Check for updates

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Ryu J-C, Bae J-H, Ha SH, Chang JY, Kang D-W, Kwon SU, et al. (2022) Blood pressure variability and early neurological deterioration according to the chronic kidney disease risk categories in minor ischemic stroke patients. PLoS ONE 17(9): e0274180. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274180

Editor: Donovan Anthony McGrowder, The University of the West Indies, JAMAICA

Received: July 4, 2022

Accepted: August 23, 2022

Published: September 7, 2022

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274180

Copyright: © 2022 Ryu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its <u>Supporting information</u> files.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Blood pressure variability and early neurological deterioration according to the chronic kidney disease risk categories in minor ischemic stroke patients

Jae-Chan Ryu¹, Jae-Han Bae¹, Sang Hee Ha¹, Jun Young Chang¹, Dong-Wha Kang¹, Sun U. Kwon¹, Jong S. Kim¹, Chung Hee Baek², Bum Joon Kim¹*

Department of Neurology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea,
Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

* medicj80@hanmail.net

Abstract

Objective

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) increases blood pressure variability (BPV) and affects stroke outcomes. However, the effect of BPV on early neurological deterioration (END) may be different according to the renal function.

Methods

We enrolled ischemic stroke patients with a National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale of \leq 5. END was defined as worsening of \geq 1 point in motor power or \geq 2 points in total score. BPV was calculated with BP measured during the first 5 days and presented as standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CoV). Renal function was classified using the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) classification of CKD. Variables were compared between those with (KDIGO classification: moderate- to very-high-risk) and without renal impairment (KDIGO classification: low-risk) and factors associated with END were investigated.

Results

Among the 290 patients (136 [46.9%] renal impairment), END was observed in 59 (20.3%) patients. BPV parameters and the risk of END increased as renal function was impaired. Renal function and systolic BP (SBP) mean, SD, CoV, and diastolic BP (DBP) mean, SD were independently associated with END. We found no association between BPV parameters and END in normal renal function patients; however, among impaired renal function patients, SBP SD (odds ratio [OR]: 1.20, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.09–1.32, P<0.001) and CoV (1.30 [1.12–1.50], P<0.001) were associated with END.

Funding: This research was supported by grants from the Brain Convergence Research Program of the National Research Foundation funded by the Korean government (MSIT No. 2020M3E5D2A01084576) and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF)grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT No. 2020R1A2C2100077). The funder had no role in study design, data collection ana analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusions

The association between END and BPV parameters differs according to renal function in minor ischemic stroke; BPV was associated with END in patients with renal impairment, but less in those with normal renal function.

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a well-known independent risk factor for cerebrovascular diseases, including ischemic stroke [1–3]. Moreover, the functional outcomes of ischemic stroke can be affected by the presence and severity of CKD [4]. The severity of CKD is defined by the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), which represents the residual renal function, and the severity of proteinuria, which is the result of increased permeability of the damaged capillary wall and impaired resorption. Both decreased eGFR and presence of proteinuria are independently associated with the outcomes of stroke [5, 6]. In addition to the vascular risk factors including aging, hypertension, and diabetes, the damage to the brain and kidney share similar pathomechanisms that affect microvasculature due to anatomical similarities [7].

Early neurological deterioration (END) is defined as neurological worsening during the acute stage, which influences stroke outcomes, especially in initially minor strokes [8, 9]. The presence of CKD has been thought to increase the risk of END. Hypothetically, endothelial dysfunction, chronic inflammation, and oxidative stress have been regarded as mechanisms of CKD that affect neurological deterioration [10, 11]. On the other hand, blood pressure variability (BPV) is associated with arterial compliance, and affects cerebral microcirculation and blood-brain barrier [12, 13]. Previous studies showed that increased BPV in the acute stage of stroke has also been associated with an increased risk for END and poor outcomes of stroke [14, 15]. Patients with reduced renal function show increased BPV [16, 17].

Based on previous studies, we hypothesized that patients with ischemic stroke with impaired renal function may show a higher BPV, and that BPV may be associated with END and the effect of BPV on END may differ according to renal function. For verification, we investigated CKD as a risk factor for END and the effects of BPV in minor ischemic stroke patients with and without renal impairment based on the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) classification of CKD.

Methods

Participants

We retrospectively reviewed the data from the patients with acute minor ischemic stroke who were admitted to the Asan Medical Center between October 2019 and May 2020. Patients were included in our study if they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) age \geq 18 years; (2) time from symptom onset to hospital admission of \leq 7 days; (3) acute ischemic stroke confirmed with a diffusion-weighted image, and (4) minor stroke defined with a National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score of \leq 5. We excluded patients with incomplete medical histories and who had end-stage renal disease (ESRD) including those who were on hemodialysis because hemodialysis can cause changes in blood pressure (BP).

We determined the stroke subtypes using the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) subtype classification system: large-artery atherosclerosis, cardioembolic stroke, small-vessel occlusion, undetermined, and other determined. Informed consent from the

patients was not obtained because the study was retrospective. The local ethics committee of Asan Medical Center approved this study (IRB No. 2021–1269).

Renal function, BPV, and END

KDIGO guidelines classify CKD into four groups according to eGFR and albuminuria [18]. eGFR was determined using the CKD-EPI equation [19]. Creatinine level and eGFR were measured on the first admission day in the emergency department. Moreover, albuminuria was estimated as the urine albumin/creatinine ratio obtained from spot urine analysis on the first admission day; normoalbuminuria was indicated by <30 mg/g of creatinine, microalbuminuria by 30-300 mg/g of creatinine, and macroalbuminuria by >300 mg/g of creatinine. In KDIGO classification of CKD, decreased eGFR and increased albuminuria are associated with increased risk of adverse outcomes including CKD progression, ESRD, cardiovascular disease, and mortality. The risk of adverse outcomes is classified in four groups: low, moderate, high, and very high risk group. Low-risk group is defined as eGFR \geq 60 ml/min/1.73m² with normoalbuminuria, moderate-risk group as 1) eGFR \geq 60 ml/min/1.73m² with microalbuminuria or 2) 45–59 ml/min/1.73m² of eGFR with normoalbuminuria, High-risk group is defined as 1) 30-44 ml/min/1.73m² with normoalbuminuria, 2) 45-59 ml/min/1.73m² with microalbuminruia, or 3) eGFR \geq 60 ml/min/1.73m² with macroalbuminuria. Finally, very-high-risk group is defined as 1) eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73m2 with normoalbuminuria, 2) eGFR <45 ml/min/ 1.73m2 with microalbuminuria, or 3) eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73m2 with macroalbuminuria. Moreover, the low-risk group is recognized as having normal renal function in KDIGO classification. Therefore, we divided study population into the two groups: normal renal function group (low-risk group) and impaired renal function group (moderate, high, and very-highrisk group).

