BMJ Open Prognostic significance of *KMT2A-*PTD in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Wu Ye,¹ Mingzhu Ma,² Xia Wu,¹ Jili Deng,¹ Xiaoyan Liu,¹ Xue Zheng,¹ Yuping Gong ¹

ABSTRACT

To cite: Ye W, Ma M, Wu X, *et al.* Prognostic significance of *KMT2A*-PTD in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2023;**13**:e062376. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-062376

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-062376).

7

Wu Ye and Mingzhu Ma are cofirst authors.

Received 27 February 2022 Accepted 07 November 2022

(Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Hematology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

²Department of Outpatient, West China Hospital, Sichuan University/West China School of Nursing, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Correspondence to

Dr Yuping Gong; gongyuping2010@aliyun.com **Objectives** Whether *KMT2A*-PTD has a prognostic impact on patients with acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) is controversial. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis to assess the prognostic value of *KMT2A*-PTD in patients with AML. **Methods** Eligibility criteria: we included studies concerning the prognostic value of *KMT2A*-PTD in patients with AML.

Information sources: Eligible studies were identified from PubMed, Embase, Medline, Web of Science, Cochrane Library and Chinese Biomedical Database. The systematic search date was 19 December 2020.

Risk of bias: Sensitivity analysis was used to evaluate the stability and reliability of the combined results. Begg's and Egger's tests were used to assess the publication biases of studies.

Synthesis of results: We calculated the pooled HRs and their 95% Cls for overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS) by Stata V.12 software.

Results Included studies: 18 studies covering 6499 patients were included.

Synthesis of results: *KMT2A*-PTD conferred shorter OS in total population (HR=1.30, 95% Cl 1.09 to 1.51). In the subgroup analysis, *KMT2A*-PTD also resulted in shorter OS in karyotypically normal AML patients (HR=2.72, 95% Cl 1.83 to 3.61) and old AML patients (HR=1.93, 95% Cl 1.44 to 2.42). *KMT2A*-PTD indicated no prognostic impact on EFS in total population (HR=1.26, 95% Cl 0.86 to 1.66). However, in the sensitivity analysis, *KMT2A*-PTD resulted in poor EFS (HR=1.34, 95% Cl 1.04 to 1.64) when deleting the study with a relatively obvious effect on the combined HR. In the subgroup analysis, *KMT2A*-PTD was associated with poor EFS in old AML patients (HR=1.64, 95% Cl 1.25 to 2.03).

Conclusion The findings indicated that *KMT2A*-PTD had an adverse impact on the prognosis of patients with AML in the total population, and the conclusion can also be applied to some subgroups including karyotypically normal AML and old AML patients. *KMT2A*-PTD may be a promising genetic biomarker in patients with AML in the future. **Trial registration number** CRD42021227185.

INTRODUCTION

Acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) is a common haematological malignancy with a high recurrence rate and mortality.¹ The growth of malignant cells is characterised by the

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ We performed a meta-analysis of 18 studies covering 6499 patients to discuss the relationship between *KMT2A*-PTD and prognosis in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia.
- ⇒ Literature searching, study inclusion, data collection, quality assessment, statistical analysis and bias analysis were conducted in strict accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement.
- ⇒ The abstracted data were from published studies, but a meta-analysis based on individual patient data is more conducive to offering a more reliable estimate of the association.

interruption of normal intracellular signals caused by mutation or abnormal external signals.² There are few effective treatments for AML, partly due to the molecular heterogeneity of AML.³ All patients with AML (excluding M3) are recommended to participate in the clinical trial first if conditions permit; otherwise, clinicians will select and dynamically adjust the treatment regimens (including chemotherapy, targeted therapy, demethylation agents, haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), etc) according to the patient's age, genetic risk stratification, response and tolerance to treatment, post-treatment measurable residual disease, etc. Molecular markers play an increasingly important role in the diagnosis and risk stratification of AML. Mutations, such as NPM1, CEBPA, FLT3, RUNX1, IDH1, IDH2, ASXL1 and KIT (among others) are important for diagnosis and prognosis in patients with AML (provided by WHO Classification of Tumors of Hematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues). In recent years, many small molecule inhibitors have been applied for the treatment of AML patients with the rapid development of targeted therapy, such as FLT3 inhibitors (sorafenib, midostaurin, quizartinib and gilteritinib), IDH1/2 inhibitors (ivosidenib



