| 1      | Title: Predictive filtering of sensory response via orbitofrontal top-down input  |
|--------|---|
| 2<br>3 | Authors: Hiroaki Tsukano <sup>1,2</sup> , Michellee M. Garcia <sup>1,2</sup> , Pranathi R. Dandu <sup>1,2</sup> , Hiroyuki K. Kato <sup>1-5</sup> * |
| 4      |   |
| 5      | Affiliations:   |
| 6      | <sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Chapel Hill, 27599, USA.  |
| 7      | <sup>2</sup> Neuroscience Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Chapel Hill, 27599, USA.   |
| 8<br>9 | <sup>3</sup> Carolina Institute for Developmental Disabilities, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill;<br>Chapel Hill, 27599, USA.            |
| 10     | <sup>4</sup> Eaton-Peabody Laboratories, Massachusetts Eye and Ear; Boston, 02114, USA.   |
| 11     | <sup>5</sup> Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, Harvard Medical School; Boston, 02114,   |
| 12     | USA.  |
| 13     | *Corresponding author. Email: hkato3@meei.harvard.edu   |
| 14     |   |
| 15     |   |
| 16     | Abstract: Habituation is a crucial sensory filtering mechanism whose dysregulation can lead to a  |
| 17     | continuously intense world in disorders with sensory overload. While habituation is considered to   |
| 18     | require top-down predictive signaling to suppress irrelevant inputs, the exact brain loci storing the   |
| 19     | internal predictive model and the circuit mechanisms of sensory filtering remain unclear. We found that   |
| 20     | daily neural habituation in the primary auditory cortex (A1) was reversed by inactivation of the  |
| 21     | orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Top-down projections from the ventrolateral OFC, but not other frontal  |
| 22     | areas, carried predictive signals that grew with daily sound experience and suppressed A1 via   |
| 23     | somatostatin-expressing inhibitory neurons. Thus, prediction signals from the OFC cancel out  |
| 24     | behaviorally irrelevant anticipated stimuli by generating their "negative images" in sensory cortices.  |

### 25 Main Text:

26 Throughout our lives, we are constantly exposed to a flood of sensory information from the external world. However, only a small fraction of this information reaches our conscious perception and triggers 27 behavioral responses. This remarkable filtering, which prioritizes inputs with expected meaningful 28 29 outcomes while ignoring others, arises from our brain's ability to build internal models of the world (1 -30 3). These models are continuously trained through our lifetime experiences and dictate predictive 31 relationships between sensory objects in the world. The inability to build such predictive models, and 32 thus to apply appropriate sensory filters, is a hallmark of mental disorders such as obsessive-compulsive disorder (4, 5), schizophrenia (6), and most notably, autism spectrum disorders (ASD)(7-10). 33 34 One fundamental form of such sensory filtering is habituation, the brain's ability to ignore familiar, 35 inconsequential stimuli (11-13). Habituation operates through multitudes of mechanisms, allowing animals to adapt to the statistical structures of their sensory environments over a wide range of 36 37 timescales. While previous research primarily focused on mechanisms for short-term plasticity lasting 38 from milliseconds to seconds, known as "stimulus-specific adaptation" (14-21), habituation also extends 39 to longer periods—days or even weeks (22-26)—as evidenced by the gradual reduction in our 40 sensitivity to perfumes or traffic noise. This long-term habituation is particularly relevant for 41 understanding the pathology of filtering deficits, as a lack of accumulated habituation to daily sensory 42 objects throughout one's life could result in a persistently intense sensory world (27–32). 43 Contrary to the widely held belief that habituation is the "simplest form of learning," accumulating evidence has challenged this perceived simplicity. Classical internal model-free mechanisms, such as 44 45 sensory receptor fatigue, homosynaptic depression, and the short-term dynamics of inhibitory neuron firing, fail to fully account for several key characteristics of habituation. These characteristics include its 46 47 persistence over weeks, capacity to process complex stimuli involving multiple sensory channels,

context-dependence (33–35), and disruption by anesthesia (36, 37). These observations have prompted
theories suggesting that habituation is associative and governed by top-down regulation informed by
internal models of the external world (11, 29, 36, 38, 39).

51 Two competing hypotheses have been proposed to explain the top-down circuit mechanisms of long-52 term sensory habituation. The predictive negative image hypothesis posits that initially strong sensory 53 responses are gradually canceled as the top-down predictive signal grows (Fig. 1A, left, and fig. S1A). 54 Supporting this hypothesis, somatostatin-expressing inhibitory neurons (SST cells) in sensory cortices increase their sensory responses over days of stimulus exposure (40-42), providing neuronal substrates 55 56 for the generation of a 'negative image' of the stimulus. An alternative is the novelty (or prediction 57 error) hypothesis, which assumes that sensory-evoked activity is weak by default and is amplified by a top-down novelty signal upon the animal's first encounter with a stimulus (Fig. 1A, right)(43-46). This 58 59 view proposes that the activation of vasoactive intestinal peptide-expressing inhibitory neurons (VIP 60 cells) by the novelty signal disinhibits pyramidal neurons, and habituation occurs as the novelty signal 61 wanes over time.

Despite their fundamentally distinct mechanisms, activity measurements in sensory cortices alone have failed to differentiate these hypotheses. Due to the reciprocal connections between SST and VIP cells, both models predict similar neuronal dynamics during habituation: an increase in SST cell activity and a decrease in VIP and pyramidal cell activity. To discriminate between these theories, we sought to identify the source of the top-down input to A1 during daily sensory habituation and determine the 'default' sensory responses by removing the top-down signal.

### 68 A1 neurons show sound-specific habituation across days

We induced sensory habituation in awake, head-fixed mice through repeated exposure to tones over five days (5–9 seconds pure tones, 7–16 seconds inter-trial interval, 70 dB SPL, 200 trials/day) (Fig. 1, B and 71 C). To track the activity of the same A1 layer 2/3 (L2/3) neural ensembles across days, we conducted chronic two-photon calcium imaging. We first located A1 by intrinsic signal imaging (47) and virally 72 expressed GCaMP6s in the A1 of wild-type or VGAT-Cre × Ai9 mice. Three weeks after virus injection 73 74 and optical window implantation, we recorded sound-evoked activities from 5,483 L2/3 neurons in 20 75 mice. We chose a fixed tone frequency for each mouse and imaged the corresponding tonotopic domain 76 in A1, where we tracked the same neural ensembles throughout the experiment. Across days of sound exposure, we observed clear habituation in tone responses, characterized by reduced excitation and 77 increased suppression in a sound-specific manner (Fig. 1, D to H, fig. S2, A to C, and fig. S3), consistent 78 79 with previous studies (40, 41). Given indistinguishable results between experiments imaging all L2/3 neurons and those imaging only VGAT<sup>-</sup> pyramidal neurons, data from both experiments were combined 80 81 and treated as A1 pyramidal cells (fig. S2, D to G).

82 We observed two timescales of habituation: within-day habituation, which repeated daily across 83 trials, and across-day habituation, which built up over multiple days (Fig. 1, I and J). To better 84 understand the relationship between these plasticity timescales, we projected high-dimensional population dynamics onto the axes defined by within-day and across-day changes. Notably, these two 85 habituation mechanisms represented nearly orthogonal axes in the high-dimensional space (78.2 degree; 86 87 Fig. 1K), indicating that across-day habituation is not simply the accumulated effect of within-day 88 habituation. Within-day habituation markedly attenuated sound onset responses, whereas across-day 89 habituation was greater during the sustained phase of tones (5 seconds after onset), implying two 90 independent mechanisms for auditory habituation (Fig. 1, G, L, and M, and Supplementary Text). This 91 difference in kinetics supports the role of slow, top-down predictive filtering in across-day habituation, 92 while within-day habituation may be driven by faster, bottom-up mechanisms.



93

94 Fig. 1. Inactivation of OFC reverses across-day habituation in A1. (A) Schematics illustrating two 95 theories explaining sensory habituation by top-down predictive mechanisms. (B) Representative two-photon 96 image of A1 L2/3 neurons expressing GCaMP6s. (C) Schematic illustrating the five-day auditory habituation 97 paradigm. (D) Heatmaps of sound-evoked responses in neurons imaged across five days in a representative 98 mouse. Neurons are sorted by their responses on Day 1. (E) Average (solid line) and SEM (shading) of the 99 Change Index for excitatory (red) and inhibitory (blue) sound-evoked responses across days, n = 20 mice. (F) Change Index of excitatory responses in individual neurons on Day 5 compared to Day 1 across all mice. 100 101 Black lines on the right represent mean  $\pm$  SEM. *n* = 879 responsive neurons. \*\*\*\**P* = 1.6 × 10<sup>-66</sup> (two-sided 102 Wilcoxon signed-rank test). (G) Left: Change in sound-evoked response traces from Day 1 to Day 5 averaged across all significantly excited cells. Black bar: sound. Right: The amplitudes of the difference 103 104 trace at 1 and 7 seconds after sound onset. \*\*\*\* $P = 1.4 \times 10^{-65}$  (two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test). (H) 105 Histograms of changes in response magnitudes in all neurons. Orange and green bars show neurons with significant increase and decrease. \*\*\*\* $P = 4.3 \times 10^{-138}$  (Fisher's exact test). (I) Schematic illustrating vectors 106 representing within-day habituation (purple: Modewithin) and across-day habituation (green: Modeacross) within 107

