to explore whether groups or clusters of participants features exist that describe subtypes or groups within the cohort. We also demonstrated the utility of cluster analysis for analyzing structural neuroimaging data. We applied Clustering Hierarchy Optimization by Iterative Random Forests (CHOIR) and Exploratory Graph Analysis (EGA) to examine similarities across participants (CHOIR) and across metrics (EGA). These clustering analyses were performed using the respective R packages for each method.

EGA is a method for uncovering a sample's latent factors and underlying metrics (Golino & Epskamp, 2017). This is performed via the computation of a correlation matrix, followed by graphical LASSO to obtain the inverse covariance matrix. The *walktrap* random walk algorithm then identifies the number of dense subgraphs and captures the community/cluster structure (Pons & Latapy, 2005). The number of clusters equals the latent factors in a given dataset. This paper includes each metric from neuroimaging (i.e., mean values in each of the 212 ROIs) and the behavioral and cognitive metrics in the EGA cluster analysis. The composite ROIs and summary behavioral and cognitive metrics are not included, as each is derived from the various components and subscales. As EGA is designed to uncover latent factor groupings, we would expect that the majority of clusters should reflect the subcomponents of each test and each neuroimaging metric, i.e., mean conduction velocity in one ROI should vary more closely with the mean conduction velocity in a neighboring ROI than with the BRIEF subscales.

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Similarly, the various subscales should be expected to correlate most closely with each other, especially within tests, rather than with neuroimaging metrics. Deviations from this expected pattern may reveal separate brain networks or behavioral tests susceptible to neuronal microstructure changes. Clustering was conducted separately for g-ratio and conduction velocity metrics, as the strong inverse correlations between each measure in each ROI would be expected to dominate the results.

CHOIR is a repeated iterative random forest and statistical permutation method based on distinctive features for clustering data. Initially designed for single-cell analysis, where, for example, a cluster might denote a specific biologically distinct cell type or population, here we substitute participants for cells and use the mean aggregate g-ratio and conduction velocity in each ROI as features. CHOIR generates robust clusters through an iterative random permutation testing procedure that merges clusters that fail the prediction testing (Petersen et al., 2024). After the final clusters are generated, we can recover the original identity of participants and observe if the final clusters correspond with demographic, behavioral, or cognitive variables of interest. As the clustering is performed using only neuroimaging metrics, the correspondence of clusters to other variables suggests that particular groupings of neuroimaging results across ROIs are associated with these variables.

Results:

Behavioral Metrics:

The participant scores on each of the behavioral subscales, separated by diagnosis, are presented in Fig. 1. On the BRIEF, CBCL, RBS-R, SRS-2, the ASD participants had higher mean scores on each metric compared to the non-ASD participants while on the Vineland-II and CELF the ASD participants had lower mean scores on each metric compared to the non-ASD participants. The AASP was mixed with the ASD participants having a higher score in 10 metrics and non-ASD participants having a higher mean score in 3 metrics.

FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Correlation Analysis:

Results from all tests across all subjects were analyzed using a simple Pearson correlation analysis to observe cross test associations (Fig. 1). There were widespread significant correlations between individual subscales, particularly within tests (please see <u>https://tinyurl.com/2dpmjyc8</u> for a comprehensive table of correlation coefficients and pvalues). Interestingly there was widespread positive correlations between the CBCL, RBS-R and BRIEF, and a second group of less positive correlations between the AASP and CELF-4 with these two groups significantly negatively correlated from each other, suggesting a split between sensory and language processing and behavioral and social domains.

FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE

Linear Modeling:

When examining data from all participants, conduction velocity was significantly associated with 47 different subscales in at least 1 ROI. Subscales with significant associations came from the BRIEF, RBS-R, CELF-4, CBCL, SRS-2, and Vineland-II tests. The BRIEF test, in particular, had significant subscales in many ROIs (Fig. 3). For example, the monitor subscale, which measures an individual's ability to monitor plans, thoughts, and emotions, was significant for conduction velocity in 168 ROIs after multiple comparison corrections. Significant ROIs were located across a wide range of cortical ROIs but were especially prominent in the superior parietal and frontal cortex and subcortical gray matter (Fig. 4).

When considering the same data set, g-ratio was not as widely nor strongly associated across ROIs with behavioral metrics. Only seven different subscales were significantly associated with at least one ROI. Significant subscales for g-ratio were from the DAS-School Age, SRS-2, BRIEF, ADOS, and Language Acquisition tests. The most significant associations were found in the deep WM in the BRIEF and DAS, associated with 19 different ROIs. Across their different subscales, the CELF-4 was positively associated with conduction velocity, while RBS-R was negatively associated with conduction velocity.