BP was measured using a validated, calibrated, automatic, and noninvasive BP-monitoring device (IntelliVue MP50; Philips MedizinSysteme, Böblingen, Germany) in the acute stroke unit and general ward according to our local stroke center's protocol as follows; all BP measurements were performed in a resting, comfortable state with quiet environment; during the patient's stay in the acute stroke unit, BP was regularly measured every 6 hours; in the general ward, every 8 hours, regardless of day and night; permissive BP is allowed and patients with anti-hypertensive medications stopped taking anti-hypertensive medications in the acute stage of ischemic stroke. In our analysis, we used the BP recorded during the first 5 days of hospitalization. We excluded BP data after END because these could be confounded by additional factors, such as induced hypertension treatment. We calculated the systolic blood pressure (SBP) variability and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) variability, presenting them as standard deviations (SDs) and coefficient of variation (CoV; equal to $[SD \times 100]/mean$) [14]. Additionally, average real variability (ARV) was also calculated. We calculated BPV of each subject, and then calculated the mean of the variability according to the group.

Severity of the stroke was determined using the NIHSS score, which was evaluated by trained nurse (every 4 hours in acute stroke unit and every 8 hours in general ward) and confirmed by a neurologist. END was defined as an increase of at least 1 point in motor power or a total NIHSS score deterioration of \geq 2 points within 3 days after admission [8]. The class of BP lowering medication and antithrombotic agent before admission were also investigated.

Statistical analysis

First, we compared the baseline characteristics and the presence of END in the four risk groups divided according to the KDIGO classification of CKD. The significance of the intergroup differences was assessed using chi-square test, Kruskal-Wallis test, and one way ANOVA. Then,

we compared the characteristics of the patients with and without END. In this comparison, the significance of the intergroup differences was assessed using chi-square test, Mann–Whitney U test, and Student's *t* test, as appropriate. Using the multivariable logistic regression model, we analyzed the independent factors associated with END, including those from the univariate model. Thereafter, the association between BPV and END in patients with the normal renal function group (low-risk group) versus the impaired renal function group (moderate- to very high risk groups) were investigated. Finally, P for interactions between renal function and BPV parameters for the occurrence of END were analyzed. All analyses were performed using R Statistical Software (version 4.0.5; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

During the study period, 635 patients were admitted to our center for ischemic stroke within 7 days from stroke onset. Of these, 321 patients (50.6%) were classified as having a minor ischemic stroke. We excluded 15 patients with insufficient medical histories, and 16 patients who were receiving hemodialysis. Thus, we included 290 patients in the final analysis (S1 Fig).

The mean age of the enrolled patients was 67.0 ± 12.7 years-old, and 183 (63.1%) were men. Among these, 136 (46.9%) showed impaired renal function (moderate, high, and very high risk) and 59 (20.3%) had experienced END. In patients without END, the BP was measured 12.0 ± 0.2 times on average, and in those with END, the BP was measured 9.5 ± 1.6 times on average (P < 0.001).

Patient characteristics according to KDIGO classification

According to the KDIGO classification of CKD, 154 (53.1%) patients were at low risk and 97 (33.4%), 22 (7.6%), and 17 (5.9%) patients were at moderate, high, and very high risk (impaired renal function), respectively. The clinical characteristics according to KDIGO classification are summarized in Table 1. The mean age significantly increased with the increased risk assessed by KDIGO classification (P < 0.001). The prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, and history of coronary artery disease also increased (P = 0.002, P < 0.001, and P = 0.008, respectively). There were also significant differences in neurological severity at admission and on discharge. (P = 0.025 and P < 0.001, respectively). However, the stroke subtypes did not differences in the use of anti-hypertensive medication and antithrombotic agent before admission according to the risk of KDIGO classification.

Moreover, SBP mean, SD, and DBP SD, CoV increased as the risk estimated by KDICO classification increased (P = 0.002, P = 0.040, P = 0.004, and P = 0.002, respectively). The prevalence of END increased in the very-high-risk group (47.1%) compared with the low-risk group (11%; P < 0.001; Table 1). The distribution of patients according to the KDIGO classification are described in Fig 1.

Prognostic factors of END

Patients with END were older (66.0 \pm 12.8 vs. 71.0 \pm 11.5 years; *P* = 0.007). No differences existed for risk factors or stroke subtypes between those with and without END. The distribution of adverse outcome risk according to KDIGO classification was higher (*P* < 0.001), and the prevalence of albuminuria was more frequent in patients with END compared with in those without (*P* < 0.001). In those with END, the SBP mean, SD, CoV, and DBP mean, SD were higher (*P* = 0.002, *P* < 0.001, *P* = 0.003, *P* = 0.023, and *P* = 0.006, respectively; Table 2).