108 a high-dimensional space. Each dimension corresponds to the response magnitudes of individual neurons. 109 (J) Projection of trial-to-trial sound-evoked A1 ensemble activity dynamics onto the Modewithin (left) and 110 Mode<sub>across</sub> (right) vectors. Data points represent individual trials (100 trials × 5 days). Ensemble activity 111 patterns repeated fast daily plasticity along the Modewithin axis, while they show continuous slow plasticity 112 along the Mode<sub>across</sub> axis across five days. n = 20 mice, 2,398 cells imaged throughout the five days. (**K**) 113 Cosine similarity between Mode<sub>within</sub> and Mode<sub>across</sub>, indicating a nearly orthogonal relationship. (L) Left: 114 Change in sound-evoked ensemble activity along Modewithin from Trial 1 to Trial 10, demonstrating prominent 115 within-day, across-trial habituation around the tone onset. Right: Change in sound-evoked ensemble activity 116 along Mode<sub>across</sub> from Day 1 to Day 5. Across-day habituation slowly ramps up during the sustained tone. 117 (M) Summary data showing the Ramp-up Index for across-day plasticity (Day 1 to Day 5) and within-day 118 plasticity (Trial 1 to Trial 10). (N) Top left: Schematic illustrating pupil monitoring during two-photon calcium 119 imaging. Top right: Representative image from a pupil camera. Bottom right: Representative pupil diameter 120 dynamics during sound presentations. Black lines indicate tone timings. (O) Change in normalized pupil 121 diameter from Day 1. n = 17 mice. (P) Two alternative models illustrating the dependence of neuronal sound 122 responses on normalized pupil diameter. Left: A model in which neuronal habituation depends on the 123 decrease in arousal level. Right: A model in which neuronal habituation is independent of arousal level. 124 Individual dots represent trials. Black: Day 1 trials. Red: Day 5 trials. Lines indicate regression lines. (Q) 125 Experimental data showing neuronal response magnitudes binned by normalized pupil diameter separately for Day 1 (black) and Day 5 (red). Day 5 neuronal responses are smaller than Day 1 responses regardless 126 of normalized pupil diameter. Day 1 vs. Day 5: \*\*\*\* $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-30}$  (unbalanced two-way ANOVA). 127

128

129 To assess the contribution of global neuromodulation to sensory habituation, we monitored pupil 130 diameter during calcium imaging in a subset of experiments. Pupil diameter showed a gradual decrease 131 across days, providing evidence of habituation in arousal responses (Fig. 1, N and O). However, two 132 observations argued against the possibility that the A1 neuronal habituation stemmed from a general 133 decrease in responsiveness due to lowered arousal. First, plots of trial-to-trial variability of neural 134 activity against pupil diameter showed a distinct separation between Day 1 and Day 5 data regardless of pupil diameter, demonstrating that across-day habituation is independent of arousal levels (Fig. 1, P and 135 136 Q). Second, independent calcium imaging using two different tone frequencies confirmed the sound 137 specificity of A1 sensory habituation (fig. S3). These data suggest that sensory habituation in A1 is due to sound-specific plasticity rather than global brain state changes. Additionally, we previously found that 138 across-day habituation under these conditions is not inherited from subcortical pathways, as the 139 plasticity was evident in L2/3 but not in the input layer, L4 (40). Therefore, we focused on across-day 140

141 habituation for the rest of our analyses to determine its cortical circuit mechanisms.

142 Both the predictive negative image hypothesis and the novelty hypothesis involve the active recruitment of inhibitory neurons during habituation. Since VIP cell activity had not been previously 143 144 monitored in A1 during across-day habituation, we performed cell type-selective calcium imaging of 145 three inhibitory neuron subclasses-SST cells, VIP cells, and parvalbumin-expressing neurons (PV 146 cells)—to determine their dynamics over five days. We targeted GCaMP6s to each cell type by injecting 147 a conditional GCaMP6s-expressing virus in Cre transgenic mice. Throughout the five-day sound 148 exposure, L2/3 VIP and PV cells exhibited neural habituation similar to pyramidal cells (fig. S4). Interestingly, SST cells showed clear heterogeneity (48); deep (>200 µm from the surface) SST neurons 149 150 showed habituation similar to pyramidal cells, while superficial (<200 µm) neurons exhibited the 151 opposite pattern (40, 41). These findings suggest the involvement of the superficial SST cells in sensory 152 habituation, consistent with the inhibitory dynamics proposed in both theories. However, they do not 153 conclusively support one hypothesis over the other, prompting further investigation into the modulation 154 source for A1 inhibitory neurons.

## 155 OFC inactivation reverses sensory habituation in A1

156 We therefore sought to identify the source of top-down input regulating A1 neuronal activity (Fig. 2A). 157 First, we performed anatomical tracing. After mapping auditory cortices with intrinsic signal imaging, we injected a retrograde tracer into A1  $L^{2/3}$  (Fig. 2B). Consistent with previous studies, we found many 158 presynaptic neurons in the OFC (49–52), secondary motor cortex (M2)(53–55), and anterior cingulate 159 160 cortex (ACC)(56) (Fig. 2, C and D), with the ventrolateral and lateral OFC (hereafter, jointly called 161 OFCvl) showing the densest inputs. The lack of overlap between the tracer and VGAT expression 162 indicated that the top-down projections from OFCvl were glutamatergic (fig. S5, A to D). Cell type-163 selective retrograde tracing with rabies virus further confirmed that both SST and VIP cells receive



165 Fig. 2. Inactivation of OFC reverses across-day habituation in A1. (A) Schematics illustrating two theories explaining how to distinguish top-down predictive mechanisms from novelty mechanisms. (B) Top: 166 Intrinsic signal imaging of pure tone responses superimposed on the cortical surface imaged through the 167 168 skull. Yellow cross: injection site. Bottom: Schematic illustrating retrograde tracing from A1 L2/3. (C) 169 tdTomato-expressing input neurons onto A1 L2/3. Left: Coronal section of the frontal areas overlaid with area 170 borders. Right: Magnified images of OFC, ACC, and M2 areas. (D) Bar plots summarizing the distribution of 171 input cells in ipsilalteral frontal cortical areas. M1: primary motor cortex, CL: claustrum, DP: dorsal 172 peduncular area. Inset: Further classification of OFC into ventrolateral (OFCvI), lateral (OFCI), and medial 173 (OFCm) subdivisions. n = 4 mice. (E-G) Retrograde tracing from A1 L2/3 pyramidal neurons using SST-Cre 174 mice. (E) Left: Coronal section of the injection site in A1. Dotted lines: cortical borders. Right: Magnified view

175 of the area indicated by a square in the left image. (F) Coronal section of the frontal areas overlaid with area 176 borders. White dots: presynaptic neurons. (G) Bar plots summarizing the distribution of input cells in 177 ipsilateral frontal cortical areas. n = 4 mice. Inset: Further classification of OFC into ventrolateral (OFCvI), 178 lateral (OFCI), and medial (OFCm) subdivisions. (H) Same as (G) but for VIP-Cre mice. (I) Top: Schematic 179 illustrating muscimol infusion. Bottom: DAPI-counterstained coronal section showing the cannula 180 implantation site in OFC. (J) Protocol for muscimol infusion after habituation. (K) Top left: Trial-averaged 181 sound-evoked response traces from three cells. Bottom left: Change Index across days. Pink shade: 182 Muscimol infusion. n = 7 mice, 1,392 neurons. Right: Change Index of excitatory responses in individual 183 neurons on Day 6 compared to Day 5 across all mice. n = 296 significantly excited neurons. \*\*\*\* $P = 7.9 \times 10^{-10}$ 184 <sup>16</sup> (two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test). (L) Left: Change in sound-evoked response traces from Day 5 to 185 Day 6, averaged across all significantly excited cells. Right: The amplitudes of the difference trace at 1 and 7 seconds after sound onset. \*\*\*\* $P = 3.4 \times 10^{-7}$ . (M) Histograms of changes in response magnitudes in all 186 neurons. \*\*\*\* $P = 5.7 \times 10^{-25}$ . (N-P) The same as (K-M), but for control PBS infusion experiments. (N) n = 3187 188 mice, 482 total neurons, 49 significantly excited neurons. P = 0.52. (O) P = 0.23. (P) P = 0.19. (Q) 189 Schematic illustrating muscimol infusion in naïve mice. (R) Change Index for excitatory responses across 190 blocks of trials. Each data point represents a block of 25 trials. Red: muscimol (n = 4 mice). Dark grav: PBS 191 control (n = 6 mice). (S) Change Index of excitatory responses in individual neurons in blocks 6–8 192 compared to blocks 2-4 across all mice. n = 301 and 242 significantly excited neurons for PBS and muscimol. PBS: P = 0.67 (two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test), muscimol: P = 0.23, PBS vs. muscimol: P = 193 194 0.49 (two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

195

196 extensive top-down inputs from OFCvl (Fig. 2, E to H, and fig. S6; see Discussion). In contrast, tracer

197 signals in adjacent areas, such as the frontal pole (FRP), prelimbic area (PL), and infralimbic area (IL),

198 were weaker in both AAV and rabies tracing, indicating highly specific wiring of auditory circuits in the

199 frontal cortex (57-59).

200 We next asked whether the frontal top-down input causally contributes to A1 sensory habituation.

201 Two hypotheses predict distinct outcomes with the removal of top-down input (Fig. 2A, red dotted

arrows). According to the predictive negative image model, removing the predictive signal should

203 increase sensory responses following habituation but have minimal impact on naïve animals.

204 Conversely, the novelty model suggests that eliminating the novelty signal would diminish sensory

205 responses in naïve animals but have little effect after habituation. To test these predictions, we targeted

the OFCvl for pharmacological inactivation, given its strongest top-down connection to A1. After five

207 days of tone habituation, we inactivated OFCvl on Day 6 by infusing muscimol through a pre-implanted

208 cannula (2.5 mg/mL, 500 nL, 100 nL/min) (Fig. 2, I and J). Remarkably, OFCvl inactivation reversed 209 the sensory habituation in A1 pyramidal cells by enhancing excitatory responses and reducing 210 suppression responses, an effect not observed with the control PBS infusion (Fig. 2, K to P, and fig. S7) (Change Index from Day 5 to Day 6, muscimol:  $0.31 \pm 0.04$ ,  $P = 7.9 \times 10^{-16}$ ; control:  $0.034 \pm 0.094$ , P =211 0.52). In a separate experiment, we evaluated the effects of OFCvl inactivation in naïve animals by 212 213 infusing muscimol on Day 1 (Fig. 2Q). Unlike the post-habituation inactivation, OFCvl inactivation 214 prior to habituation did not alter tone responses (Fig. 2, R and S) (Change Index before and after 215 infusion, muscimol:  $-0.002 \pm 0.017$ , P = 0.23; control:  $-0.037 \pm 0.015$ , P = 0.67). The absence of 216 enhanced responses by muscimol in naïve animals rules out the role of OFCvl in transmitting novelty 217 signals or modulating general sensory processing in A1. Instead, our findings demonstrate the causal 218 role of the OFC specifically in the expression of long-term sensory habituation, aligning with the 219 predictive negative image hypothesis.