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

FIGURE 3 ABOUT HERE

FIGURE 4 ABOUT HERE

While conduction velocity had a much stronger pattern of significance when considering all the data, when associations were considered exclusively within the autistic participants, gratio was more strongly associated with behavioral metrics across several ROIs. In ASD-only data evaluations, conduction velocity was significant for only three subscales from the Vineland-II and BRIEF tests. The composite total metric of Vineland-II, which was significant in 33 ROIs when analyzing data from all participants, was only significant for 1 ROI when considering solely ASD participants. G-ratio, conversely, was significant for nine different subscales derived from the BRIEF, ADOS, CBCL, Language Acquisitions, SRS-2, and Vineland-II tests. In particular, g-ratio was more strongly associated with the CBCL test than conduction velocity, and the total score of the CBCL subscales was significant for g-ratio in 37 ROIs. G-ratio relationships were primarily located in the motor cortex and WM. Subscales of the SRS-2 demonstrated powerful associations across analyses with multiple significant areas in g-ratio tests when considering all participants and only those with ASD.

CHOIR Cluster Analysis:

CHOIR analysis of the 414 total ROIs resulted in a total of 6 distinct clusters that were generally associated with a gradient between higher aggregate g-ratio and lower conduction velocity at one pole (lower microstructural maturity) and lower aggregate g-ratio and higher conduction velocity at the other pole (higher microstructural maturity; Fig. 5). This pattern was broadly similar across all the ROIs used to generate the clusters (please see https://tinyurl.com/2dpmjvc8). Demographically, the clusters did not appear to be predictive of subject sex or ASD diagnosis (Fig. 6). However, there was a gradient for subject age, with clusters 2, 4, and 5 having a higher mean subject age than the overall mean and clusters 1, 3, and

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

6 having a lower mean subject age than the overall mean. Interestingly, this pattern was slightly different for brain volume, with clusters 1, 2, and 5 having higher mean subject brain volume than the overall mean and clusters 3, 4, and 6 having a lower mean subject brain volume than the overall mean. This allows the division of the clusters into four general patterns based on demographics: a lower maturity, younger cluster with low brain volume (clusters 3 & 6), a higher maturity, older cluster with high brain volume (clusters 2 & 5), a cluster with mixed microstructural maturity, younger, with high brain volume (cluster 1), and a cluster with mixed microstructural maturity, older, with low brain volume (cluster 4).

When the behavioral and cognitive test results were projected onto the clusters, the pattern generally appeared to follow the age-related poles defined earlier, with subscales having a high/low gradient of scoring matching the younger/older divide for clusters 3 & 6 and 2 & 5. However, within the same test, like the CELF and AASP Sensory Profile, this polarity was switched between different items (Fig. 6). Age, rather than brain volume, appeared to be more indicative of which pole the intermediate clusters (1 & 4) were aligned to. The complete list of all behavioral results projected onto the CHOIR cluster is also available at https://tinyurl.com/2dpmjyc8.

FIGURE 5 ABOUT HERE

FIGURE 6 ABOUT HERE

EGA cluster analysis:

The clusters from the EGA analysis largely replicated existing divisions in the data, with clusters generally made up of related metrics. All metrics included from the entire cohort clusters were entirely related metrics, with no behavioral or neuroimaging metrics in the same cluster. This analysis recreated several observable groupings from the correlation analysis presented in

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Fig. 2, for example the large yellow cluster in the aggregate g-ratio map clusters the CBCL, BRIEF, and RBS-R subscale metrics together. However, when only participants from the ASD group were used to generate the cluster, only one metric taken from the behavioral tests, the inconsistency subscale from the BRIEFS, was found to be more closely with the neuroimaging metrics, both aggregate g-ratio and aggregate conduction velocity, than any of the behavioral or cognitive metrics (Fig. 7). In the aggregate conduction velocity EGA analysis, the BRIEF inconsistency subscale was placed in a cluster with 6 ROIs, including bilateral cerebellum and bilateral occipital-temporal regions. In the aggregate g-ratio EGA analysis, the BRIEF inconsistency subscale was placed in a cluster with 66 ROIs, including 17 WM regions, bilateral hippocampus, amygdala, cerebellum, putamen, and nucleus accumbens and several bilateral cortical areas across the brain.

FIGURE 7 ABOUT HERE

Discussion

This study identifies multiple regions associated with particular behavioral and cognitive autism evaluations. Several tests, particularly the CELF-4 and BRIEF, showed excellent associations between brain metrics and individual performance. These metrics were also observed to be highly correlated to one another by both correlation and cluster analysis. Despite differences in assessments, there was much overlap in brain regions associated with the various metrics, mainly when non-autistic participants were included. Associations in white matter regions demonstrated robust associations for both analysis of all participants and ASD-specific tests. However, when only autistic individuals were evaluated, aggregate g-ratio was significantly associated with more ROIs than conduction velocity. This switch from more significant conduction velocity measurements when all participants suggests that the observed neurological differences between autistic and non-autistic individuals may differ from the neurological correlates of autism severity. This finding confirms the consensus among the ASD