Univariate analysis showed that old age (odds ratio [OR]: 1.04; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.01–1.06, P = 0.008), higher admission NIHSS (1.19 [1.01–1.41], P = 0.043), SBP mean

Characteristic	The risk of KDIGO classification				P value
	Low (N = 154)	Moderate (N = 97)	High (N = 22)	Very high (N = 17)	1
Age, years	63.1 ± 12.7	69.8 ± 11.7	75.4 ± 7.5	75.2 ± 9.9	< 0.001
Male	98 (63.6)	62 (63.9)	11 (50.0)	12 (70.6)	0.556
Vascular risk factor					
Hypertension	98 (63.6)	73 (75.3)	21 (95.5)	15 (88.2)	0.002
Diabetes mellitus	31 (20.1)	37 (38.1)	12 (54.5)	8 (47.1)	< 0.001
Hyperlipidemia	70 (45.5)	53 (54.6)	14 (63.6)	9 (52.9)	0.285
Atrial fibrillation	16 (10.4)	20 (20.6)	5 (22.7)	2 (11.8)	0.082
CAD	16 (10.4)	18 (18.6)	3 (13.6)	7 (41.2)	0.008
Current smoking	61 (39.6)	33 (34.0)	8 (36.4)	10 (58.8)	0.272
Stroke history	40 (26.0)	31 (32.0)	9 (40.9)	6 (35.3)	0.417
Laboratory findings					
HbA1c, %	5.9 ± 0.9	6.5 ± 1.4	6.4 ± 1.1	6.8 ± 2.0	< 0.001
LDL, mg/dL	112 ± 40	112 ± 45	105 ± 43	99 ± 43	0.413
r-tPA	5 (3.2)	6 (6.2)	1 (4.5)	0 (0.0)	0.552
Admission NIHSS	2 [1-3]	3 [1-4]	3 [1-5]	1 [0-3]	0.025
Discharge NIHSS	1 [0-3]	3 [1-5]	2 [1-4]	3 [2-5]	< 0.001
END	17 (11.0)	30 (30.9)	4 (18.2)	8 (47.1)	< 0.001
TOAST classification					0.778
LAD	38 (24.7)	27 (27.8)	4 (18.2)	4 (23.5)	
SVO	61 (39.6)	28 (28.9)	6 (27.3)	5 (29.4)	
CE	24 (15.6)	19 (19.6)	5 (22.7)	4 (23.5)	
UD	22 (14.3)	18 (18.6)	6 (27.3)	2 (11.8)	
OD	9 (5.8)	5 (5.2)	1 (4.5)	2 (11.8)	
BPV					
SBP mean, mmHg	136.5 ± 17.7	139.8 ± 19.1	150.6 ± 18.4	144.0 ± 23.4	0.002
SBP SD	11.1 ± 4.8	11.7 ± 4.9	13.2 ± 4.2	12.7 ± 4.7	0.040
SBP CoV	8.2 ± 3.6	8.4 ± 3.4	8.7 ± 2.4	8.8 ± 2.7	0.382
DBP mean, mmHg	78.8 ± 10.6	79.3 ± 11.3	82.8 ± 8.8	74.8 ± 19.9	0.883
DBP SD	7.2 ± 2.4	8.2 ± 3.1	7.9 ± 2.8	8.8 ± 4.0	0.004
DBP CoV	9.2 ± 3.2	10.6 ± 4.2	9.5 ± 3.3	12.1 ± 5.2	0.002
BP lowering agent at admission	72 (46.8)	55 (56.7)	18 (81.8)	14 (82.4)	
RAS inhibitors	54 (75.0)	37 (67.3)	10 (55.6)	7 (50.0)	0.172
β-blockers	9 (12.5)	12 (21.8)	3 (16.7)	5 (35.7)	0.175
CCBs	43 (59.7)	38 (69.1)	12 (66.7)	8 (57.1)	0.681
Diuretics	17 (23.6)	8 (14.5)	2 (11.1)	2 (14.3)	0.448
Antithrombotic agent at admission	54 (35.1)	47 (48.5)	13 (59.1)	12 (70.6)	0.801
Antiplatelet agent	39 (72.2)	39 (83.0)	9 (69.2)	10 (83.4)	
Anticoagulation	12 (22.2)	6 (12.8)	3 (23.1)	1 (8.3)	
Both	3 (5.6)	2 (4.2)	1 (7.7)	1 (8.3)	

Table 1. Comparison of patient clinical characteristics and BPV according to the risk of KDIGO classification.

Values are expressed as number (% column), mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile range).

KDIGO, Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcome; BPV, blood pressure variability; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CAD, coronary artery disease; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; r-tPA, recombinant tissue plasminogen activator; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; END, early neurological deterioration; TOAST, Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment; LAD, large artery disease; SVO, small-vessel occlusion; CE, cardioembolism; UD, undetermined cause; OD, other determined cause; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; CoV, coefficient of variation; RAS, renin-angiotensin system; CCBs, calcium channel blockers.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274180.t001



Fig 1. Distribution of the percentage of END patients according to KDIGO classification. Low-risk group is green, moderate-risk group is yellow, high-risk group is dark orange, and very-high-risk group is red. CKD stage 5 (eGFR <15 mL/min/1.73m²) was not presented in this figure, since all patients with CKD stage 5 were on hemodialysis. END, early neurological deterioration; KDIGO, Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcome; CKD, chronic kidney disease.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274180.g001

(1.02 [1.01–1.04], P = 0.002), SBP SD (1.12 [1.06–1.19]. P < 0.001), SBP CoV (1.13 [1.04–1.22], P = 0.005), DBP mean (1.03 [1.00–1.06], P = 0.019), and KDIGO classification (reference—low risk; moderate risk—3.61 [1.86–7.00]; P < 0.001; very high risk—7.16 [2.44–21.04]; P < 0.001) were associated with END.

In multivariable logistic analysis for each BPV parameters, SBP mean (1.02 [1.00–1.04], P = 0.013), SBP SD (1.13 [1.06–1.20], P < 0.001), SBP CoV (1.14 [1.04–1.24], P = 0.004), and DBP mean (1.04 [1.01–1.07], P = 0.004) were associated with the presence of END. Moreover, the risk of KDIGO classification, especially moderate and very high risk group, was independently associated with the presence of END (S1 Table).

BP and END according to renal function

For the patients with normal renal function (KDIGO classification; low risk), only SBP mean showed significant difference between those with and without END (P = 0.038). However, for the patients with impaired renal function (KDIGO classification: moderate to very high risk), SBP SD, SBP CoV, and DBP mean were higher in those with END than in those without (P < 0.001, P = 0.001, and P = 0.026, respectively; Fig 2).