and enasidenib) and BCL2 inhibitors (venetoclax). Therefore, the detection of molecular markers can be used for disease diagnosis and risk stratification and can guide the targeted treatment of patients. Further understanding of the genomic and molecular landscape of AML has led to an important evolution of our understanding of AML biology and enabled accurate prognostication for patients who are induced by standard combination chemotherapy.⁴

Lysine (K) methyltransferase 2A (KMT2A), also known as mixed lineage leukaemia (MLL),⁵ is located on chromosome 11q23.3 and encodes a transcriptional coactivator that plays an important role in regulating gene expression in early development and haematopoiesis. The encoded protein includes multiple conserved functional domains. The SET domain, which is one of these conserved functional domains, controls histone H3 lysine 4 methyltransferase activity, mediating chromatin modifications linked with epigenetic transcriptional activation. The protein is processed into two fragments by the enzymes Taspase 1, MLL-C and MLL-N. These fragments are recombined and further assembled into different multiprotein complexes to regulate the transcription of specific target genes containing many HOX genes (provided by RefSeq, October 2010). Partial tandem duplication of KMT2A (KMT2A-PTD), also named MLL-PTD, is a common genomic aberration in AML.⁶ Although many studies have evaluated the prognostic effect of KMT2A-PTD in patients with AML, the results among these studies are still inconsistent. Some studies reported that KMT2A-PTD had a worse prognostic impact on patients with AML,^{7–10} whereas others showed no additional prognostic impact of *KMT2A*-PTD.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Therefore, a meta-analysis was conducted to further discuss the relationship between KMT2A-PTD and prognosis in patients with AML.

METHODS

The meta-analysis was performed based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statements, and the protocol was registered in PROSPERO with number CRD42021227185.

Literature search and search strategy

Relevant studies were searched and collected by using PubMed, Embase, Medline, Web of Science, Cochrane Library and Chinese Biomedical Database. The MESH terms searched were as follows: "*MLL*", "*KMT2A*", "Mixedlineage leukemia", "Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2A", "*ALL-1*", "*MLL1*", "*HRX*", "*HTRX1*", "AML", "mutation", and "acute myeloid leukemia".

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The studies required the following conditions to be satisfied in our meta-analysis: (1) studies were published up to 19 December 2020, concerning the prognostic value of *KMT2A*-PTD in patients with AML; (2) studies provided overall survival (OS) or event-free survival (EFS) of *KMT2A*-PTD positive patients; (3) studies were original studies, while review articles, laboratory studies, conference abstracts, case reports and letters were all excluded; and (4) if there was overlapping data in multiple studies, only the highest quality study was included.

Two authors independently screened potentially eligible studies by reviewing the titles and abstracts, and then two investigators read the full text to screen qualified studies. Discrepancies between authors were resolved by consensus or consultation with a third participant.

Patient and public involvement

No patient was involved.

Data extraction

All required information from qualified studies was extracted by two investigators independently. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion. Extracting study information included the first author, the published year, population, the number of patients, gender, age, the number of *KMT2A*-PTD-positive and *KMT2A*-PTD-negative patients, detection methods and the classification of French–American–British.

In the meta-analysis, OS was the primary endpoint, and EFS was the secondary endpoint. OS refers to the time from randomisation to death due to any cause. For the patients who have lost the follow-up before death, the last follow-up time is usually calculated as the time of death. EFS refers to the time from the diagnosis of AML to the occurrence of any event, including death, disease progression, change of chemotherapy regimen, documentation of persistent leukaemia or last follow-up. We estimated the prognostic value of KMT2A-PTD in patients with AML by HRs and 95% CIs for OS and EFS. If the included studies did not offer the original data or related HR, we extracted the data from the Kaplan-Meier curve by using Engauge Digitizer V.4.1 software¹⁵ and calculated HRs and their corresponding 95% CIs by using the 1745-625-8-S1 Worksheet.¹

Quality assessment

Two authors estimated the quality of the included studies by using the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale (NOS) independently.¹⁷ Discrepancies between the two authors were resolved by discussion. The NOS contains nine items divided into three major categories: selection (including four items), comparability (including two items) and exposure or outcome (including three items). The overall score of the study ranged from 1 to 9 points. The study with a score of 7–9 points was regarded as high quality.