220 Given the involvement of specific inhibitory neuron subtypes in both hypotheses, we further assessed whether inhibitory neurons follow the predictions of these models. We repeated the same six-221 222 day OFCvl inactivation experiment while performing cell type-selective imaging of SST, VIP, and PV 223 cells (Fig. 3A). Upon OFCvl inactivation in habituated mice, sound responses in SST cells were significantly reduced (Change Index from Day 5 to Day 6,  $-0.50 \pm 0.06$ ,  $P = 1.6 \times 10^{-9}$ ), as expected 224 225 from the predictive negative image hypothesis (Fig. 3, B to E). In contrast, both VIP and PV cells 226 showed a marked enhancement in their sound responses, consistent with the release from SST cellmediated inhibition (PV:  $0.18 \pm 0.06$ , P = 0.036; VIP:  $0.55 \pm 0.10$ , P = 0.0012) (Fig. 3, F to M, and fig. 227 228 S7). These findings further support that the predictive signals from the OFC activate SST cells to suppress other A1 cell types after habituation. 229

230 Interestingly, while the effects of both sensory habituation (Fig. 1, G, L, and M) and OFCvl

inactivation (Fig. 2, L, Fig. 3, D, H, and L, and fig. S7, E and F) were evident at sound onset (0–1 sec
from the tone start), they were significantly more pronounced during the sustained sound stimulus. This
slow kinetics of sensory habituation aligns with the recruitment of a long-distance top-down feedback
loop and supports the role of prediction, as the sustained phase of the sound is more predictable than the
onset.



# Fig. 3. Post-habituation inactivation of OFC suppresses SST neuron activity in A1. (A) Left: Schematic illustrating muscimol infusion after habituation. Right: Circuit diagram of inhibitory neuron connectivity in A1. (B) Heatmaps of sound-evoked responses in SST neurons imaged across Days 5 and 6 in a representative mouse. Neurons are sorted by their responses on Day 5. (C) Change Index of excitatory responses in individual SST neurons on Day 6 compared to Day 5 across all mice. Lines on the right represent mean $\pm$ SEM. n = 4mice, 54 excited cells. \*\*\*\* $P = 1.6 \times 10^{-9}$ (twosided Wilcoxon signed-rank test). (D) Left: Change in sound-evoked response traces from Day 5 to Day 6 averaged across all significantly excited SST cells. Solid line: average. Shading: SEM. Bar, sound. Right: The amplitudes of the difference trace at 1 and 7 seconds after sound onset. \*\*\*P = 4.7×10<sup>-4</sup> (two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test). (E) Histograms of changes in response magnitudes in all SST neurons. Orange and green bars show neurons with significant increase and decrease. \*\*P = 0.0039(Fisher's exact test). (F-I) Same as (B-E) but for VIP neurons. (G) n = 4 mice, 26 excited cells. \*\*P = 0.0012 (**H**) \*\*\*\*P = 3.3 × 10<sup>-26</sup>. (**I**) \*\*\*\*P = 3.3 × 10<sup>-36</sup>. (J-M) Same as (B-E) but for PV neurons.

(**K**) n = 5 mice, 90 excited cells. \*\*\*\* $P = 7.9 \times 10^{-10}$ 

<sup>6</sup>. (L) P = 0.30. (M) \*\*\*\* $P = 4.2 \times 10^{-5}$ .

#### 236 OFC top-down projection conveys predictive signals and suppresses A1

The OFC plays critical roles in various cognitive functions and has extensive connections with multiple brain regions, including the mediodorsal thalamus, striatum, amygdala, ACC, insular cortex, and sensory cortical areas (*60*). We next investigated whether the direct projection from OFCvl to A1 (fig. S5, E to H) conveys predictive signals during sensory habituation (Fig. 4A).

241 We visualized sound-evoked activity in the OFCvl $\rightarrow$ A1 direct pathway by virally expressing

axonGCaMP6s in OFCvl neurons. We performed two-photon calcium imaging of their axon terminals in

L1 and superficial L2/3 of A1 to track the activity from the same axon boutons over a five-day

habituation period (Fig. 4B). On Day 1, significant tone-evoked excitation was observed in 5.5% of

axon boutons, consistent with the significant but sparse sound responses previously reported in OFC of

246 naïve mice (49, 61) (Fig. 4, C and D). The sound responses are likely conveyed through the anatomical

247 projections from the auditory cortex to the OFCvl (fig. S5, I to O). However, following habituation, the

proportion of excited boutons more than doubled to 13.2%. In axon boutons identified across days, we

observed a gradual increase in response magnitudes (Fig. 4, C and E). This increase in OFCvl $\rightarrow$ A1

axonal activity was more pronounced during the sustained phase of tones (Fig. 4F), consistent with the

slow kinetics of habituation in A1 neurons (Fig. 1G, and Fig. 3, D, H, and L). Moreover, this plasticity

252 was specific to the habituated tone frequency, ruling out a general increase in OFCvl responsiveness

(Fig. 4, G and H). These results support the hypothesis that the OFC gradually forms an internal modelof repeated stimuli, and its direct projection to A1 carries predictive signals that grow over days to

255 recruit SST inhibitory neurons.

248

Next, we examined whether the increase in the representation of habituated sounds is specific to the OFCvl. Previous research found predictive filtering of A1 responses to self-generated sounds by  $M2 \rightarrow A1$  top-down input (53–55). Thus, predictive filtering of sensory responses may be a general



259

260 Fig. 4. OFC top-down projection conveys predictive signals and suppresses A1. (A) Schematic 261 illustrating direct and indirect pathways from OFC to A1. (B) Left: Schematic illustrating projection-specific calcium imaging of the OFC $\rightarrow$ A1 direct pathway. Right: Representative two-photon image of a GCaMP6s-262 expressing OFC axon in A1 on Day 1 and Day 5. (C) Trial-averaged sound-evoked response traces from two 263 264 axon boutons. Black: Day 1. Red: Day 5. Scale bar: 50% ΔF/F. Dotted lines indicate sound onset and offset. 265 (D) Fraction of boutons with significant excitatory responses on Day 1 and Day 5 across mice. n = 7 mice, 266 326 boutons. \*P = 0.039 (two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test). (E) Average (solid line) and SEM (shading) 267 of the Change Index for excitatory responses across days. \*P = 0.016 (Day 1 vs. Day 5, two-sided Wilcoxon 268 signed-rank test) (F) Change in sound-evoked response traces from Day 1 to Day 5 averaged across all 269 significantly excited boutons. Black bar: sound. Inset shows the amplitudes of the difference trace at 1 and 7 270 seconds after sound onset. \*P = 0.044 (two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test). (G) Schematic illustrating the

271 sound-specific habituation paradigm using two sound frequencies. (H) Change Index of excitatory responses 272 to Tone A (habituated) and Tone B (control) on Day 6 compared to the pre-habituation block. n = 7 mice, 18 273 and 13 significantly excited boutons for tones A and B. Tone A: \*\*P = 0.0046 (two-sided Wilcoxon signed-274 rank test, Tone B: P = 0.20, Tone A vs. B: \*P = 0.025 (two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test). (I) Left: Schematic 275 illustrating single-unit recordings in M2 and OFC of naïve and habituated mice. Middle: Coronal section 276 showing the Neuropixels probe track (indicated by Dil) targeting M2 and OFC. The section was counter-277 stained with DAPI and overlaid with area borders. Right: Summary of all penetrations aligned to the Allen 278 Common Coordinate Framework. Probe tracks within M2 and OFC are shown in green and red, 279 respectively. n = 20 penetrations in 17 mice. (J) Fraction of single units in OFCvI (left) and M2 (right) with 280 significant excitatory responses in naïve and habituated mice. OFCvI naïve: n = 5 mice, habituated: n = 7281 mice, \*P = 0.045. M2 naïve: n = 7 mice, habituated: n = 10 mice, P = 0.83 (two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum 282 test). (K) Left: Sound-evoked response traces averaged across all significantly excited single units in OFCVI (top) and M2 (bottom) of naïve (black) and habituated (blue) mice. Right: Cumulative plots of sound-evoked 283 spike counts in all significantly excited single units for OFCvI (top) and M2 (bottom). OFCvI, naïve: n = 38 284 285 units, habituated: n = 99 units, \*\*P = 0.010. M2, naïve: n = 32 units, habituated: n = 66 units, P = 0.55. (L) 286 Left: Schematic illustrating the intersectional strategy to express ChRmine selectively in A1-targeting OFC 287 neurons. Right: Coronal section showing the expression of ChRmine-oScarlet. (M) Raster (top) and 288 peristimulus time histogram (bottom) of a representative single unit in A1. (N) Modulation Index of A1 L2/3 neural activity by transcranial photostimulation of OFC with a red laser. ChRmine: n = 6 mice, P = 0.012289 290 (two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test). No opsin control: n = 3 mice, P = 0.67. ChRmine vs. no opsin: P =291 0.034 (two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

292

function shared equally across frontal cortical areas. Alternatively, distinct frontal regions might apply
unique filters on A1 activity, tailored to different types of predictions: OFC for predictions based on a
history of experiences, and M2 for predictions related to motor corollary discharges.

296 To investigate these possibilities, we directly compared tone-evoked activities between OFCvl and

297 M2 neurons within the same animals. We performed single-unit recordings using a Neuropixels 1.0

probe that penetrated both regions (Fig. 4I). When we compared tone responses between naïve and

habituated mice, we found a 1.7-fold larger fraction of significantly excited OFCvl single units in

habituated mice (habituated: 8.2%; naïve: 4.7%, P = 0.045), while M2 single-units showed no difference

- 301 (habituated: 6.1%; naïve: 5.8%, P = 0.83) (Fig. 4J). Sound-evoked response magnitudes averaged across
- all excited single-units showed a significant increase after habituation in OFCvl (104% increase; P =
- 303 0.010; Fig. 4K). In contrast, M2 units showed a tendency for reduced activity, likely reflecting a weaker

input from the habituated auditory cortex (47% reduction; P = 0.55). Recordings in other frontal regions also showed no signs of increased tone responses (fig. S8, A to F). This OFCvl-specific plasticity is consistent with the OFCvl $\rightarrow$ A1 axonal imaging data and supports a division of labor between OFC and M2 in the predictive filtering of A1 activity across different contexts.