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

clinical community that behavioral tests need to be evaluated for effectiveness at assessing along separate dimensions of diagnosis and severity and that any single test may not assess both components well (Hus & Lord, 2014; Lord et al., 2012). By finding widespread findings between behavioral and cognitive tests and brain structure associated with ASD, this study suggests that clinical evaluations by experts and parental assessments are both able to predict differences in neurological structure to at least some degree. While the linear models showed that many different assessments can be reflective of neuronal structure, the cluster analyses suggested that the breadth of assessments within many major tests is important to fully capture individuals who may present different behavioral profiles depending on age. While several of the tests examined in this study showed relationships between brain structure and total or composite scores across the internal metrics of the study, only the CBCL total score was significantly associated with multiple brain regions within the ASD only cohort, suggesting that the notion of severity within ASD may manifest in highly different ways along different behavioral vectors. Interestingly the CBCL has been found to have higher validity within subscales, particularly anxiety and depression, than the total score(Pandolfi et al., 2014). While we do not delineate specific groups or subgroups with this study, these findings do suggest that differential brain regions may be involved in different symptom profiles, and lends support for clinical examination of multiple subscale scores, rather than composite scores alone. Conversely, it is possible that some of the associations between various subscales and brain microstructure is not unique or wholly specific to ASD, and it is possible that these tests are capturing behavior not unique to ASD (Havdahl et al., 2016).

The unequal distribution of significant results across behavioral and cognitive tests indicates that diagnostic assessments vary in their correlation to brain structure. Prior to the DSM-5 recategorization, the BRIEF assessment was reported to be elevated across subgroups of ASD, including autistic disorder, Asperger's syndrome, and pervasive developmental disorder (Blijd-Hoogewys et al., 2014). While these diagnostic labels have been discontinued, the high number of associations between microstructural metrics and BRIEF subscales may demonstrate that BRIEF captures a breadth of behaviors, some of which may not be specific to autism alone, in a way that aligns with what is occurring structurally in the brain. The SRS-2 assessment displayed multiple associations with g-ratio when analyzed in the context of all participants and the ASD subgroup specifically. The SRS is a valid predictive measure of ASD across time points

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

in childhood, indicating that it captures consistent autistic traits within a child over time (Chan et al., 2017). This continuity across development may indicate an underlying neurological difference present from a young age, and thus, it has the potential to be detected early on. Conversely, the lack of associations between microstructural metrics and widely used diagnostic assessments, such as the ADOST, suggests that there may be a disjunction between behaviors emphasized in diagnostic measures and those that stem from neurological differences shared across ASD individuals. Pinpointing which behavioral metrics align with brain structure across ranges of symptom severity may better enable diagnostic tools to accurately distinguish ASD children from those that are neurotypical or have other disorders.

The cluster analysis performed here was unique in adapting both methods, previously designed for single-cell analysis (via CHOIR) and psychometric latent factor analysis (EGA) to neuroimaging data. CHOIR can cluster subjects incorporating multiple features per subject, while EGA can associate features across subjects, providing different but complementary approaches. While conclusions drawn from this novel approach should be limited and done cautiously, CHOIR, in particular, appeared to replicate many demographic and behavioral differences from exclusively microstructural neuroimaging data that suggests that accounting for multiple ROI measurements may have value in neuroimaging analysis. The CHOIR results instead elegantly showcase associations between brain metrics and age as being essential markers of change relative to sex or even ASD diagnosis.

Overlap between age-related clusters and different behavioral metrics suggest that the behavioral profile of autism may be modulated by age. Other studies have suggested that some ASD behaviors decrease with age during the end of the developmental period, such as restricted and repetitive behaviors(Esbensen et al., 2009), while other studies have found more complex age-related trajectories of behavioral score increase and decrease (Waizbard Bartov et al., 2022). The EGA technique correctly replicated correlations between metrics and replicated clusters of imaging metrics despite being provided with these metrics as independent features, for example, the purple cluster at the bottom of the conduction velocity figure represents the left and right olfactory cortex, left and right nucleus accumbens, and left and right subcallosal gyrus. This symmetry between left and right ROIs appearing in the same cluster was common throughout the EGA results. Conduction velocity appeared to have more distinct clustering of brain regions compared to the 3 clusters of g-ratio ROIs. This may be due to increased sensitivity

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

to the development of axonal tracts that cross or connect, and are thus shared between, multiple ROIs.