Statistical analysis

We calculated the pooled HRs and their 95% CIs for OS and EFS by Stata V.12 software. *KMT2A*-PTD indicated poor prognosis when the pooled HRs for OS or EFS were >1 and their 95% CIs did not overlap 1. The heterogeneity was evaluated by the χ^2 test; it was considered that

there was significant heterogeneity among studies when p was less than 0.1 and I² was greater than 50%.^{18 19} The random-effects model was selected to calculate the effect value when evident heterogeneity existed among studies (p was less than 0.1 and I² was greater than 50%); otherwise, the fixed-effects model was selected. Meta-regression was used to explore the source of heterogeneity among studies.

Sensitivity analysis and publication biases

We used sensitivity analysis to evaluate the impact of each individual study on the stability of the pooled effect by excluding one study each time sequentially. Begg's and Egger's tests were used to assess the potential publication biases of the included studies.^{20 21} P<0.05 was considered to indicate publication bias.

RESULTS

Study identification and selection

We initially collected 1232 studies, and 407 studies remained after preliminary screening and exclusion of review articles, fundamental studies, letters, etc. Subsequently, after reading the full text and excluding 253 studies with insufficient data, 135 studies of *KMT2A* rearrangement or other mutations excluding *KMT2A*-PTD, we obtained 19 articles in total. We finally kept 18 studies covering 6499 patients in our meta-analysis after being further screened and excluding one duplicate study.^{7–14 22–31} The screening process was performed in a flow chart (figure 1).

Characteristics of the selected studies

Among the 18 included studies, 17 studies were cohort studies, and one study was a randomised controlled trial. Six studies originated from Asia, nine from Germany, one from America and two were unclear (table 1). Among 6499 patients from the 18 studies, there were 705 *KMT2A*-PTD-positive AML patients and 5794 *KMT2A*-PTD-negative AML patients.

Quality assessment of the included studies

We used the NOS to evaluate the quality of the 17 cohort studies. The mean score of the 17 included cohort studies was 7.65 (5–8), indicating that the 17 included studies were of high quality. One study was a phase 3 randomised controlled trial, which was considered to be high quality (online supplemental table 1).

OS and EFS

We applied the pooled HR for OS from the 18 studies to assess the prognostic value of *KMT2A*-PTD in patients with AML. AML patients with *KMT2A*-PTD positivity had inferior OS (HR=1.30, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.51, p=0.015) compared with the *KMT2A*-PTD-negative AML patients. There was moderate heterogeneity (I^2 =46.9%) with the fixed-effects model (figure 2). The pooled HR for the EFS from eight studies had no prognostic impact on AML



Figure 1 Flow diagram of study screening.