To determine whether activation of the OFCvl $\rightarrow$ A1 pathway is sufficient to suppress A1 neuronal 308 309 activity, we next performed pathway-selective optogenetic activation. We restricted the expression of 310 red-shifted opsin ChRmine in A1-projecting OFCvl neurons by an intersectional viral approach (Fig. 311 4L). Transcranial illumination of the frontal cortex with a red laser successfully evoked action potentials 312 in ChRmine-expressing OFCvl neurons (fig. S8, G and H)(62, 63). A1 recording during activation of 313 OFCvl→A1 pathway revealed significant suppression of A1 activity, an effect absent in control mice without opsin expression (Modulation Index, ChRmine:  $-0.23 \pm 0.06$ , P = 0.012; Control:  $0.05 \pm 0.07$ , P 314 315 = 0.668; ChRmine vs. Control: P = 0.034; Fig. 4, M and N). Together, these results indicate that the 316 OFCvl stores the information of repeated sensory stimuli and directly transmits predictive signals to A1, triggering neural habituation in A1. 317

# 318 Plasticity in SST cells amplifies sensory habituation

Our results have demonstrated neural plasticity in the OFC, leading to the predictive filtering of A1 sensory responses. However, the OFC is considered to support flexible learning not only through its own plasticity (64-67) but also by driving plasticity in other brain regions (68-71). A previous study found that pairing OFC $\rightarrow$ A1 axon terminal activation with tone presentations results in plastic changes in A1 that suppress its responses to the paired frequency (49). Therefore, we wondered whether the enhanced OFCvl $\rightarrow$ A1 input during habituation triggers synaptic plasticity in A1 to further amplify sensory habituation.

326 We first explored the involvement of overall A1 synaptic plasticity in sensory habituation through 327 the area-selective knockout of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. We co-injected AAV9-GCaMP6s and AAV8-mCherry-Cre into the A1 of floxed GluN1 mice (Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup>) (Fig. 5A). Previous 328 329 studies using the same mouse showed that Cre-dependent knockout of NMDA receptors became evident 330 within two weeks post-transfection and grew over subsequent weeks (72-74). Five weeks post-injection, 331 tone response magnitudes of A1 pyramidal cells were smaller in GluN1 knockout mice compared to control mice (See Methods) (fig. S9, A to D), consistent with the loss of NMDA receptors. Comparing 332 333 five-day sensory habituation of GluN1 knockout mice to controls revealed a significant reduction in 334 across-day sensory habituation (Fig. 5B), suggesting that local synaptic plasticity in A1 amplifies long-335 term sensory habituation.

Finally, we focused on synaptic plasticity specifically in SST cells. Given that GluN1 is also 336 expressed in SST cells and regulates their plasticity (75, 76), we generated Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice carrying 337 338 SST-Cre to delete GluN1 selectively in SST cells. A1 pyramidal cells in these mice showed normal tone response properties in a naïve condition (fig. S9, H to K). However, quantification of tone responses 339 340 across days revealed a significantly diminished habituation in SST cell GluN1 knockout mice compared 341 to the control group (Fig. 5, C and D). In contrast, selective deletion of GluN1 in VIP cells did not affect 342 sensory habituation (Fig. 5, E to G). Thus, while GluN1 deletion in SST cells does not alter basal sound processing in A1, it attenuates sensory habituation through decreased synaptic plasticity. Collectively, 343 344 our experiments demonstrate that experience-dependent plasticity in both OFCvl circuits and A1 SST 345 cells leads to sensory habituation via the recruitment of SST inhibitory circuits by top-down predictive 346 signals.



348 Fig. 5. NMDA receptors in A1 and SST cells are required for habituation. (A) Top: Schematic illustrating 349 the viral strategy to knock out NMDA receptors in A1 neurons. Bottom: Representative two-photon image of 350 A1 L2/3 neurons from a Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup> mouse expressing GCaMP6s (green) and Cre (red). (**B**) Change Index 351 for excitatory (solid line) and inhibitory (dotted line) sound-evoked responses across days in Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup> (red) 352 and control (black) mice. Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup>: n = 4 mice, 905 cells. Control: n = 5 mice, 1,708 cells. (C-D) Same as (a-b) but for knockout of NMDA receptors selectively in SST neurons. (E) SST-Cre × Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup>: n = 5 mice. 353 354 1,364 cells. Control: n = 6 mice, 1,740 cells. (E-F) Same as (a-b) but for knockout of NMDA receptors selectively in VIP neurons. (F) VIP-Cre × Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup>: n = 4 mice, 996 cells. Control: n = 5 mice, 1,494 cells. 355 356 (G) Summary scatter plots showing the Change Index of excitatory responses in individual neurons on Day 357 5 compared to Day 1. Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup>, Cre<sup>-</sup> control (Ctrl): n = 226 excited cells. Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup> + AAV-Cre (KO<sub>A1</sub>): n = 126210. SST-Cre × Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup> (KO<sub>SST</sub>): n = 231. VIP-Cre × Grin1<sup>flox/flox</sup> (KO<sub>VIP</sub>): n = 254. Ctrl vs. KO<sub>A1</sub>: \*\*\*\*P = 358 6.9 × 10<sup>-5</sup>. Ctrl vs. KO<sub>SST</sub>: \*\*\*\**P* = 3.2 × 10<sup>-7</sup>. Ctrl vs. KO<sub>VIP</sub>: *P* = 1.0. KO<sub>A1</sub> vs. KO<sub>SST</sub>: *P* = 1.0. KO<sub>A1</sub> vs. KO<sub>VIP</sub>: 359 \*\*P = 0.0034. KO<sub>SST</sub> vs. KO<sub>VIP</sub>: \*\*\* $P = 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ . (two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test with Bonferroni 360 361 correction). (H) Summary diagrams of sensory habituation pathways.

362

347

# 363 Discussion

364 Theories based on human psychophysics have suggested the role of internal predictive models in

365 sensory habituation (fig. S1). Our findings identify the neural substrates for these predictive models and

- 366 the negative images of sensory stimuli they generate. In naïve animals, bottom-up sensory signals evoke
- 367 strong responses in the A1. However, with repeated exposure to the same sound over days, a predictive

368 model forms in the OFC. The OFC then sends top-down predictive signals to SST cells in the A1, which 369 in turn suppress the activity of pyramidal, VIP, and PV cells. This finding may appear at odds with the 370 focus of previous studies on the top-down regulation of VIP cells and the recruitment of the VIP→SST 371 disinhibitory circuit (48, 77, 78). However, quantification of cell type-specific retrograde tracing from 372 sensory cortical areas has revealed denser top-down connectivity from frontal cortical areas to SST than 373 VIP cells (52) (fig. S6). Furthermore, SST and VIP cells are known to form a mutual inhibitory loop (79-81), with SST  $\rightarrow$  VIP connections exhibiting one of the highest connection probabilities, largest IPSP 374 amplitudes, and strongest facilitation within cortical circuits (82). Therefore, it is not unexpected that 375 376 top-down input from OFCvl, which connects to both SST and VIP cells, could engage the often 377 overlooked SST $\rightarrow$ VIP inhibitory connection to suppress the A1 circuit. Synaptic plasticity in SST 378 neurons may further shift the balance of SST-VIP mutual inhibition toward SST dominance to facilitate 379 habituation (Fig. 5H). Our model successfully explains recent studies in V1 that identified a VIPdominant disinhibitory circuit during animals' first encounter with novel stimuli (43, 44, 83). We do not 380 exclude the roles of novelty or prediction error signals in other contexts (Supplementary Discussion). 381 382 However, our data indicate that daily sensory habituation is mediated by an increase in predictive 383 signals, rather than a decrease in novelty (prediction error) signals. Thus, plasticity in the OFC, through 384 the accumulation of experiences over extended periods (64-67), creates and updates the internal 385 predictive model of the sensory environment, which is then used to filter neural activity in sensory cortices. 386

The OFC is considered to support flexible behaviors by encoding the values of predicted outcomes (84–86), assigning prediction error credits to corresponding actions (87), and tracking associative relationships between stimuli (1, 2, 69, 88). However, the extent to which the OFC's top-down projections influence sensory processing remains debated (49, 50, 68, 89). Our finding of the OFC's role

391 in the predictive filtering of sensory inputs aligns with the concept that the OFC constructs and uses 392 internal associative models, or "cognitive maps," which dictate predictive relationships between the sensory task space and possible outcomes (1, 2). Furthermore, our results suggest a more generalized 393 394 function of the OFC beyond merely predicting explicitly rewarding or punishing action outcomes, 395 extending to the attenuation of salience in neutral stimuli during passive experiences. This broader role 396 is reminiscent of the OFC's involvement in "devaluation," where initially favorable stimuli lose their 397 value with satiety or new associations with unfavorable outcomes (90-92). It is plausible that sensory inputs inherently carry behavioral values, as they may signal the approach of rewards or threats crucial 398 399 for an animal's survival. By forming internal associative models, the OFC "devalues" not only explicitly 400 rewarding stimuli but also neutral sensory inputs without meaningful outcomes, thereby inducing 401 habituation.