According to the renal function, we separately adjusted the BP and BPV parameters for the potential factors (P < 0.20) in univariable analysis (Table 3), finding no association between BPV parameters and END among those patients with normal renal function. In contrast, among those with impaired renal function, SBP SD (1.20 [1.09–1.32], P < 0.001), SBP CoV (1.30 [1.12–1.50], P < 0.001), and DBP mean (1.04 [1.00–1.07], P = 0.027) were associated with END. Additionally, logistic regression analysis of SBP and DBP ARV were also performed (S2 Table). Although SBP ARV was associated with END in impaired renal function group. Finally, in the analysis of P for interaction between renal function and BPV parameters for the occurrence of END, SBP CoV (1.17 [0.98–1.41], P = 0.085) and DBP SD (1.12 [0.99–1.26], P = 0.079) approached the borderline of significance (S3 Table).

Discussion

The study shows that the presence of END, BP, and BPV parameters can vary depending on KDIGO classification of CKD; as the risk based on KDIGO classification increased, the BPV parameters and END prevalence increased. Among those patients classified as very high risk

Age, years 66.0 ± 12.8 71.0 ± 11.5 0.007 Male set143 (61.9)40 (67.8)0.493Male set161 (69.7)46 (78.0)0.274Ilypertension161 (69.7)46 (78.0)0.274Diabetes mellitus67 (29.0)21 (35.6)0.410Hyperlipidemia120 (51.9)26 (44.1)0.350Atrial fibrillation34 (14.7)9 (15.3)>0.999CAD32 (13.9)12 (20.3)0.300Current smoking90 (39.0)22 (37.3)0.932Ibboratory findings66 (28.6)20 (33.9)0.522Ibboratory findings62 ± 1.26.4 ± 1.30.272GCFR, IL/min/1.73m ² 79.8 ± 18.273.0 ± 21.80.092Abuminuria, mg/g creatinine15.2 [6.3-52.0]41.6 (13.8-120.3]<0.001KDIGO classification<0.001Low risk137 (59.3)17 (28.8)Moderate risk67 (29.0)30 (50.8)High risk8 (3.5)4 (6.8)Very high risk9 (3.9)8 (13.6)rtPA8 (3.5)4 (6.8)0.438Admission NHSS2 [1-4]3 [1-4]0.038Discharge NHESS10 (-3]5 [4-7]<0.001TOAST classification0.745LADS8 (25.1)15 (25.4).74SVO78 (33.8)22 (37.3)UD39 (16.9)9 (15.3)UD39 (16.9)9 (15.3).74<	Characteristic	Non-END (N = 231)	END (N = 59)	P value
Male sex143 (61.9)40 (67.8)0.493Vascular risk factorHypertension161 (69.7)46 (78.0)0.274Diabetes mellitus67 (29.0)21 (35.6)0.410Hypertension120 (51.9)26 (44.1)0.350Atrial fibrillation34 (14.7)9 (15.3)>0.999CAD22 (13.9)12 (20.3)0.300Current smoking90 (39.0)22 (37.3)0.932Stroke history66 (28.6)20 (33.9)0.522Laboratory findingsHbAL, %6.2 ± 1.26.4 ± 1.30.272eGFR, mL/min/1.73m²79.8 ± 18.277.3 ± 21.80.001NDGO classification<	Age, years	66.0 ± 12.8	71.0 ± 11.5	0.007
Vascular risk factor Interference Interference Hypertrension 161 (09.7) 46 (78.0) 0.274 Diabetes mellitus 67 (29.0) 21 (35.6) 0.410 Hypertrension 120 (51.9) 26 (44.1) 0.350 Arrial fibrillation 34 (14.7) 9 (15.3) >0.999 CAD 32 (15.9) 12 (20.3) 0.300 Current smoking 90 (39.0) 22 (37.3) 0.932 Stroke history 66 (28.6) 20 (33.9) 0.522 Laboratory findings	Male sex	143 (61.9)	40 (67.8)	0.493
Hypertension161 (69.7)46 (78.0)0.274Diabetes mellitus67 (29.0)21 (35.6)0.410Hyperhjidemia120 (51.9)26 (44.1)0.350Atrial fibrillation34 (14.7)9 (15.3)>0.999CAD32 (13.9)12 (20.3)0.300Curret smoking90 (39.0)22 (37.3)0.932Stroke history66 (28.6)20 (33.9)0.522Laboratory findings	Vascular risk factor			
Diabetes mellitus 67 (29.0) 21 (35.6) 0.410 Hyperlpidemia 120 (51.9) 26 (44.1) 0.350 Atrial fibrillation 34 (14.7) 9 (15.3) >0.999 CAD 32 (13.9) 12 (20.3) 0.300 Current smoking 90 (39.0) 22 (37.3) 0.932 Stock history 66 (28.6) 20 (33.9) 0.522 Laboratory findings	Hypertension	161 (69.7)	46 (78.0)	0.274
Hyperlipidemia120 (51.9) $26 (44.1)$ 0.350 Atrial fbrillation $34 (14.7)$ $9 (15.3)$ >0.999CAD $32 (13.9)$ $12 (20.3)$ 0.300 Current smoking $90 (39.0)$ $22 (37.3)$ 0.932 Stroke history $66 (28.6)$ $20 (33.9)$ 0.522 Laboratory findings	Diabetes mellitus	67 (29.0)	21 (35.6)	0.410