					Median follow-					
First author	Year	Population	Patients (n)	Median age, year (range)	up, month (range)	Male/ female	KMT2A-PTD ⁺ / KMT2A-PTD ⁻	FAB classification	Karyotypically normal AML?	Detection methods
Cheng <i>et al⁹</i>	2019	NA	71	NA(18-72)	NA	41/28	4/67	M0-M7*	Yes/No	NA
Vetro <i>et al²²</i>	2019	Germany	190	58(19-86)	NA	100/90	95/95	M0-M7*	Yes/No	qRT-PCR
Wu et al²³	2018	NA	106	60 (21–88)	NA	57/49	6/97	M0-M7†	Yes/No	NA
Kong <i>et al</i> ²⁴	2017	Chinese	36	48(22-72)	10.6 (2–28)	18/18	17/19	M2-M6†	Yes/No	PCR cDNA-Seq
Shiba <i>et al</i> ¹⁰	2015	Japanese	369	10.8/7.0 (0-17.9)	NA	194/175	9/360	M0-M7*	Yes/No	qRT-PCR
Kao et al ¹³	2014	Chinese	67	49.0 (0.3–97.9)	4.8(NA-176.6)	45/22	5/62	MO	Yes/No	PCR cDNA-Seq
Sano et al ²⁵	2013	Japanese	153	6 (0–15)	NA	87/66	21/132	M0-M7*	Yes/No	RT-PCR cDNA-Seq
Grossmann et al ⁷	2012	Germany	952	66.8 (3.4–100.4)	23.7	537/463	57/895	M0-M7*	Yes/No	RQ-PCR
Haferlach <i>et al</i> ²⁶	2011	Germany	252	65.7 (19.7–88.8)	NA	NA	11/241	M0-M7*	Yes/No	RT-PCR cDNA-Seq
Gaidzik <i>et al</i> ¹⁴	2011	Germany	884	48(18-60)	54 (51.6–60)	473/411	72/724	M0-M7†	Yes/No	PCR cDNA-Seq
Fernandez <i>et al²⁷</i>	2009	American	657	47/48(17-60)	25.2	335/322	31/586	M0-M7†	Yes/No	Semi-PCR
Bacher et al ²⁸	2008	Germany	1044	63.1 (17.5–91.8)	NA	NA	84/960	M0-M7†	Yes	PCR cDNA-Seq
Shih <i>et al</i> ¹²	2006	Chinese	98	52(15-85)	NA	44/54	62/36	M0-M7*	Yes/No	PCR cDNA-Seq
Weisser <i>et al²⁹</i>	2005	Germany	145	63(24-89)	6 (0.5–53)	82/63	137/49	M0-M6†	Yes	Real-time PCR
Steudel <i>et al</i> ¹¹	2003	Germany	956	NA	NA	NA	48/908	M0-M7*	Yes/No	RT-PCR cDNA-Seq
Shiah <i>et al</i> ⁸	2002	Chinese	81	46 (0.3–80)	NA	42/39	9/72	M0-M7†	Yes/No	RT-PCR cDNA-Seq
Döhner <i>et al</i> ³⁰	2002	Germany	221	46.8 (16–60)	19(NA)	99/122	18/203	M0-M7†	Yes	PCR cDNA-Seq
Schnittger <i>et al</i> ³¹	2000	Germany	217	62.3/50.3(NA)	NA	NA	16/211	M0-M7*	Yes	RT-PCR cDNA-Seq
KMT2A-PTD: partia *FAB classification i †FAB classification cDNA-Seq, compler transcription PCR; S	I tandem ncludes excludes nentary `semi-PCI	duplication of I M3. M3. DNA sequencin 3. semiquantita	lysine (K) methyl ig: FAB, French- tive PCR.	ttransferase 2A. American–British; NA,	, not available; qRT	-PCR, quant	itative real-time PCI	⊰; RQ-PCR, real-tir	ne quantitative PC	R.; RT-PCR, reverse-

Characteristics of the included studies in the meta-analysis

Table 1

Study		%
ID	HR (95% CI)	Weight
Zhiheng Cheng (2019)	→ 3.31 (1.17, 9.33)	0.27
Calogero Vetro (2019)	 2.52 (1.46, 4.36) 	2.17
Sun Wu (2018)	1.44 (0.69, 2.99)	3.45
Jun Kong (2017)	1.44 (0.10, 20.43)	0.04
Norio Shiba (2015)	2.62 (1.06, 6.46)	0.62
Hsiao-Wen Kao (2014) 🔶	0.49 (0.18, 1.37)	12.89
Hirozumi Sano (2013)	1.96 (0.87, 4.46)	1.41
Vera Grossmann (2012)	► 1.71 (1.15, 2.55)	9.30
Claudia Haferlach (2011)	 2.76 (1.32, 5.78) 	0.92
Verena I. Gaidzik (2011)	1.03 (0.75, 1.42)	39.88
Hugo F. Fernandez (2009)	← 2.66 (1.57, 4.49)	2.14
Ulrike Bacher (2008)	 ★ 2.49 (1.72, 3.60) 	5.16
L-Y Shih (2006)	1.38 (0.71, 2.67)	4.74
Martin Weisser (2005)	• 2.37 (0.26, 21.59)	0.04
Christine Steudel (2003)	1.27 (0.85, 1.90)	16.53
H-S Shiah (2002)	7.50 (1.84, 30.60)	0.02
Konstanze Do n ner (2002)	 5.03 (2.57, 9.83)	0.35
S Schnittger (2000)	• 2.48 (0.36, 16.97)	0.07
Overall (I-squared = 46.9%, p = 0.015)	1.30 (1.09, 1.51)	100.00
-30.6 1	30.6	
Favours KMT2A-PTD positive	Favours KMT2A-PTD negative	e