It is worth pointing out the similarity between our findings and the predictive filtering of self-402 403 generated sounds, which has been proposed to involve the top-down pathway from the M2 to the A1 after days of movement-sound association (53, 54). These studies suggested the recruitment of PV 404 405 inhibitory neurons, which broadly scale down the A1 activity during movements. Although exact circuits 406 are different, these findings collectively support a general principle: frontal cortical areas send top-down predictive signals based on days of experience-M2 for movement-related predictions and the OFC for 407 408 familiarity-based predictions, respectively—to filter predictable sensory inputs. In support of this division of labor, we observed a selective enhancement of sound-evoked activity in the OFC, but not in 409 410 M2, following sensory habituation. Aside from the predictive coding, previous studies also suggested 411 attentional filtering of A1 activity by the prefrontal cortex (93–96). Understanding how individual 412 frontal areas are recruited in specific contexts to apply these appropriate filters would be an important 413 area for future research.

| 414 | Impaired habituation to repeated stimuli and resultant sensory hypersensitivity are commonly              |
|-----|---|
| 415 | observed in mental disorders such as obsessive-compulsive disorders (4, 5) and ASD (7–10). Although       |
| 416 | theories have proposed that a lack of predictive filtering may be central to the symptoms associated with |
| 417 | ASD (29-31), the neural substrates linking altered neural wiring with reduced sensory filtering have      |
| 418 | remained unclear. Our discovery that top-down projections from the OFC to A1 are essential for            |
| 419 | auditory habituation provides crucial insights into the circuit mechanisms underlying reduced             |
| 420 | habituation in individuals with ASD. It is believed that the neocortical network in ASD displays long-    |
| 421 | range hypoconnectivity, as indicated by less cohesive activity across brain regions and reduced white     |
| 422 | matter volume (97–100). This reduced long-range communication between frontal areas and sensory           |
| 423 | cortices could therefore be a culprit for the insufficient habituation in these disorders. This general   |
| 424 | framework of top-down predictive filtering highlights the importance of targeting long-range frontal      |
| 425 | projections in developing interventions to alleviate sensory pathophysiology.                             |
|     |   |

426

427

### 428 **References:**

R. Wilson, Y. Takahashi, G. Schoenbaum, Y. Niv, Orbitofrontal Cortex as a Cognitive Map of
 Task Space. Neuron 81, 267–279 (2014).

- K. M. Costa, R. Scholz, K. Lloyd, P. Moreno-Castilla, M. P. H. Gardner, P. Dayan, G.
   Schoenbaum, The role of the lateral orbitofrontal cortex in creating cognitive maps. Nat.
   Neurosci. 26, 107–115 (2023).
- 434 3. N. C. Rust, M. R. Cohen, Priority coding in the visual system. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 23, 376–388
  435 (2022).

436 4. T. Y. Podoly, D. S. Derby, A. Ben-Sasson, Sensory over-responsivity and obsessive-compulsive

- disorder: Measuring habituation and sensitivity through self-report, physiological and behavioral
  indices. J. Psychiatr. Res. 149, 266–273 (2022).
- 439 5. M. Hallett, Tourette Syndrome: Update. Brain Dev. 37, 651–655 (2015).
- 440 6. D. Titone, T. Ditman, P. S. Holzman, H. Eichenbaum, D. L. Levy, Transitive inference in
  441 schizophrenia: impairments in relational memory organization. Schizophr. Res. 68, 235–247
  442 (2004).
- J. A. Guiraud, E. Kushnerenko, P. Tomalski, K. Davies, H. Ribeiro, M. H. Johnson, Differential
  habituation to repeated sounds in infants at high risk for autism. Neuroreport 22, 845–849 (2011).
- S. A. Green, L. Hernandez, N. Tottenham, K. Krasileva, S. Y. Bookheimer, M. Dapretto,
   Neurobiology of Sensory Overresponsivity in Youth With Autism Spectrum Disorders. JAMA
   psychiatry 72, 778–786 (2015).
- W. Jamal, A. Cardinaux, A. J. Haskins, M. Kjelgaard, P. Sinha, Reduced Sensory Habituation in
  Autism and Its Correlation with Behavioral Measures. J. Autism Dev. Disord. 51, 3153–3164
  (2021).
- 451 10. A. Kolesnik, J. Begum Ali, T. Gliga, J. Guiraud, T. Charman, M. H. Johnson, E. J. H. Jones,
  452 Increased cortical reactivity to repeated tones at 8 months in infants with later ASD. Transl.
  453 Psychiatry 9, 1–11 (2019).
- C. H. Rankin, T. Abrams, R. J. Barry, S. Bhatnagar, D. F. Clayton, J. Colombo, G. Coppola, M. A.
  Geyer, D. L. Glanzman, S. Marsland, F. K. McSweeney, D. A. Wilson, C. F. Wu, R. F. Thompson,
  Habituation revisited: An updated and revised description of the behavioral characteristics of
  habituation. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem. 92, 135–138 (2009).
- 458 12. R. F. Thompson, Habituation: a history. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem. 92, 127–134 (2009).
- 459 13. D. Wilson, C. Linster, Neurobiology of a simple memory. J. Neurophysiol. 100, 2–7 (2008).
- 460 14. M. Wehr, A. Zador, Synaptic mechanisms of forward suppression in rat auditory cortex. Neuron
  461 47, 437–445 (2005).
- 462 15. R. G. Natan, J. J. Briguglio, L. Mwilambwe-Tshilobo, S. I. Jones, M. Aizenberg, E. M. Goldberg,
  463 M. N. Geffen, Complementary control of sensory adaptation by two types of cortical
  464 interneurons. Elife 4, e09868 (2015).

- 465 16. Y. Ayala, M. Malmierca, Cholinergic Modulation of Stimulus-Specific Adaptation in the Inferior
  466 Colliculus. J. Neurosci. 35, 12261–12272 (2015).
- 467 17. V. Castellucci, H. Pinsker, I. Kupfermann, E. R. Kandel, Neuronal Mechanisms of Habituation
  468 and Dishabituation of the Gill-Withdrawal Reflex in Aplysia. Science 167, 1745–1748 (1970).
- 469 18. F. M. Antunes, I. Nelken, E. Covey, M. S. Malmierca, Stimulus-Specific Adaptation in the
  470 Auditory Thalamus of the Anesthetized Rat. PLoS One 5, e14071 (2010).
- M. S. Malmierca, S. Cristaudo, D. Pérez-González, E. Covey, Stimulus-specific adaptation in the
  inferior colliculus of the anesthetized rat. J. Neurosci. 29, 5483–5493 (2009).
- 473 20. N. Ulanovsky, L. Las, I. Nelken, Processing of low-probability sounds by cortical neurons. Nat.
  474 Neurosci. 6, 391–398 (2003).
- 475 21. N. Ulanovsky, L. Las, D. Farkas, I. Nelken, Multiple time scales of adaptation in auditory cortex
  476 neurons. J. Neurosci. 24, 10440–10453 (2004).
- 477 22. I. S. Westenberg, G. Paige, B. Golub, N. M. Weinberger, Evoked potential decrements in auditory
  478 cortex. I. Discrete-trial and continual stimulation. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 40,
  479 337–355 (1976).
- 480 23. I. S. Westenberg, N. M. Weinberger, Evoked potential decrements in auditory cortex. II. Critical
  481 test for habituation. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 40, 356–369 (1976).
- 482 24. N. M. Weinberger, Associative representational plasticity in the auditory cortex: Resolving
  483 conceptual and empirical problems. Debates Neurosci. 1, 85–98 (2007).
- 484 25. R. D. Hall, Habituation of evoked potentials in the rat under conditions of behavioral control.
  485 Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 24, 155–165 (1968).
- S. F. Cooke, R. W. Komorowski, E. S. Kaplan, J. P. Gavornik, M. F. Bear, Visual recognition
  memory, manifested as long-term habituation, requires synaptic plasticity in V1. Nat. Neurosci.
  18, 262–271 (2015).
- 489 27. M. Ramaswami, Network Plasticity in Adaptive Filtering and Behavioral Habituation. Neuron 82,
  490 1216–1229 (2014).
- 28. P. Sinha, M. M. Kjelgaard, T. K. Gandhi, K. Tsourides, A. L. Cardinaux, D. Pantazis, S. P.
  Diamond, R. M. Held, Autism as a disorder of prediction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111, 15220–

- 493 15225 (2014).
- S. van de Cruys, K. Evers, R. van der Hallen, L. van Eylen, B. Boets, L. de-Wit, J. Wagemans,
  Precise minds in uncertain worlds: predictive coding in autism. Psychol. Rev. 121, 649–675
  (2014).
- 497 30. H. Markram, T. Rinaldi, K. Markram, The intense world syndrome an alternative hypothesis for
  498 autism. Front. Neurosci. 1, 77–96 (2007).
- 499 31. C. Moore, M. Carlen, U. Knoblich, J. Cardin, Neocortical Interneurons: From Diversity, Strength.
  500 Cell 142, 189–193 (2010).
- 501 32. K. Markram, H. Markram, The intense world theory A unifying theory of the neurobiology of
  autism. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 4, 224 (2010).
- 33. M. Turatto, F. Bonetti, C. Chiandetti, D. Pascucci, Context-specific distractors rejection:
  contextual cues control long-term habituation of attentional capture by abrupt onsets. Vis. cogn.
  27, 291–304 (2019).
- W. P. Jordan, H. C. Strasser, L. McHale, Contextual control of long-term habituation in rats. J.
  Exp. Psychol. Anim. Behav. Process. 26, 323–339 (2000).
- 35. A. Dissegna, M. Turatto, C. Chiandetti, Context-specific habituation: A review. Animals 11, 1767
  (2021).
- 510 36. H. K. Kato, M. W. Chu, J. S. Isaacson, T. Komiyama, Dynamic Sensory Representations in the
  511 Olfactory Bulb: Modulation by Wakefulness and Experience. Neuron 76, 962–975 (2012).
- 512 37. E. N. Sokolov, Higher nervous functions; the orienting reflex. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 25, 545–580
  513 (1963).
- 514 38. C. Wacongne, J. P. Changeux, S. Dehaene, A Neuronal Model of Predictive Coding Accounting
  515 for the Mismatch Negativity. J. Neurosci. 32, 3665–3678 (2012).
- 516 39. A. R. Wagner, "Habituation and memory" in Mechanisms of Learning and Motivation, A.
  517 Dickinson, R. A. Boakes, Eds. (Psychology Press, Hillsdale, NJ, 1979).
- 518 40. H. K. Kato, S. N. Gillet, J. S. Isaacson, Flexible Sensory Representations in Auditory Cortex
  519 Driven by Behavioral Relevance. Neuron 88, 1027–1039 (2015).
- 520 41. S. N. Gillet, H. K. Kato, M. A. Justen, M. Lai, J. S. Isaacson, Fear Learning Regulates Cortical