Arial fbrillation $34 (14.7)$ $9 (15.3)$ >0.999CAD $32 (13.9)$ $12 (20.3)$ 0.300 Current smoking $90 (39.0)$ $22 (37.3)$ 0.932 Stroke history $66 (28.6)$ $20 (33.9)$ 0.522 Laboratory findings $$	Hyperlipidemia	120 (51.9)	26 (44.1)	0.350
CAD $32(13.9)$ $12(20.3)$ 0.300 Current smoking $90(39.0)$ $22(37.3)$ 0.932 Stroke history $66(28.6)$ $20(33.9)$ 0.522 Laboratory findings $$	Atrial fibrillation	34 (14.7)	9 (15.3)	>0.999
Current smoking90 (39.0) $22 (37.3)$ 0.932Stroke history66 (28.6)20 (33.9)0.522Laboratory findings	CAD	32 (13.9)	12 (20.3)	0.300
Stroke history $66 (28.6)$ $20 (33.9)$ 0.522 Laboratory findings $$	Current smoking	90 (39.0)	22 (37.3)	0.932
Laboratory findingsInterpretain the second sec	Stroke history	66 (28.6)	20 (33.9)	0.522
HbA1c, % 6.2 ± 1.2 6.4 ± 1.3 0.272 eGFR, mL/min/1.73m²79.8 \pm 18.273.0 \pm 21.80.092Albuninuria, mg/g creatinine15.2 [6.3-52.0] 41.6 [13.8-120.3]<0.001	Laboratory findings			
eGFR, mL/min/1.73m²79.8 \pm 18.273.0 \pm 21.80.092Albuminuria, mg/g creatinine15.2 [6.3 - 52.0]41.6 [13.8 - 120.3]<0.001	HbA1c, %	6.2 ± 1.2	6.4 ± 1.3	0.272
Albuminuria, mg/g creatinine $15.2 [6.3-52.0]$ $41.6 [13.8-120.3]$ <0.001 KDIGO classification<0.001	eGFR, mL/min/1.73m ²	79.8 ± 18.2	73.0 ± 21.8	0.092
KDIGO classification< <0.001 Low risk137 (59.3)17 (28.8)Moderate risk67 (29.0)30 (50.8)High risk18 (7.8)4 (6.8)Very high risk9 (3.9)8 (13.6)r-tPA8 (3.5)4 (6.8)Admission NIHSS2 [1-4]3 [1-4]0.038Discharge NIHSS1 [0-3]5 [4-7] <0.001 TOAST classification00.7450.745LAD58 (25.1)15 (25.4)0.745SVO78 (33.8)22 (37.3)0CE44 (19.0)8 (13.6)0.002UD39 (16.9)9 (15.3)0OD12 (5.2)5 (8.5)0.001SBP mean, mmHg137.3 ± 18.0145.9 ± 21.00.002BP rean, mmHg78.2 ± 10.382.4 ± 13.00.003DBP SD7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	Albuminuria, mg/g creatinine	15.2 [6.3–52.0]	41.6 [13.8–120.3]	<0.001
Low risk137 (59.3)17 (28.8)Moderate risk $67 (29.0)$ $30 (50.8)$ High risk18 (7.8) $4 (6.8)$ Very high risk9 (3.9) $8 (13.6)$ r-tPA $8 (3.5)$ $4 (6.8)$ Admission NIHSS $2 [1-4]$ $3 [1-4]$ Discharge NIHSS $1 [0-3]$ $5 [4-7]$ OZST classification 0.745 LAD $58 (25.1)$ $15 (25.4)$ SVO $78 (33.8)$ $22 (37.3)$ CE $44 (19.0)$ $8 (13.6)$ UD $39 (16.9)$ $9 (15.3)$ OD $12 (25.2)$ $5 (8.5)$ SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 SBP CoV 8.1 ± 3.4 9.5 ± 3.1 OBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 ODS 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4	KDIGO classification			<0.001
Moderate risk $67 (29.0)$ $30 (50.8)$ High risk $18 (7.8)$ $4 (6.8)$ Very high risk $9 (3.9)$ $8 (13.6)$ r-tPA $8 (3.5)$ $4 (6.8)$ 0.438 Admission NIHSS $2 [1-4]$ $3 [1-4]$ 0.038 Discharge NIHSS $1 [0-3]$ $5 [4-7]$ <0.001 TOAST classification 0.745 0.745 LAD $58 (25.1)$ $15 (25.4)$ 0.745 SVO $78 (33.8)$ $22 (37.3)$ 0.001 CE $44 (19.0)$ $8 (13.6)$ 0.002 UD $39 (16.9)$ $9 (15.3)$ 0.002 SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 0.002 SBP CoV 8.1 ± 3.4 9.5 ± 3.1 0.003 DBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 0.023 DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	Low risk	137 (59.3)	17 (28.8)	
High risk18 (7.8)4 (6.8)Very high risk9 (3.9)8 (13.6)r-tPA8 (3.5)4 (6.8)0.438Admission NIHSS2 [1-4]3 [1-4]0.038Discharge NIHSS1 [0-3]5 [4-7]<0.001	Moderate risk	67 (29.0)	30 (50.8)	
Very high risk $9 (3.9)$ $8 (13.6)$ r-tPA $8 (3.5)$ $4 (6.8)$ 0.438 Admission NIHSS $2 [1-4]$ $3 [1-4]$ 0.038 Discharge NIHSS $1 [0-3]$ $5 [4-7]$ <0.001 TOAST classification 0.745 0.745 LAD $58 (25.1)$ $15 (25.4)$ 0.745 SVO $78 (33.8)$ $22 (37.3)$ 0.745 CE $44 (19.0)$ $8 (13.6)$ 0.002 UD $39 (16.9)$ $9 (15.3)$ 0.002 SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 0.002 SBP CoV 8.1 ± 3.4 9.5 ± 3.1 0.003 DBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 0.023 DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	High risk	18 (7.8)	4 (6.8)	