A previous study found that ASD was associated with decreased aggregate g-ratio and conduction velocity but not a significant difference in T1/T2 ratio, demonstrating that neurological differences in ASD may be based on changes in axonal structure and not simply a deficit of myelination (Newman et al., 2024). These changes may cause the observed switch when evaluating ASD participants solely as differences in axonal architecture manifest uniquely in conduction velocity compared to g-ratio. Subtle differences in inner axonal diameter can significantly affect conduction velocity, while g-ratio relies on the balance between axonal diameter and myelin thickness. Based on our observations, ASD participants with more severe behavioral symptoms may have similarly altered ratios between inner axonal diameter and myelin diameter. In contrast, high-performing ASD participants may have a more neurotypical relationship between diameter and myelin. This range of g-ratios within the ASD cohort may underlie the range of behavioral severity seen across the disorder. As g-ratio had more and stronger significant relationships with behavioral metrics than conduction velocity, combined with the lack of significant associations between T1w/T2w ratio, the critical difference affecting g-ratio in ASD participants may be inner axon diameter as opposed to myelin diameter.

These findings are supported by prior post-mortem electron microscopy studies of the corpus callosum of 12 subjects found significantly decreased axon diameters and cross-sectional areas in autistic subjects (Wegiel et al., 2018). Additionally, a decrease in the percentage of large-diameter axons in all five segments of the corpus callosum was observed in autistic individuals. Prior work with the data used in this study found the same result from microstructural analysis (Newman et al., 2024). Furthermore, the histological study found that autism had a more significant correlation with axon diameter and area than with myelin thickness, agreeing with the explanation that the axonal diameter element of g-ratio has a predominating effect on autism development compared to myelin diameter. The structural abnormalities in axonal development observed in this study demonstrated deficits in interhemispheric connection specificity, which may underlie dysregulation of velocity and volume of information and issues with information processing. This study reinforces our findings that axonal diameter may inform behavior patterns associated with ASD and provides a basis for how this property may alter long-range connections.

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

A recent structural MRI study in toddlers and preschoolers found that age-related increases in cortical myelination in TD participants were absent in children with ASD, indicating that myelin trajectory may follow a different timeline in those with ASD (Chen et al., 2022). A significant association was not present between cortical myelin and autism symptoms when analyzing results from ASD participants, aligning with our observations that myelination may not heavily contribute to ASD behaviors as development continues. The disruption of myelination in young children found by this study may reflect that the microstructural abnormalities underlying ASD are not constant until the brain is further developed, explaining the changes in symptom severity that can be present as a child with ASD gets older.

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Works Cited:

22

Afzali, M., Pieciak, T., Newman, S., Garyfallidis, E., Özarslan, E., Cheng, H., & Jones, D. K. (2021). The sensitivity of diffusion MRI to microstructural properties and experimental factors. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 347,* 108951.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2020.108951

- Andersson, J. L., Graham, M. S., Zsoldos, E., & Sotiropoulos, S. N. (2016). Incorporating outlier detection and replacement into a non-parametric framework for movement and distortion correction of diffusion MR images. *Neuroimage*, *141*, 556–572.
- Andersson, J. L., Skare, S., & Ashburner, J. (2003). How to correct susceptibility distortions in spin-echo echo-planar images: Application to diffusion tensor imaging. *Neuroimage*, *20*(2), 870–888.
- Andersson, J. L., & Sotiropoulos, S. N. (2016). An integrated approach to correction for offresonance effects and subject movement in diffusion MR imaging. *Neuroimage*, *125*, 1063–1078.
- Andica, C., Kamagata, K., Kirino, E., Uchida, W., Irie, R., Murata, S., & Aoki, S. (2021). Neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging reveals white matter microstructural alterations in adults with autism. *Molecular Autism*, *12*(1), Article 1.

Arias, A. A., Rea, M. M., Adler, E. J., Haendel, A. D., & Van Hecke, A. V. (2022). Utilizing the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) as an Autism Spectrum Disorder Preliminary Screener and Outcome Measure for the PEERS® Intervention for Autistic Adolescents. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 52*(5), 2061–2074.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-05103-8

23

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

- Avants, B. B., Tustison, N. J., Stauffer, M., Song, G., Wu, B., & Gee, J. C. (2014). The Insight ToolKit image registration framework. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 8.
- Avants, B. B., Tustison, N., & Song, G. (2009). Advanced normalization tools (ANTS). *Insight j*, 2(365), 1–35.
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological), 57*(1), 289–300.
- Berman, S., Filo, S., & Mezer, A. A. (2019). Modeling conduction delays in the corpus callosum using MRI-measured g-ratio. *Neuroimage*, *195*, 128–139.
- Blijd-Hoogewys, E. M. A., Bezemer, M. L., & Van Geert, P. L. C. (2014). Executive Functioning in Children with ASD: An Analysis of the BRIEF. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44(12), 3089–3100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2176-9
- Bruni, T. P. (2014). Test review: Social responsiveness scale–Second edition (SRS-2). *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 32(4), 365–369.
- Campbell, J. S., Leppert, I. R., Narayanan, S., Boudreau, M., Duval, T., Cohen-Adad, J., Pike, G. B., & Stikov, N. (2018). Promise and pitfalls of g-ratio estimation with MRI. *Neuroimage, 182*, 80–96.
- Chan, W., Smith, L. E., Hong, J., Greenberg, J. S., & Mailick, M. R. (2017). Validating the social responsiveness scale for adults with autism. *Autism Research*, *10*(10), 1663–1671. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1813
- Chen, B., Linke, A., Olson, L., Kohli, J., Kinnear, M., Sereno, M., Müller, R., Carper, R., & Fishman, I. (2022). Cortical myelination in toddlers and preschoolers with autism spectrum