Figure 2 Forest plots of the pooled HRs and 95% CIs for OS evaluated the prognostic value of *KMT2A*-PTD in patients with AML. The size of the blocks or diamonds represents the weight of the fixed effects model in the meta-analysis. AML, acute myeloid leukaemia; OS, overall survival.

patients with *KMT2A*-PTD positivity (HR=1.26, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.66, p=0.023). There was moderate heterogeneity (I^2 =56.9%) with the random-effects model (figure 3).

Among the 18 included studies, five studies concerned AML patients with *KMT2A*-PTD positivity and cytogenetic normal (CN). The pooled HR for OS indicated that



Figure 3 Forest plots of the pooled HRs and 95% CIs for EFS evaluated the prognostic value of *KMT2A*-PTD in patients with AML. The size of the blocks or diamonds represents the weight of the random effects model in the meta-analysis.



Figure 4 Forest plots of the pooled HRs and 95% CIs for OS and EFS evaluated the prognostic value of *KMT2A*-PTD in AML patients with cytogenetics normal (CN). The size of the blocks or diamonds represents the weight of the fixed-effects model in the meta-analysis. (A) Forest plots of HRs and 95% CIs for OS in patients with CN. (B) Forest plots of HRs and 95% CIs for EFS in patients with CN. EFS, event-free survival; OS, overall survival.

KMT2A-PTD was an independently unfavourable prognostic factor in CN-AML patients (HR=2.72, 95% CI 1.83 to 3.61, p=0.571) with no heterogeneity (I^2 =0%) (figure 4A). Among five studies for patients with CN-AML, only three studies provided HR (95% CI) for EFS. The pooled HR for EFS suggested that *KMT2A*-PTD had no prognostic impact on CN-AML patients (HR=1.46, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.98, p=0.326) (figure 4B). However, due to the limited number of included studies and the wide range of 95% CI of the pooled HR for EFS in CN-AML, the result may not be reliable and needs further verification. Moreover, *KMT2A*-PTD conferred poor OS in AML, including M3 patients (HR=1.58, 95% CI 1.22 to

1.93, p=0.670), with no heterogeneity ($I^2=0\%$) (figure 5A). Although the results indicated that *KMT2A*-PTD had no prognostic impact on OS in patients with AML, excluding M3 (HR=1.61, 95% CI 0.90 to 2.32, p=0.004), it is difficult to draw a precise conclusion because of the wide CI and the large heterogeneity among studies ($I^2=64.5\%$) (figure 5B). Compared with the *KMT2A*-PTD-negative AML patients, *KMT2A*-PTD-positive AML patients had inferior OS (HR=1.93, 95% CI 1.44 to 2.42, p=0.563) and EFS (HR=1.64, 95% CI 1.25 to 2.03, p=0.399) in old patients (the median age of the patients included in the study was 60 years or older) with no heterogeneity of OS ($I^2=0\%$) and EFS ($I^2=1.2\%$)



Figure 5 Forest plots of the pooled HRs and 95% Cls for OS in patients with AML including/excluding M3. The size of the blocks or diamonds represents the weight of the fixed-effects model or random-effect model in the meta-analysis (A for AML including M3, B for AML excluding M3). (A) Forest plots of the HRs for OS in patients with AML including M3 in the fixed-effects model. (B) Forest plots of the HRs for OS in patients with AML excluding M3 in the random-effects model. AML, acute myeloid leukaemia; OS, overall survival.