r-tPA $8 (3.5)$ $4 (6.8)$ 0.438 Admission NIHSS $2 [1-4]$ $3 [1-4]$ 0.038 Discharge NIHSS $1 [0-3]$ $5 [4-7]$ <0.001 TOAST classification 0.745 0.745 LAD $58 (25.1)$ $15 (25.4)$ 0.745 SVO $78 (33.8)$ $22 (37.3)$ 0.745 CE $44 (19.0)$ $8 (13.6)$ 0.002 UD $39 (16.9)$ $9 (15.3)$ 0.002 SBP mean, mmHg 11.0 ± 4.6 13.8 ± 4.8 <0.001 SBP CoV 8.1 ± 3.4 9.5 ± 3.1 0.003 DBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 0.023 DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	Very high risk	9 (3.9)	8 (13.6)	
Admission NIHSS $2 [1-4]$ $3 [1-4]$ 0.038 Discharge NIHSS $1 [0-3]$ $5 [4-7]$ <0.001 TOAST classification 0.745 0.745 LAD $58 (25.1)$ $15 (25.4)$ 0.745 SVO $78 (33.8)$ $22 (37.3)$ 0.745 CE $44 (19.0)$ $8 (13.6)$ 0.745 UD $39 (16.9)$ $9 (15.3)$ 0.745 OD $12 (5.2)$ $5 (8.5)$ 0.002 SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 0.002 SBP CoV 8.1 ± 3.4 9.5 ± 3.1 0.003 DBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 0.023 DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	r-tPA	8 (3.5)	4 (6.8)	0.438
Discharge NIHSS $1 [0-3]$ $5 [4-7]$ <0.001 TOAST classification0.745LAD58 (25.1)15 (25.4)SVO78 (33.8)22 (37.3)CE44 (19.0)8 (13.6)UD39 (16.9)9 (15.3)OD12 (5.2)5 (8.5)SBP mean, mmHg137.3 ± 18.0145.9 ± 21.00.002SBP CoV8.1 ± 3.49.5 ± 3.10.003DBP mean, mmHg78.2 ± 10.382.4 ± 13.00.023DBP SD7.4 ± 2.68.7 ± 3.40.006	Admission NIHSS	2 [1-4]	3 [1-4]	0.038
TOAST classification 0.745 LAD $58 (25.1)$ $15 (25.4)$ SVO $78 (33.8)$ $22 (37.3)$ CE $44 (19.0)$ $8 (13.6)$ UD $39 (16.9)$ $9 (15.3)$ OD $12 (5.2)$ $5 (8.5)$ SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 SBP SD 11.0 ± 4.6 13.8 ± 4.8 SP CoV 8.1 ± 3.4 9.5 ± 3.1 DBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4	Discharge NIHSS	1 [0-3]	5 [4-7]	<0.001
LAD58 (25.1)15 (25.4)SVO78 (33.8)22 (37.3)CE44 (19.0)8 (13.6)UD39 (16.9)9 (15.3)OD12 (5.2)5 (8.5)SBP mean, mmHg137.3 ± 18.0145.9 ± 21.00.002SBP SD11.0 ± 4.613.8 ± 4.8<0.001	TOAST classification			0.745
SVO 78 (33.8) 22 (37.3) CE 44 (19.0) 8 (13.6) UD 39 (16.9) 9 (15.3) OD 12 (5.2) 5 (8.5) SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 0.002 SBP SD 11.0 ± 4.6 13.8 ± 4.8 <0.001 SBP CoV 8.1 ± 3.4 9.5 ± 3.1 0.003 DBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 0.023 DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	LAD	58 (25.1)	15 (25.4)	
CE 44 (19.0) 8 (13.6) UD 39 (16.9) 9 (15.3) OD 12 (5.2) 5 (8.5) SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 0.002 SBP SD 11.0 ± 4.6 13.8 ± 4.8 <0.001	SVO	78 (33.8)	22 (37.3)	
UD 39 (16.9) 9 (15.3) OD 12 (5.2) 5 (8.5) SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 0.002 SBP SD 11.0 ± 4.6 13.8 ± 4.8 <0.001	СЕ	44 (19.0)	8 (13.6)	
OD 12 (5.2) 5 (8.5) SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 0.002 SBP SD 11.0 ± 4.6 13.8 ± 4.8 <0.001	UD	39 (16.9)	9 (15.3)	
SBP mean, mmHg 137.3 ± 18.0 145.9 ± 21.0 0.002 SBP SD 11.0 ± 4.6 13.8 ± 4.8 <0.001	OD	12 (5.2)	5 (8.5)	
SBP SD 11.0 ± 4.6 13.8 ± 4.8 <0.001 SBP CoV 8.1 ± 3.4 9.5 ± 3.1 0.003 DBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 0.023 DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	SBP mean, mmHg	137.3 ± 18.0	145.9 ± 21.0	0.002
SBP CoV 8.1 ± 3.4 9.5 ± 3.1 0.003 DBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 0.023 DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	SBP SD	11.0 ± 4.6	13.8 ± 4.8	<0.001
DBP mean, mmHg 78.2 ± 10.3 82.4 ± 13.0 0.023 DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	SBP CoV	8.1 ± 3.4	9.5 ± 3.1	0.003
DBP SD 7.4 ± 2.6 8.7 ± 3.4 0.006	DBP mean, mmHg	78.2 ± 10.3	82.4 ± 13.0	0.023
	DBP SD	7.4 ± 2.6	8.7 ± 3.4	0.006
DBP CoV 9.6 ± 3.8 10.6 ± 3.8 0.071	DBP CoV	9.6 ± 3.8	10.6 ± 3.8	0.071