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

disorder. Developmental Neurobiology, 82(3), 261-274.

https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22874

Constantino, J. N., & Charman, T. (2016). Diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder: Reconciling the syndrome, its diverse origins, and variation in expression. *The Lancet Neurology*, *15*(3), Article 3.

Constantino, J. N., & Gruber, C. P. (2012). Social responsiveness scale: SRS-2.

- Crane, L., Goddard, L., & Pring, L. (2009). Sensory processing in adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism*, *13*(3), 215–228. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361309103794
- Cruces, R. R., Royer, J., Herholz, P., Larivière, S., De Wael, R. V., Paquola, C., Benkarim, O., Park, B., Degré-Pelletier, J., & Nelson, M. C. (2022). Micapipe: A pipeline for multimodal neuroimaging and connectome analysis. *NeuroImage*, *263*, 119612.
- Das, A., & Gilbert, C. D. (1995). Long-range horizontal connections and their role in cortical reorganization revealed by optical recording of cat primary visual cortex. *Nature*, *375*(6534), Article 6534.
- Destrieux, C., Fischl, B., Dale, A., & Halgren, E. (2010). Automatic parcellation of human cortical gyri and sulci using standard anatomical nomenclature. *Neuroimage*, *53*(1), 1–15.

Dhollander, T., Raffelt, D., & Connelly, A. (2017). Towards interpretation of 3-tissue constrained spherical deconvolution results in pathology. *Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med*, 25, 1815.
 Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5 (Fifth edition). (2013). American Psychiatric Association.

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

- Dimond, D., Schuetze, M., Smith, R. E., Dhollander, T., Cho, I., Vinette, S., Ten Eycke, K., Lebel, C., McCrimmon, A., & Dewey, D. (2019). Reduced white matter fiber density in autism spectrum disorder. *Cerebral Cortex*, 29(4), Article 4.
- Doll, E. A. (1935). A genetic scale of social maturity. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 5(2), 180.
- Elliott, C. D., Murray, G. J., & Pearson, L. S. (1990). Differential ability scales. *San Antonio, Texas*. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=I6Up5n5vfdEC&oi=fnd&pg=PA65&dq=di fferential+ability+scales&ots=86s5WAZrmQ&sig=caQcqis59mFqSlbYf4VJNtQu3IA
- Elliott, C. D., Salerno, J. D., Dumont, R., & Willis, J. O. (2007). Differential ability scalesSecond edition. *San Antonio, TX*.
- Esbensen, A. J., Seltzer, M. M., Lam, K. S., & Bodfish, J. W. (2009). Age-related differences in restricted repetitive behaviors in autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *39*, 57–66.
- Glasser, M. F., & Van Essen, D. C. (2011). Mapping human cortical areas in vivo based on myelin content as revealed by T1-and T2-weighted MRI. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *31*(32), 11597–11616.
- Golino, H. F., & Epskamp, S. (2017). Exploratory graph analysis: A new approach for estimating the number of dimensions in psychological research. *PloS One*, *12*(6), e0174035.

Greenspan, H. (2009). Super-resolution in medical imaging. *The Computer Journal*, 52(1), 43–63.

Havdahl, K. A., von Tetzchner, S., Huerta, M., Lord, C., & Bishop, S. L. (2016). Utility of the child behavior checklist as a screener for autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research*, *9*(1), 33–42. Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

- Hsu, Y.-C., Lo, Y.-C., Chen, Y.-J., Wedeen, V. J., & Isaac Tseng, W.-Y. (2015). NTU-DSI-122: A diffusion spectrum imaging template with high anatomical matching to the ICBM-152 space. *Human Brain Mapping*, *36*(9), 3528–3541.
- Hus, V., & Lord, C. (2014). The autism diagnostic observation schedule, module 4: Revised algorithm and standardized severity scores. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44, 1996–2012.
- Just, M. A., Keller, T. A., Malave, V. L., Kana, R. K., & Varma, S. (2012). Autism as a neural systems disorder: A theory of frontal-posterior underconnectivity. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *36*(4), Article 4.
- Kellner, E., Dhital, B., Kiselev, V. G., & Reisert, M. (2016). Gibbs-ringing artifact removal based on local subvoxel-shifts. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *76*(5), 1574–1581.
- Kelly, C., Dhollander, T., Harding, I. H., Khan, W., Beare, R., Cheong, J. L., Doyle, L. W., Seal, M., Thompson, D. K., & Inder, T. E. (2022). Brain tissue microstructural and free-water composition 13 years after very preterm birth. *Neuroimage*, *254*, 119168.
- Lam, K. S. L., & Aman, M. G. (2007). The Repetitive Behavior Scale-Revised: Independent Validation in Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *37*(5), 855–866. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-006-0213-z
- Liewald, D., Miller, R., Logothetis, N., Wagner, H.-J., & Schüz, A. (2014). Distribution of axon diameters in cortical white matter: An electron-microscopic study on three human brains and a macaque. *Biological Cybernetics*, *108*, 541–557.
- Lord, C., Brugha, T. S., Charman, T., Cusack, J., Dumas, G., Frazier, T., Jones, E. J. H., Jones, R. M., Pickles, A., State, M. W., Taylor, J. L., & Veenstra-VanderWeele, J. (2020). Autism