521 Sensory Representations by Suppressing Habituation. Front. Neural Circuits 11, 112 (2018).

- 522 42. H. Makino, T. Komiyama, Learning enhances the relative impact of top-down processing in the
  523 visual cortex. Nat. Neurosci. 18, 1116–1122 (2015).
- M. Garrett, S. Manavi, K. Roll, D. R. Ollerenshaw, P. A. Groblewski, N. D. Ponvert, J. T. Kiggins,
  L. Casal, K. Mace, A. Williford, A. Leon, X. Jia, P. Ledochowitsch, M. A. Buice, W. Wakeman, S.
  Mihalas, S. R. Olsen, Experience shapes activity dynamics and stimulus coding of VIP inhibitory
  cells. Elife 9, e50340 (2020).
- M. Garrett, P. Groblewski, A. Piet, D. Ollerenshaw, F. Najafi, I. Yavorska, A. Amster, C. Bennett,
  M. Buice, S. Caldejon, L. Casal, F. D'Orazi, S. Daniel, S. E. de Vries, D. Kapner, J. Kiggins, J.
- 530 Lecoq, P. Ledochowitsch, S. Manavi, N. Mei, C. B. Morrison, S. Naylor, N. Orlova, J. Perkins, N.
- 531 Ponvert, C. Roll, S. Seid, D. Williams, A. Williford, R. Ahmed, D. Amine, Y. Billeh, C. Bowman,
- 532 N. Cain, A. Cho, T. Dawe, M. Departee, M. Desoto, D. Feng, S. Gale, E. Gelfand, N. Gradis, C.
- 533 Grasso, N. Hancock, B. Hu, R. Hytnen, X. Jia, T. Johnson, I. Kato, S. Kivikas, L. Kuan, Q.
- 534 L'Heureux, S. Lambert, A. Leon, E. Liang, F. Long, K. Mace, I. M. de Abril, C. Mochizuki, C.
- 535 Nayan, K. North, L. Ng, G. K. Ocker, M. Oliver, P. Rhoads, K. Ronellenfitch, K. Schelonka, J.
- 536 Sevigny, D. Sullivan, B. Sutton, J. Swapp, T. K. Nguyen, X. Waughman, J. Wilkes, M. Wang, C.
- 537 Farrell, W. Wakeman, H. Zeng, J. Phillips, S. Mihalas, A. Arkhipov, C. Koch, S. R. Olsen,
- 538 Stimulus novelty uncovers coding diversity in visual cortical circuits. bioRxiv,
- **539** 2023.02.14.528085 (2023).
- 540 45. K. Aitken, L. Campagnola, M. E. Garrett, S. R. Olsen, S. Mihalas, Simple synaptic modulations
  541 implement diverse novelty computations. Cell Rep. 43, 114188 (2024).
- 542 46. J. Homann, S. A. Koay, K. S. Chen, D. W. Tank, M. J. Berry, Novel stimuli evoke excess activity
  543 in the mouse primary visual cortex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 119, e2108882119 (2022).
- 544 47. D. P. Narayanan, H. Tsukano, A. M. Kline, K. Onodera, H. K. Kato, Biological constraints on
  545 stereotaxic targeting of functionally-defined cortical areas. Cereb. Cortex 33, 3293–3310 (2023).
- 546 48. S. Furutachi, A. D. Franklin, T. D. Mrsic-Flogel, S. B. Hofer, Cooperative thalamocortical circuit
  547 mechanism for sensory prediction errors. bioRxiv, 2023.07.12.548664 (2023).
- 548 49. D. E. Winkowski, D. A. Nagode, K. J. Donaldson, P. Yin, S. A. Shamma, J. B. Fritz, P. O. Kanold,
  549 Orbitofrontal Cortex Neurons Respond to Sound and Activate Primary Auditory Cortex Neurons.

550 Cereb. Cortex 28, 868–879 (2018).

- 551 50. D. Winkowski, S. Bandyopadhyay, S. Shamma, P. Kanold, Frontal Cortex Activation Causes
  552 Rapid Plasticity of Auditory Cortical Processing. J. Neurosci. 33, 18134–18148 (2013).
- 553 51. R. Ying, L. Hamlette, L. Nikoobakht, R. Balaji, N. Miko, M. L. Caras, Organization of
  orbitofrontal-auditory pathways in the Mongolian gerbil. J. Comp. Neurol. 531, 1459–1481
  (2023).
- 556 52. G. ichi Tasaka, C. Maggi, E. Taha, A. Mizrahi, The local and long-range input landscape of 557 inhibitory neurons in mouse auditory cortex. J. Comp. Neurol. 531, 502–514 (2023).
- 558 53. D. M. Schneider, J. Sundararajan, R. Mooney, A cortical filter that learns to suppress the acoustic
  consequences of movement. Nature 561, 391–395 (2018).
- 560 54. D. M. Schneider, A. Nelson, R. Mooney, A synaptic and circuit basis for corollary discharge in the
  auditory cortex. Nature 513, 189–194 (2014).
- 562 55. A. Nelson, D. Schneider, J. Takatoh, K. Sakurai, F. Wang, R. Mooney, A Circuit for Motor
  563 Cortical Modulation of Auditory Cortical Activity. J. Neurosci. 33, 14342–14353 (2013).
- 564 56. W. Sun, P. Tang, Y. Liang, J. Li, J. Feng, N. Zhang, D. Lu, J. He, X. Chen, The anterior cingulate
  565 cortex directly enhances auditory cortical responses in air-puffing-facilitated flight behavior. Cell
  566 Rep. 38, 110506 (2022).
- 567 57. S. W. Oh, J. A. Harris, L. Ng, B. Winslow, N. Cain, S. Mihalas, Q. Wang, C. Lau, L. Kuan, A. M.
  568 Henry, M. T. Mortrud, B. Ouellette, T. N. Nguyen, S. A. Sorensen, C. R. Slaughterbeck, W.
- 569 Wakeman, Y. Li, D. Feng, A. Ho, E. Nicholas, K. E. Hirokawa, P. Bohn, K. M. Joines, H. Peng,
- 570 M. J. Hawrylycz, J. W. Phillips, J. G. Hohmann, P. Wohnoutka, C. R. Gerfen, C. Koch, A.
- 571 Bernard, C. Dang, A. R. Jones, H. Zeng, A mesoscale connectome of the mouse brain. Nature
  572 508, 207–214 (2014).
- 573 58. Allen Institute for Brain Science, Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas (2011).
  574 http://connectivity.brain-map.org/.
- 575 59. L. Gao, S. Liu, L. Gou, Y. Hu, Y. Liu, L. Deng, D. Ma, H. Wang, Q. Yang, Z. Chen, D. Liu, S.
- 576 Qiu, X. Wang, D. Wang, X. Wang, B. Ren, Q. Liu, T. Chen, X. Shi, H. Yao, C. Xu, C. T. Li, Y.
- 577 Sun, A. Li, Q. Luo, H. Gong, N. Xu, J. Yan, Single-neuron projectome of mouse prefrontal cortex.
- 578 Nat. Neurosci. 25, 515–529 (2022).

- 60. C. Cavada, T. Compañy, J. Tejedor, R. J. Cruz-Rizzolo, F. Reinoso-Suárez, The anatomical
  connections of the macaque monkey orbitofrontal cortex. A review. Cereb. Cortex 10, 220–242
  (2000).
- 582 61. H. K. Srivastava, S. Bandyopadhyay, Parallel Lemniscal and Non-Lemniscal Sources Control
  583 Auditory Responses in the Orbitofrontal Cortex (OFC). eNeuro 7, 1–19 (2020).
- 62. R. Chen, F. Gore, Q. A. Nguyen, C. Ramakrishnan, S. Patel, S. H. Kim, M. Raffiee, Y. S. Kim, B.
  Hsueh, E. Krook-Magnusson, I. Soltesz, K. Deisseroth, Deep brain optogenetics without
  intracranial surgery. Nat. Biotechnol. 39, 161–164 (2021).
- 587 63. J. H. Marshel, Y. S. Kim, T. A. Machado, S. Quirin, B. Benson, J. Kadmon, C. Raja, A.
- 588 Chibukhchyan, C. Ramakrishnan, M. Inoue, J. C. Shane, D. J. McKnight, S. Yoshizawa, H. E.
- 589 Kato, S. Ganguli, K. Deisseroth, Cortical layer-specific critical dynamics triggering perception.
  590 Science 365, eaaw5202 (2019).
- 64. R. Hattori, N. G. Hedrick, A. Jain, S. Chen, H. You, M. Hattori, J. H. Choi, B. K. Lim, R. Yasuda,
  T. Komiyama, Meta-reinforcement learning via orbitofrontal cortex. Nat. Neurosci. 26, 2182–
  2191 (2023).
- 594 65. V. M. K. Namboodiri, J. M. Otis, K. van Heeswijk, E. S. Voets, R. A. Alghorazi, J. Rodriguez595 Romaguera, S. Mihalas, G. D. Stuber, Single-cell activity tracking reveals that orbitofrontal
  596 neurons acquire and maintain a long-term memory to guide behavioral adaptation. Nat. Neurosci.
  597 22, 1110–1121 (2019).
- 66. A. J. Whyte, H. W. Kietzman, A. M. Swanson, L. M. Butkovich, B. R. Barbee, G. J. Bassell, C.
  Gross, S. L. Gourley, A. contributions, S. designed research, S. performed research, S. analyzed
  data, N. Raj, J. Yamin, H. Arrowood, A. Allen, K. Zimmermann, Reward-related expectations
  trigger dendritic spine plasticity in the mouse ventrolateral orbitofrontal cortex. J. Neurosci. 39,
  4595–4605 (2019).
- 603 67. C. M. Johnson, H. Peckler, L. H. Tai, L. Wilbrecht, Rule learning enhances structural plasticity of
  604 long-range axons in frontal cortex. Nat. Commun. 7, 1–14 (2016).
- 605 68. A. Banerjee, G. Parente, J. Teutsch, C. Lewis, F. F. Voigt, F. Helmchen, Value-guided remapping
  606 of sensory cortex by lateral orbitofrontal cortex. Nature 585, 245–250 (2020).
- 607 69. M. P. H. Gardner, G. Schoenbaum, The orbitofrontal cartographer. Behav. Neurosci. 135, 267–