Table 2. Comparison of patient clinical characteristics and BPV in the groups with and without END.

Values are expressed as number (% column), mean ± standard deviation, or median (interquartile range). In patients without END, the BP was measured 12 times on average, and in those with END, the BP was measured 10 times on average.

BPV, blood pressure variability; END, early neurological deterioration; CAD, coronary artery disease; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; r-tPA, recombinant tissue plasminogen activator; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; TOAST, Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment; LAD, large artery disease; SVO, small-vessel occlusion; CE, cardioembolism; UD, undetermined cause; OD, other determined cause; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; CoV, coefficient of variation

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274180.t002

in our study, a considerable proportion of patients showed END. Furthermore, KDIGO classification and BPV were independent factors affecting END. However, the effect of BPV was different according to renal function. Note that BPV parameters were not significantly associated with END in the normal renal function group, whereas in the impaired renal function group, SBP SD, SBP CoV, and DBP mean were independently associated with END.



Fig 2. Comparison of BPV parameters according to the presence of END and renal function. BPV, blood pressure variability; END, early neurological deterioration; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; CoV, coefficient of variation.*Statistical significance: P < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274180.g002

Previous studies have shown that various CKD parameters, such as eGFR, albuminuria, and cystatin C, were associated with the outcomes of stroke [5, 6, 20]. Reduced eGFR well represented overall kidney function, whereas albuminuria could show the extent of endothelial damage of kidney. The two parameters were complementary for predicting renal outcomes and both well predicted the progression to end-stage renal disease; the presence of albuminuria has been associated with END in subcortical infarction by showing infarct volume expansion,

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis of BPV parameter as predictors for END according to renal function.

Variables	Normal renal function (N = 154)	P value Impaired renal function (N = 136)		P value
	Adjusted OR (95% CI)		Adjusted OR (95% CI)	
SBP mean, mmHg	1.02 (0.99–1.06)	0.106	1.02 (1.00–1.04)	0.062
SBP SD*	1.06 (0.96–1.19)	0.254	1.20 (1.09–1.32)	< 0.001
SBP CoV*	1.08 (0.93–1.25)	0.298	1.30 (1.12–1.50)	< 0.001
DBP mean, mmHg	1.02 (0.98–1.07)	0.338	1.04 (1.00–1.07)	0.027
DBP SD*	0.99 (0.94–1.03)	0.527	1.13 (0.99–1.28)	0.064
DBP CoV*	0.98 (0.93–1.04)	0.517	1.09 (0.99–1.20)	0.091

SBP and DBP mean were adjusted for were adjusted for potential factors (P < 0.20) for END. In normal renal function group, age and admission NIHSS were adjusted. On the other hand, sex, and hyperlipidemia were adjusted in impaired renal function group.

*SBP SD and CoV were additionally adjusted for SBP mean, and DBP SD and CoV were additionally adjusted for DBP mean.

BPV, blood pressure variability; END, early neurological deterioration; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; CoV, coefficient of variation

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274180.t003

[11] and eGFR has been associated with functional outcome after acute ischemic stroke [21]. KDIGO classification of CKD encompasses eGFR and albuminuria, which is the two complementary and widely used predictors for CKD, and was highly associated with END.

CKD increases BPV by sympathetic overactivity, reduced arterial compliance, and fluctuation of the renin angiotensin aldosterone system [12]. Daily increased BPV after the index stroke, in the acute stage, may influence the occurrence of END [22]. Real-time hemodynamic alterations can influence the perfusion state, leading to infarction growth in the unstable phase of acute stroke. BPV in the acute phase of stroke was again associated with END in our current study. However, it was more associated with END among those with impaired renal function.

The damage to the microvasculature in the brain and kidneys correlate to each other, as both have shown anatomical similarities; the low resistance and sudden decrease in vessel diameter of the glomerulus and cerebral perforators can lead to a high correlation between impaired renal function and imaging biomarkers of damage to the cerebral microvasculature [11, 13]. Patients with ischemic stroke presenting with these biomarkers were also prone to END. Moreover, the uremic toxins can directly increase oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction, and proinflammatory conditions enhancing neuronal death, leading to END among patients with impaired renal function [23]. Therefore, the microvascular fragility of the brain may at least partially explain the high risk of END among those with impaired renal function. According to our findings, we also can add another factor—BPV—to explain the high rate of END among patients with impaired renal function. The chronically increased BPV in patients with CKD may have compromised cerebral autoregulation, leading to a further progressive microvascular damage and increasing the potential for END. Finally, BPV in the acute phase may have influenced the fragile microcirculation increasing the risk of END.

Our study has some limitations. First, because we performed the study at a single center with a small sample size, it is difficult to generalize the results. Especially, the number of high risk and very high risk group was too small. Therefore, the findings require further verification in a larger, prospective study. However, measurement of BP was performed using the center's protocol, which was standardized across patients. Second, we measured albuminuria and creatinine only once on the day of admission. These parameters can fluctuate according to the sampling time or stress of the stroke. Follow-up data for albuminuria and creatinine may have strengthened our results. Third, it is well known that obesity has been associated with low-grade false positive albuminuria. Additional test for the obese patients would have improved our study more clearly [24].

Despite these limitations, we have shown that the effects of BPV on END are associated with renal function in acute minor ischemic stroke. BPV and END increased as the renal function decreased according to KDIGO classification. BPV was associated with END in patients with impaired renal function, but less in those with normal renal function. Therefore, we must consider BPV more carefully in patients with impaired renal function.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Multivariable logistic regression analysis of predictors for END in minor ischemic stroke patients.

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Logistic regression analysis of SBP and DBP ARV as predictors for END according to renal function. (DOCX) S3 Table. Interaction between renal function and BPV parameters for the occurrence of END.

(DOCX)

S4 Table. Database containing patient information. (PDF)

S1 Fig. Study flow chart. NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale. (TIF)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Jae-Chan Ryu, Bum Joon Kim.

Data curation: Jae-Chan Ryu, Jae-Han Bae, Sang Hee Ha, Jun Young Chang, Dong-Wha Kang, Sun U. Kwon, Jong S. Kim, Chung Hee Baek, Bum Joon Kim.

Formal analysis: Jae-Chan Ryu, Bum Joon Kim.

Investigation: Jae-Chan Ryu.

Methodology: Jae-Chan Ryu, Jae-Han Bae, Sang Hee Ha, Jun Young Chang, Dong-Wha Kang, Sun U. Kwon, Jong S. Kim, Chung Hee Baek, Bum Joon Kim.

Supervision: Bum Joon Kim.

Writing - original draft: Jae-Chan Ryu, Bum Joon Kim.

Writing – review & editing: Jae-Chan Ryu, Jae-Han Bae, Sang Hee Ha, Jun Young Chang, Dong-Wha Kang, Sun U. Kwon, Jong S. Kim, Chung Hee Baek, Bum Joon Kim.