27

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

spectrum disorder. Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 6(1), 5.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0138-4

- Lord, C., Petkova, E., Hus, V., Gan, W., Lu, F., Martin, D. M., Ousley, O., Guy, L., Bernier, R., & Gerdts, J. (2012). A multisite study of the clinical diagnosis of different autism spectrum disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *69*(3), 306–313.
- Maenner, M. J., Warren, Z., Williams, A. R., Amoakohene, E., Bakian, A. V., Bilder, D. A., Durkin, M. S., Fitzgerald, R. T., Furnier, S. M., Hughes, M. M., Ladd-Acosta, C. M., McArthur, D., Pas, E. T., Salinas, A., Vehorn, A., Williams, S., Esler, A., Grzybowski, A., Hall-Lande, J., ... Shaw, K. A. (2023). Prevalence and Characteristics of Autism Spectrum Disorder Among Children Aged 8 Years—Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2020. *MMWR. Surveillance Summaries*, *72*(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss7202a1
- Mito, R., Dhollander, T., Raffelt, D., Xia, Y., Salvado, O., Brodtmann, A., Rowe, C., Villemagne, V.,
 & Connelly, A. (2018). Investigating microstructural heterogeneity of white matter
 hyperintensities in Alzheimer's disease using single-shell 3-tissue constrained spherical
 deconvolution. *Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med*, 135.
- Mito, R., Dhollander, T., Xia, Y., Raffelt, D., Salvado, O., Churilov, L., Rowe, C. C., Brodtmann, A., Villemagne, V. L., & Connelly, A. (2020). In vivo microstructural heterogeneity of white matter lesions in healthy elderly and Alzheimer's disease participants using tissue compositional analysis of diffusion MRI data. *NeuroImage: Clinical, 28,* 102479.
- Mody, M., MGH/HST Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Harvard Medical School, Department of Radiology, Charlestown, MA, Belliveau, J. W., & MGH/HST

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Harvard Medical School, Department of Radiology, Charlestown, MA. (2012). Speech and Language Impairments in Autism: Insights from Behavior and Neuroimaging. *American Chinese Journal of Medicine and Science*, *5*(3), 157. https://doi.org/10.7156/v5i3p157

- Mohammadi, S., & Callaghan, M. F. (2021). Towards in vivo g-ratio mapping using MRI: Unifying myelin and diffusion imaging. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, *348*, 108990.
- Mori, S., Wakana, S., Van Zijl, P. C., & Nagae-Poetscher, L. M. (2005). *MRI atlas of human white matter*. Elsevier.
- Newman, B. T., Dhollander, T., Reynier, K. A., Panzer, M. B., & Druzgal, T. J. (2020). Test-retest reliability and long-term stability of three-tissue constrained spherical deconvolution methods for analyzing diffusion MRI data. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *84*(4), 2161–2173. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.28242
- Newman, B. T., Jacokes, Z., Venkadesh, S., Webb, S. J., Kleinhans, N. M., McPartland, J. C., Druzgal, T. J., Pelphrey, K. A., Van Horn, J. D., & Consortium, G. R. (2024). Conduction velocity, G-ratio, and extracellular water as microstructural characteristics of autism spectrum disorder. *Plos One, 19*(4), e0301964.
- Newman, B. T., Untaroiu, A., & Druzgal, T. J. (2020). A novel diffusion registration method with the NTU-DSI-122 template to transform free water signal fraction maps to stereotaxic space. Proceedings of the ISMRM 28th General Meeting.
- Pandolfi, V., Magyar, C. I., & Norris, M. (2014). Validity study of the CBCL 6–18 for the assessment of emotional problems in youth with ASD. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities, 7*(4), 306–322.