**608** 276 (2021).

- K. J. Miller, M. M. Botvinick, C. D. Brody, Value representations in the rodent orbitofrontal
  cortex drive learning, not choice. Elife 11, e64575 (2022).
- 611 71. M. Malvaez, C. Shieh, M. D. Murphy, V. Y. Greenfield, K. M. Wassum, Distinct cortical–
  612 amygdala projections drive reward value encoding and retrieval. Nat. Neurosci. 22, 762–769
  613 (2019).
- R. Deng, M. Chang, J. P. Y. Kao, P. O. Kanold, Cortical inhibitory but not excitatory synaptic
  transmission and circuit refinement are altered after the deletion of NMDA receptors during early
  development. Sci. Rep. 13, 656 (2023).
- R. S. Larsen, I. T. Smith, J. Miriyala, J. E. Han, R. J. Corlew, S. L. Smith, B. D. Philpot, SynapseSpecific Control of Experience-Dependent Plasticity by Presynaptic NMDA Receptors. Neuron
  83, 879–893 (2014).
- T. Iwasato, A. Datwani, A. M. Wolf, H. Nishiyama, Y. Taguchi, S. Tonegawa, T. Knöpfel, R. S.
  Erzurumlu, S. Itohara, Cortex-restricted disruption of NMDAR1 impairs neuronal patterns in the
  barrel cortex. Nature 406, 726–731 (2000).
- M. Perez-Rando, E. Castillo-Gómez, R. Guirado, J. M. Blasco-Ibañez, C. Crespo, E. Varea, J.
  Nacher, NMDA receptors regulate the structural plasticity of spines and axonal boutons in
  hippocampal interneurons. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 11, 271022 (2017).
- 626 76. G. Nyíri, F. A. Stephenson, T. F. Freund, P. Somogyi, Large variability in synaptic n-methyl-d627 aspartate receptor density on interneurons and a comparison with pyramidal-cell spines in the rat
  628 hippocampus. Neuroscience 119, 347–363 (2003).
- 77. Y. Fu, J. M. Tucciarone, J. S. Espinosa, N. Sheng, D. P. Darcy, R. A. Nicoll, Z. J. Huang, M. P.
  Stryker, S. Espinosa, N. Sheng, D. P. Darcy, R. A. Nicoll, J. Huang, M. P. Stryker, A Cortical
  Circuit for Gain Control by Behavioral State. Cell 156, 1139–1152 (2014).
- 632 78. S. Zhang, M. Xu, T. Kamigaki, J. P. H. Do, W.-C. Chang, S. Jenvay, K. Miyamichi, L. Luo, Y.
  633 Dan, Long-range and local circuits for top-down modulation of visual cortex processing. Science
  634 345, 660–665 (2014).
- 635 79. X. Jiang, S. Shen, C. R. Cadwell, P. Berens, F. Sinz, A. S. Ecker, S. Patel, A. S. Tolias, Principles
  636 of connectivity among morphologically defined cell types in adult neocortex. Science 350,

637 aac9462 (2015).

- 638 80. C. K. Pfeffer, M. Xue, M. He, Z. J. Huang, M. Scanziani, Inhibition of inhibition in visual cortex:
  639 The logic of connections between molecularly distinct interneurons. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 1068–
  640 1076 (2013).
- 81. M. Karnani, J. Jackson, I. Ayzenshtat, J. Tucciarone, K. Manoocheri, W. Snider, R. Yuste,
  Cooperative Subnetworks of Molecularly Similar Interneurons in Mouse Neocortex. Neuron 90,
  86–100 (2016).
- 644 82. L. Campagnola, S. C. Seeman, T. Chartrand, L. Kim, A. Hoggarth, C. Gamlin, S. Ito, J. Trinh, P.
  645 Davoudian, C. Radaelli, M. H. Kim, T. Hage, T. Braun, L. Alfiler, J. Andrade, P. Bohn, R. Dalley,
- A. Henry, S. Kebede, A. Mukora, D. Sandman, G. Williams, R. Larsen, C. Teeter, T. L. Daigle, K.
- 647 Berry, N. Dotson, R. Enstrom, M. Gorham, M. Hupp, S. D. Lee, K. Ngo, P. R. Nicovich, L.
- 648 Potekhina, S. Ransford, A. Gary, J. Goldy, D. McMillen, T. Pham, M. Tieu, L. Siverts, M. Walker,
- 649 C. Farrell, M. Schroedter, C. Slaughterbeck, C. Cobb, R. Ellenbogen, R. P. Gwinn, C. D. Keene,
- 650 A. L. Ko, J. G. Ojemann, D. L. Silbergeld, D. Carey, T. Casper, K. Crichton, M. Clark, N. Dee, L.
- Ellingwood, J. Gloe, M. Kroll, J. Sulc, H. Tung, K. Wadhwani, K. Brouner, T. Egdorf, M.
- 652 Maxwell, M. McGraw, C. A. Pom, A. Ruiz, J. Bomben, D. Feng, N. Hejazinia, S. Shi, A. Szafer,
- 653 W. Wakeman, J. Phillips, A. Bernard, L. Esposito, F. D. D'Orazi, S. Sunkin, K. Smith, B. Tasic,
- A. Arkhipov, S. Sorensen, E. Lein, C. Koch, G. Murphy, H. Zeng, T. Jarsky, Local connectivity
  and synaptic dynamics in mouse and human neocortex. Science 375, eabj5861 (2022).
- K. Aitken, L. Campagnola, M. Garrett, S. Olsen, S. Mihalas, Familiarity modulated synapses
  model visual cortical circuit novelty responses. bioRxiv, 2023.08.16.553635 (2023).
- 658 84. C. Padoa-Schioppa, J. A. Assad, Neurons in the orbitofrontal cortex encode economic value.
  659 Nature 441, 223–226 (2006).
- 660 85. J. Hirokawa, A. Vaughan, P. Masset, T. Ott, A. Kepecs, Frontal cortex neuron types categorically
  661 encode single decision variables. Nature 576, 446–451 (2019).
- 662 86. G. Schoenbaum, A. A. Chiba, M. Gallagher, Orbitofrontal cortex and basolateral amygdala
  663 encode expected outcomes during learning. Nat. Neurosci. 1, 155–159 (1998).
- M. E. Walton, T. E. J. Behrens, M. J. Buckley, P. H. Rudebeck, M. F. S. Rushworth, Separable
  learning systems in the macaque brain and the role of orbitofrontal cortex in contingent learning.

666 Neuron 65, 927–939 (2010).

- 667 88. G. Schoenbaum, M. R. Roesch, T. A. Stalnaker, Y. K. Takahashi, A new perspective on the role of
  668 the orbitofrontal cortex in adaptive behaviour. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 885–892 (2009).
- B. Liu, J. Deng, Z. Zhang, Z. Y. Zhang, Y. G. Sun, T. Yang, H. Yao, Orbitofrontal control of visual
  cortex gain promotes visual associative learning. Nat. Commun. 11, 1–14 (2020).
- 90. J. D. Howard, T. Kahnt, Identity-Specific Reward Representations in Orbitofrontal Cortex Are
  Modulated by Selective Devaluation. J. Neurosci. 37, 2627–2638 (2017).
- M. P. H. Gardner, J. S. Conroy, M. H. Shaham, C. V. Styer, G. Schoenbaum, Lateral Orbitofrontal
  Inactivation Dissociates Devaluation-Sensitive Behavior and Economic Choice. Neuron 96, 11921203.e4 (2017).
- 92. J. A. Gottfried, J. O'Doherty, R. J. Dolan, Encoding predictive reward value in human amygdala
  and orbitofrontal cortex. Science 301, 1104–1107 (2003).
- M. Nakajima, L. I. Schmitt, M. M. Halassa, Prefrontal Cortex Regulates Sensory Filtering
  through a Basal Ganglia-to-Thalamus Pathway. Neuron 103, 445-458.e10 (2019).
- 680 94. J. Fritz, S. Shamma, M. Elhilali, D. Klein, Rapid task-related plasticity of spectrotemporal
  681 receptive fields in primary auditory cortex. Nat. Neurosci. 6, 1216–1223 (2003).
- 682 95. J. B. Fritz, M. Elhilali, S. A. Shamma, Differential dynamic plasticity of A1 receptive fields
  683 during multiple spectral tasks. J. Neurosci. 25, 7623–7635 (2005).
- 684 96. J. Fritz, M. Elhilali, S. Shamma, Adaptive changes in cortical receptive fields induced by attention
  685 to complex sounds. J. Neurophysiol. 98, 2337–2346 (2007).
- M. E. Vissers, M. X Cohen, H. M. Geurts, Brain connectivity and high functioning autism: A
  promising path of research that needs refined models, methodological convergence, and stronger
  behavioral links. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 36, 604–625 (2012).
- 689 98. J. J. Wolff, H. Gu, G. Gerig, J. T. Elison, M. Styner, S. Gouttard, K. N. Botteron, S. R. Dager, G.
- 690 Dawson, A. M. Estes, A. C. Evans, H. C. Hazlett, P. Kostopoulos, R. C. McKinstry, S. J. Paterson,
- 691 R. T. Schultz, L. Zwaigenbaum, J. Piven, Differences in white matter fiber tract development
- 692 present from 6 to 24 months in infants with autism. Am. J. Psychiatry 169, 589–600 (2012).
- 693 99. E. Courchesne, K. Pierce, Why the frontal cortex in autism might be talking only to itself: Local