References

- Collins AJ, Foley RN, Chavers B, Gilbertson D, Herzog C, Johansen K, et al. 'United States Renal Data System 2011 Annual Data Report: Atlas of chronic kidney disease & end-stage renal disease in the United States. Am J Kidney Dis. 2012; 59(1 Suppl 1): A7, e1–420. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2011. 11.015 PMID: 22177944
- Dad T, Weiner DE. Stroke and Chronic Kidney Disease: Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, and Management Across Kidney Disease Stages. Semin Nephrol. 2015; 35(4): 311–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. semnephrol.2015.06.003 PMID: 26355250
- Shin JH, Kang KW, Kim JG, Lee SJ. Concurrent renal dysfunction with ischemic heart disease is an important determinant for cardiac and cerebrovascular mortality in patients on chronic digoxin therapy for atrial fibrillation. Kidney Res Clin Pract. 2018; 37(2): 130–137. https://doi.org/10.23876/j.krcp.2018. 37.2.130 PMID: 29971208
- Ghoshal S, Freedman BI. Mechanisms of Stroke in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. Am J Nephrol. 2019; 50(4): 229–239. https://doi.org/10.1159/000502446 PMID: 31461699
- Lee M, Saver JL, Chang KH, Liao HW, Chang SC, Ovbiagele B. Low glomerular filtration rate and risk of stroke: meta-analysis. BMJ. 2010; 341: c4249. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c4249 PMID: 20884696
- Lee M, Saver JL, Chang KH, Liao HW, Chang SC, Ovbiagele B. Impact of microalbuminuria on incident stroke: a meta-analysis. Stroke. 2010; 41(11): 2625–2631. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.110. 581215 PMID: 20930164
- Ito S, Nagasawa T, Abe M, Mori T. Strain vessel hypothesis: a viewpoint for linkage of albuminuria and cerebro-cardiovascular risk. Hypertens Res. 2009; 32(2): 115–121. https://doi.org/10.1038/hr.2008.27 PMID: 19262469
- Weimar C, Mieck T, Buchthal J, Ehrenfeld CE, Schmid E, Diener HC. Neurologic worsening during the acute phase of ischemic stroke. Arch Neurol. 2005; 62(3): 393–397. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur. 62.3.393 PMID: 15767504
- Khatri P, Conaway MR, Johnston KC. Ninety-day outcome rates of a prospective cohort of consecutive patients with mild ischemic stroke. Stroke. 2012; 43(2): 560–562. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/</u> STROKEAHA.110.593897 PMID: 22052513

- Kanamaru T, Suda S, Muraga K, Okubo S, Watanabe Y, Tsuruoka S, et al. Albuminuria predicts early neurological deterioration in patients with acute ischemic stroke. J Neurol Sci. 2017; 372: 417–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2016.11.007 PMID: 27836107
- Umemura T, Senda J, Fukami Y, Mashita S, Kawamura T, Sakakibara T, et al. Impact of albuminuria on early neurological deterioration and lesion volume expansion in lenticulostriate small infarcts. Stroke. 2014; 45(2): 587–590. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.003164 PMID: 24302481
- 12. Shah B, Jagtap P, Sarmah D, Datta A, Raut S, Sarkar A, et al. Cerebro-renal interaction and stroke. Eur J Neurosci. 2021; 53(4): 1279–1299. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.14983 PMID: 32979852
- Tully PJ, Yano Y, Launer LJ, Kario K, Nagai M, Mooijaart SP, et al. Association Between Blood Pressure Variability and Cerebral Small-Vessel Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020; 9(1): e013841. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.119.013841 PMID: 31870233
- Chung JW, Kim N, Kang J, Park SH, Kim WJ, Ko Y, et al. Blood pressure variability and the development of early neurological deterioration following acute ischemic stroke. J Hypertens. 2015; 33(10): 2099–2106. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.00000000000675 PMID: 26237556
- Mallamaci F, Tripepi G. Blood pressure variability in chronic kidney disease patients. Blood Purif. 2013; 36(1): 58–62. https://doi.org/10.1159/000351004 PMID: 23735729
- Sarafidis PA, Ruilope LM, Loutradis C, Gorostidi M, de la Sierra A, de la Cruz JJ, et al. Blood pressure variability increases with advancing chronic kidney disease stage: a cross-sectional analysis of 16546 hypertensive patients. J Hypertens. 2018; 36(5): 1076–1085. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.</u> 00000000001670 PMID: 29465710
- Kim MK, Han K, Kim HS, Park YM, Kwon HS, Yoon KH, et al. Effects of Variability in Blood Pressure, Glucose, and Cholesterol Concentrations, and Body Mass Index on End-Stage Renal Disease in the General Population of Korea. J Clin Med. 2019; 8(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8050755 PMID: 31137866
- Andrassy KM. Comments on 'KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease'. Kidney Int. 2013; 84(3): 622–623. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2013.243</u> PMID: 23989362
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med. 2009; 150(9): 604–612. <u>https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-150-9-200905050-00006 PMID: 19414839</u>
- Kim TJ, Kang MK, Jeong HG, Kim CK, Kim Y, Nam KW, et al. Cystatin C is a useful predictor of early neurological deterioration following ischaemic stroke in elderly patients with normal renal function. Eur Stroke J. 2017; 2(1): 23–30. https://doi.org/10.1177/2396987316677197 PMID: 31008299
- 21. Kim HJ, Kim JK, Oh MS, Kim SG, Yu KH, Lee BC. A low baseline glomerular filtration rate predicts poor clinical outcome at 3 months after acute ischemic stroke. J Clin Neurol. 2015; 11(1): 73–79. <u>https://doi.org/10.3988/jcn.2015.11.1.73</u> PMID: 25628740
- 22. Kang J, Hong JH, Jang MU, Choi NC, Lee JS, Kim BJ, et al. Change in blood pressure variability in patients with acute ischemic stroke and its effect on early neurologic outcome. PLoS One. 2017; 12 (12): e0189216. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189216 PMID: 29252991
- Assem M, Lando M, Grissi M, Kamel S, Massy ZA, Chillon JM, et al. The Impact of Uremic Toxins on Cerebrovascular and Cognitive Disorders. Toxins (Basel). 2018; 10(7). <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/</u> toxins10070303 PMID: 30037144
- 24. Sharma K. The link between obesity and albuminuria: adiponectin and podocyte dysfunction. Kidney Int. 2009; 76(2): 145–148. https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2009.137 PMID: 19404275