29

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

- Petersen, C., Mucke, L., & Corces, M. R. (2024). CHOIR improves significance-based detection of cell types and states from single-cell data. *bioRxiv*, 2024.01. 18.576317.
- Pons, P., & Latapy, M. (2005). Computing communities in large networks using random walks. Computer and Information Sciences-ISCIS 2005: 20th International Symposium, Istanbul, Turkey, October 26-28, 2005. Proceedings 20, 284–293.
- Raffelt, D. A., Tournier, J.-D., Smith, R. E., Vaughan, D. N., Jackson, G., Ridgway, G. R., & Connelly, A. (2017). Investigating white matter fibre density and morphology using fixel-based analysis. *Neuroimage*, *144*, 58–73.
- Raffelt, D., Tournier, J.-D., Rose, S., Ridgway, G. R., Henderson, R., Crozier, S., Salvado, O., & Connelly, A. (2012). Apparent fibre density: A novel measure for the analysis of diffusionweighted magnetic resonance images. *Neuroimage*, *59*(4), 3976–3994.
- Richards, J. E., Sanchez, C., Phillips-Meek, M., & Xie, W. (2016). A database of age-appropriate average MRI templates. *Neuroimage*, *124*, 1254–1259.
- Richards, J. E., & Xie, W. (2015). Brains for all the ages: Structural neurodevelopment in infants and children from a life-span perspective. *Advances in Child Development and Behavior*, 48, 1–52.
- Rushton, W. A. H. (1951). A theory of the effects of fibre size in medullated nerve. *The Journal of Physiology*, *115*(1), Article 1.
- Salem, A. C., MacFarlane, H., Adams, J. R., Lawley, G. O., Dolata, J. K., Bedrick, S., & Fombonne,
 E. (2021). Evaluating atypical language in autism using automated language measures.
 Scientific Reports, 11(1), 10968. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90304-5

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Sandrone, S., Aiello, M., Cavaliere, C., Thiebaut de Schotten, M., Reimann, K., Troakes, C., Bodi, I., Lacerda, L., Monti, S., & Murphy, D. (2023). Mapping myelin in white matter with T1weighted/T2-weighted maps: Discrepancy with histology and other myelin MRI measures. *Brain Structure and Function*, *228*(2), 525–535.

Stepanyants, A., Martinez, L. M., Ferecskó, A. S., & Kisvárday, Z. F. (2009). The fractions of shortand long-range connections in the visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*(9), Article 9.

- Stikov, N., Campbell, J. S., Stroh, T., Lavelée, M., Frey, S., Novek, J., Nuara, S., Ho, M.-K., Bedell, B. J., & Dougherty, R. F. (2015). In vivo histology of the myelin g-ratio with magnetic resonance imaging. *Neuroimage*, *118*, 397–405.
- Stikov, N., Perry, L. M., Mezer, A., Rykhlevskaia, E., Wandell, B. A., Pauly, J. M., & Dougherty, R. F. (2011). Bound pool fractions complement diffusion measures to describe white matter micro and macrostructure. *Neuroimage*, *54*(2), 1112–1121.
- Veraart, J., Novikov, D. S., Christiaens, D., Ades-Aron, B., Sijbers, J., & Fieremans, E. (2016). Denoising of diffusion MRI using random matrix theory. *Neuroimage*, *142*, 394–406.
- Waizbard-Bartov, E., Ferrer, E., Heath, B., Rogers, S. J., Nordahl, C. W., Solomon, M., & Amaral, D. G. (2022). Identifying autism symptom severity trajectories across childhood. *Autism Research*, *15*(4), 687–701.
- Wegiel, J., Kaczmarski, W., Flory, M., Martinez-Cerdeno, V., Wisniewski, T., Nowicki, K., Kuchna, I., & Wegiel, J. (2018). Deficit of corpus callosum axons, reduced axon diameter and decreased area are markers of abnormal development of interhemispheric connections in autistic subjects. *Acta Neuropathologica Communications*, *6*, 1–14.

31

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Zhao, Y., Yang, L., Gong, G., Cao, Q., & Liu, J. (2022). Identify aberrant white matter

microstructure in ASD, ADHD and other neurodevelopmental disorders: A meta-analysis

of diffusion tensor imaging studies. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and

Biological Psychiatry, 113, 110477.

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

32

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Boxplots with median, upper and lower quartile (75th & 25th percentile scores, respectively), lines denoting 1.5x the intrer-quartile range, and individual scores beyond that range, for each subscale metric and each test administered in this study. ASD participants typically had a higher median score than non-ASD participants with the exception of the CELF and Vineland-II tests. Most tests reliably scored either ASD or non-ASD subjects higher or lower at the group level, with the exception of the AASP.

Figure 2: Correlation plot showing the Pearson correlation coefficient between each of the cognitive and behavioral test subdomains as well as composite/total scores. Subdomains of tests were generally highly positively correlated within tests, but there was significant negative correlations between tests, particularly the AASP/CELF and behavioral metrics. Tests measuring behavioral and social functioning tended to be highly positively correlated.