| 694  |                              | over-connectivity but long-distance disconnection. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 15, 225–230 (2005).  |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| 695<br>696   | 100.                         | S. Wass, Distortions and disconnections: Disrupted brain connectivity in autism. Brain Cogn. 75, 18–28 (2011).   |
| 697<br>698<br>699  | 101.                         | <ul><li>M. Pachitariu, C. Stringer, M. Dipoppa, S. Schröder, L. F. Rossi, H. Dalgleish, M. Carandini, K.</li><li>D. Harris, Suite2p: beyond 10,000 neurons with standard two-photon microscopy. bioRxiv, 061507 (2017).</li></ul>  |
| 700<br>701   | 102.                         | M. Pachitariu, N. Steinmetz, S. Kadir, M. Carandini, K. D. Harris, Kilosort: realtime spike-sorting for extracellular electrophysiology with hundreds of channels. bioRxiv, 061481 (2016).   |
| 702<br>703<br>704  | 103.                         | C. Rossant, S. Kadir, D. Goodman, J. Schulman, M. Hunter, A. Saleem, A. Grosmark, M. Belluscio, G. Denfield, A. Ecker, A. Tolias, S. Solomon, G. Buzsaki, M. Carandini, K. Harris, Spike sorting for large, dense electrode arrays. Nat Neurosci 19, 634–641 (2016).   |
| 705<br>706<br>707  | 104.                         | J. M. J. Fabre, E. H. van Beest, A. J. Peters, M. Carandini, K. D. Harris, Bombcell: automated curation and cell classification of spike-sorted electrophysiology data. Zenodo, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.8172822 (2023).  |
| 708  | 105.                         | Y. Senzai, A. Fernandez-Ruiz, G. Buzsáki, Layer-Specific Physiological Features and  |
| 709<br>710   |                              | Interlaminar Interactions in the Primary Visual Cortex of the Mouse. Neuron 101, 500-513.e5 (2019).  |
| 709<br>710<br>711<br>712<br>713  | 106.                         | <ul> <li>Interlaminar Interactions in the Primary Visual Cortex of the Mouse. Neuron 101, 500-513.e5 (2019).</li> <li>I. Wickersham, D. Lyon, R. Barnard, T. Mori, S. Finke, KK. Conzelmann, J. Young, E. Callaway, Monosynaptic Restriction of Transsynaptic Tracing from Single, Genetically Targeted Neurons. Neuron 53, 639–647 (2007).</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>709</li> <li>710</li> <li>711</li> <li>712</li> <li>713</li> <li>714</li> <li>715</li> </ul>  | 106.<br>107.                 | <ul> <li>Interlaminar Interactions in the Primary Visual Cortex of the Mouse. Neuron 101, 500-513.e5 (2019).</li> <li>I. Wickersham, D. Lyon, R. Barnard, T. Mori, S. Finke, KK. Conzelmann, J. Young, E. Callaway, Monosynaptic Restriction of Transsynaptic Tracing from Single, Genetically Targeted Neurons. Neuron 53, 639–647 (2007).</li> <li>T. Moser, D. Beutner, Kinetics of exocytosis and endocytosis at the cochlear inner hair cell afferent synapse of the mouse. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 883–888 (2000).</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>709</li> <li>710</li> <li>711</li> <li>712</li> <li>713</li> <li>714</li> <li>715</li> <li>716</li> <li>717</li> </ul>  | 106.<br>107.<br>108.         | <ul> <li>Interlaminar Interactions in the Primary Visual Cortex of the Mouse. Neuron 101, 500-513.e5 (2019).</li> <li>I. Wickersham, D. Lyon, R. Barnard, T. Mori, S. Finke, KK. Conzelmann, J. Young, E. Callaway, Monosynaptic Restriction of Transsynaptic Tracing from Single, Genetically Targeted Neurons. Neuron 53, 639–647 (2007).</li> <li>T. Moser, D. Beutner, Kinetics of exocytosis and endocytosis at the cochlear inner hair cell afferent synapse of the mouse. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 883–888 (2000).</li> <li>J. D. Goutman, Mechanisms of synaptic depression at the hair cell ribbon synapse that support auditory nerve function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114, 9719–9724 (2017).</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>709</li> <li>710</li> <li>711</li> <li>712</li> <li>713</li> <li>714</li> <li>715</li> <li>716</li> <li>717</li> <li>718</li> <li>719</li> <li>720</li> </ul> | 106.<br>107.<br>108.<br>109. | <ul> <li>Interlaminar Interactions in the Primary Visual Cortex of the Mouse. Neuron 101, 500-513.e5 (2019).</li> <li>I. Wickersham, D. Lyon, R. Barnard, T. Mori, S. Finke, KK. Conzelmann, J. Young, E. Callaway, Monosynaptic Restriction of Transsynaptic Tracing from Single, Genetically Targeted Neurons. Neuron 53, 639–647 (2007).</li> <li>T. Moser, D. Beutner, Kinetics of exocytosis and endocytosis at the cochlear inner hair cell afferent synapse of the mouse. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 883–888 (2000).</li> <li>J. D. Goutman, Mechanisms of synaptic depression at the hair cell ribbon synapse that support auditory nerve function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114, 9719–9724 (2017).</li> <li>K. Meyer, E. M. Rouiller, G. Loquet, Direct comparison between properties of adaptation of the auditory nerve and the ventral cochlear nucleus in response to repetitive clicks. Hear. Res. 228, 144–155 (2007).</li> </ul> |

- 722 nucleus of the rat. Hear. Res. 171, 72–81 (2002).
- 111. D. Duque, X. Wang, J. Nieto-Diego, K. Krumbholz, M. S. Malmierca, Neurons in the inferior
  colliculus of the rat show stimulus-specific adaptation for frequency, but not for intensity. Sci.
  Rep. 6, 1–15 (2016).
- 112. G. G. Parras, J. Nieto-Diego, G. V. Carbajal, C. Valdés-Baizabal, C. Escera, M. S. Malmierca,
  Neurons along the auditory pathway exhibit a hierarchical organization of prediction error. Nat.
  Commun. 8, 1–17 (2017).
- 113. L. A. Anderson, G. B. Christianson, J. F. Linden, Stimulus-specific adaptation occurs in the
  auditory thalamus. J. Neurosci. 29, 7359–7363 (2009).
- 731 114. R. G. Natan, W. Rao, M. N. Geffen, Cortical Interneurons Differentially Shape Frequency Tuning
  732 following Adaptation. Cell Rep. 21, 878–890 (2017).
- 733 115. G. Keller, T. Bonhoeffer, M. Hübener, Sensorimotor Mismatch Signals in Primary Visual Cortex
  734 of the Behaving Mouse. Neuron 74, 809–815 (2012).
- 116. N. J. Audette, W. X. Zhou, A. La Chioma, D. M. Schneider, Precise movement-based predictions
  in the mouse auditory cortex. Curr. Biol. 32, 4925-4940.e6 (2022).
- R. Näätänen, A. W. K. Gaillard, S. Mäntysalo, Early selective-attention effect on evoked potential
  reinterpreted. Acta Psychol. (Amst). 42, 313–329 (1978).
- 739 118. A. M. H. Lesicko, C. F. Angeloni, J. M. Blackwell, M. De Biasi, M. N. Geffen, Cortico-Fugal
  740 Regulation of Predictive Coding. Elife 11, e73289 (2022).
- K. Obara, T. Ebina, S. I. Terada, T. Uka, M. Komatsu, M. Takaji, A. Watakabe, K. Kobayashi, Y.
  Masamizu, H. Mizukami, T. Yamamori, K. Kasai, M. Matsuzaki, Change detection in the primate auditory cortex through feedback of prediction error signals. Nat. Commun. 14, 1–17 (2023).
- A. Hockley, M. S. Malmierca, Auditory processing control by the medial prefrontal cortex: A
  review of the rodent functional organisation. Hear. Res. 443, 108954 (2024).
- 746 121. J. H. Sul, H. Kim, N. Huh, D. Lee, M. W. Jung, Distinct Roles of Rodent Orbitofrontal and
  747 Medial Prefrontal Cortex in Decision Making. Neuron 66, 449–460 (2010).
- J. P. O'Doherty, P. Dayan, K. Friston, H. Critchley, R. J. Dolan, Temporal Difference Models and
  Reward-Related Learning in the Human Brain. Neuron 38, 329–337 (2003).

| 750<br>751        | 123.   | A. C. Nobre, J. T. Coull, C. D. Frith, M. M. Mesulam, Orbitofrontal cortex is activated during breaches of expectation in tasks of visual attention. Nat. Neurosci. 2, 11–12 (1999).   |  |  |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 752<br>753        | 124.   | S. W. Kennerley, A. F. Dahmubed, A. H. Lara, J. D. Wallis, Neurons in the Frontal Lobe Encode the Value of Multiple Decision Variables. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 21, 1162–1178 (2009).   |  |  |
| 754<br>755<br>756 | 125.   | Y. K. Takahashi, M. R. Roesch, T. A. Stalnaker, R. Z. Haney, D. J. Calu, A. R. Taylor, K. A. Burke, G. Schoenbaum, The Orbitofrontal Cortex and Ventral Tegmental Area Are Necessary for Learning from Unexpected Outcomes. Neuron 62, 269–280 (2009). |  |  |
| 757<br>758        | 126.   | T. A. Stalnaker, T. L. Liu, Y. K. Takahashi, G. Schoenbaum, Orbitofrontal neurons signal reward predictions, not reward prediction errors. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem. 153, 137–143 (2018).   |  |  |
| 759<br>760        | 127.   | F. Pouille, M. Scanziani, Routing of spike series by dynamic circuits in the hippocampus. Nature 429, 717–723 (2004).  |  |  |
| 761               |  |  |  |  |
| 762               |  |  |  |  |
| 763               | Acknowledgments: We thank Paul Manis, Jeffry Isaacson, Daniel Polley, and the members of the Kato  |  |  |  |
| 764               | Lab for their advice throughout the project and comments on the manuscript. We thank Gonçalo Lopes |  |  |  |
| 765               | for his  | s support in setting up Bonsai for pupil monitoring.   |  |  |
| 766               | Fu   | inding:  |  |  |
| 767               |  | National Institutes of Health grant R01DC017516 (HKK)  |  |  |
| 768               |  | National Institute of Health grant RF1NS128873 (HKK)   |  |  |
| 769               |  | Simons Foundation (HKK)  |  |  |
| 770               |  | Eagles Autism Foundation (HKK)   |  |  |
| 771               |  | Foundation of Hope (HKK, HT).  |  |  |
| 772               | A  | uthor contributions:   |  |  |
| 773               |  | Conceptualization: HT, HKK   |  |  |
| 774               |  | Methodology: HT, MMG, HKK  |  |  |
| 775               |  | Investigation: HT, MMG, PRD, HKK   |  |  |
| 776               |  | Formal analysis: HT, HKK   |  |  |
| 777               |  | Visualization: HT, HKK   |  |  |
| 778               |  | Funding acquisition: HT, HKK   |  |  |
|                   |  |  |  |  |

- 779 Project administration: HKK
- 780 Supervision: HKK
- 781 Writing original draft: HT, HKK
- 782 Writing review & editing: HT, MMG, PRD, HKK
- 783 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data generated in this study will be deposited in the DANDI
Archive. Source data for all figures will be provided in this paper as a supplementary data file
attached to this paper. Custom Matlab codes used in this study will be made available from the
corresponding author upon request.