Figure 3: Bar charts showing the mean slope of ROIs significantly associated with each subscale metric, colored by parent metric and separated by conduction velocity or g-ratio. The number on the bar specifies the number of ROIs significantly associated with each metric after multiple comparison corrections. Charts show relationships between brain cellular microstructure from a sample that includes all autistic and non-autistic participants (A) or exclusively participants diagnosed with ASD (B).

Figure 4: Illustrations showing the location of ROIs significantly associated with each significant parent evaluation. Color is consistent with the bar charts in Fig. 3 and is darker if the region is associated with more subscales within the parent evaluation. Illustrations show relationships between brain cellular microstructure from a sample that includes all autistic and non-autistic participants (A) or exclusively participants diagnosed with ASD (B).

Figure 5: Results of the CHOIR cluster analysis. CHOIR identified six total clusters from the neuroimaging data alone. These tended to be aligned along a gradient with a high aggregate

33

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

conduction velocity and low aggregate g-ratio pole at clusters 2 & 5 and, in the inverse, a high aggregate g-ratio and low aggregate conduction velocity pole at clusters 4 & 6.

Figure 6: Demographic, behavioral, and cognitive metrics displayed over CHOIR clusters presented previously in Figure 5. None of these metrics were used in the computation of the clusters. Sex and ASD diagnosis were not strongly related to any cluster, but age and brain volume were starkly divided. Several behavioral measures also showed stark divides, mainly following the age-related clustering but differing directions between different subscales. Some metrics, like the SRS and ADOS (ASD only), did not display mapping onto the defined clusters.

Figure 7: EGA cluster analysis output for ASD participants using either aggregate g-ratio or conduction velocity metrics. Each circle represents one behavioral metric or the mean value of the neuroimaging metric within that ROI. Clusters were largely divided (grey dashed line) between behavioral metrics and neuroimaging microstructure ROIs, indicating that each type of metric was more highly correlated across the cohort with metrics of the same type rather than different types. The exception was the BRIEF Inconsistency, which was included within a cluster of otherwise entirely neuroimaging microstructure (colored red in both diagrams). Also of note is that conduction velocity appears much more granular with smaller, more specific clusters to individual networks whereas all g-ratio ROIs are contained within one of 3 clusters.

34

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

TABLES

Test Name	Focus	Administrator	Subscales
The Clinical	Receptive	Clinician	Recalling Sentences
Evaluation of	language-skills		Formulating Sentences
Language	including		• Concepts & Following Directions
Fundamentals –	phonology,		• Word Classes (Receptive &
(CELF-4)	syntax semantics		Expressive)
	and working		Word Definition
	memory		Word Structure
	2		Core Language
The Behavior	Executive function	Caregiver	Planning & Organizing
Rating Inventory of	deficits	C	Organization of Materials
Executive			Monitor
Functions (BRIEF)			• Inhibit
			• Shift
			Emotional Control
			• Initiate
			• Working Memory
			Behavioral Regulation
			 Metacognition Clobal Executive
			 Global Executive Negativity
			 Inegativity Inconsistency
The Repetitive	Specifically	Caregiver	Stereotyped Behavior
Behavior Scale-	examines repetitive	Culogivoi	Self-injurous Behavior
Revised (RBS-R)	behavior		Compulsive Behavior
Revised (RDS R)	benavior		Ritualistic Behavior
			Sameness Behavior
			Restricted Behavior
The	Sensory processing	Self	Sensory Seeking
Adolescent/Adult	and preferences		Emotionally Reactive
Sensory Profile	-		Low Endurance/Tone
(AASP)			Oral Sensory Sensitivity
			 Inattention/Distractibility
			Poor Registration
			Sensory Sensitivity
			• Sedentary
			• Fine Motor/Perceptual
			Low Registration
			• Sensation Seeking
			• Sensory Sensitivity
			Sensation Avoiding
The Child Behavior	Behavioral and	Caregiver	 Anxious Depressed

35

Microstructural Associations with Behavior in ASD

Checklist (CBCL)	emotional syndromes, including anxiety, depression, and defiant behavior problems	•	Somatic Complaints Withdrawn Attention Problems Aggressive Behavior Internalizing Problems Externalizing Problems Affective Problems Anxiety Problems Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Oppositional Defiant Problems Social Problems Thought Problems Rule-Breaking Behavior Somatic Problems
Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS-II)	Social behaviors associated with ASD	Caregiver •	Social Awareness Social Cognition Social Communication Social Motivation Restricted Interest & Repetitive Behavior
Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales – II (Vineland-II)	Measurement of adaptive behavior skills.	Caregiver •	Communication Living Skills Socialization Adaptive Behavior
Differential Ability Scales (DAS)	Primary focus on cognitive abilities	• • •	Verbal Reasoning Nonverbal Reasoning Spatial Reasoning General Conceptual Ability

Table 1. Summary of behavioral assessments administered to study participants.