(online supplemental figure 1). Finally, we conducted a subgroup analysis according to the treatment regimens of patients. *KMT2A*-PTD conferred poor OS in patients with AML who received anthracycline in combination with cytarabine treatment (HR=1.75, 95% CI 1.22 to 2.28, p=0.446). Although the result indicated that *KMT2A*-PTD had no prognostic impact on OS in patients who underwent allogeneic HSCT (HR=3.34, 95% CI 0.36 to 6.31, p=0.984), it is difficult

to draw a precise conclusion because of the limited number of included studies (online supplemental figure 2).

Meta-regression analysis

Because of the limited number of the included studies focusing on EFS, meta-regression was only used to explore the potential source of heterogeneity for OS in the total population. Five factors, including population,

Table 2	Results of meta	-regression analys	is of
heteroge	neity for OS in th	e total population	

• •			•		
	Overall survival		_	95% CI	
	Exp(b)	SE	P value	LL	UL
Publish year	0.981	0.025	0.454	0.930	1.035
Population	1.138	0.194	0.459	0.790	1.640
Median age	0.998	0.009	0.858	0.979	1.018
Number of patients	1.000	0.000	0.410	0.999	1.000
Detecting methods	1.004	0.177	0.981	0.691	1.459

b, regression coefficient; exp(b), exponential form of b; LL, lower limit of 95% CI; OS, overall survival; SE, standard error; UL, upper limit of 95% CI.

year of publication, median age, number of patients and detection methods, were included in the meta-regression analysis. The results showed that there was no significant relationship between the five factors and the source of the heterogeneity (table 2). Differing laboratory techniques have distinct sensitivities for detecting *KMT2A*-PTD mutation; thus, we included the detection methods in the regression analysis and found that detection methods had no significant effect on the pooled OS.

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis, omitting one study at a time to evaluate the impact of each individual study on the pooled HR for OS and EFS, was conducted in our meta-analysis. The results indicated that two studies (Kao et al 2014 and Gaidzik et al 2011)^{13 14} for OS and one study (Gaidzik et al 2011)¹⁴ for EFS had no significant influence on their corresponding pooled HRs in the total population with the random-effects model but were relatively obvious with the fixed-effects model (online supplemental figure 3). Excluding the two studies with a relatively obvious effect on the pooled HR for OS, the pooled HR from 1.30 (95% CI 1.09 to 1.51) changed to 1.75 (95% CI 1.44 to 2.06), while the I^2 from 49.6% (p=0.015) decreased to 0% (p=0.481) (online supplemental figure 4). Moreover, the pooled HR for EFS from 1.26 (95% CI 0.86 to 1.66) changed to 1.34 (95% CI 1.04 to 1.64) when excluding the one study with a relatively significant effect on the combined HR for EFS (online supplemental figure 5). According to the previous results, we can conclude that the source of the heterogeneity was from the two studies. The Kao et al 2014¹³ study only included patients with AML-M0, which may be the source of the heterogeneity of the study. The Gaidzik et al 2011¹⁴ study accounted for 38.88% weight of the pooled HR for OS and 24.62% for EFS in the total population, which was the largest weight study in all included studies. The reasons described above may explain why this study had a significant influence on the combined HR.

Publication biases

No obvious publication bias was found by Begg's test (p=0.820) and Egger's test (p=0.220) for OS and Begg's test (p=0.902) and Egger's test (p=0.759) for EFS in the total population (online supplemental figure 6).

DISCUSSION

The significance of the study

AML is a common haematological malignancy. Despite all our scientific advances, the prognosis of patients with AML still needs to be improved. The classification and diagnosis of patients with AML are based on genetics and morphology. With the rapid development of gene detection technologies, an increasing number of somatic gene mutations have emerged as important diagnostic and prognostic markers for AML. KMT2A is a common abnormal gene. The biological and clinical features of AML patients with KMT2A-rearrangement are well known, but the diagnostic and prognostic role of KMT2A-PTD is controversial. Although many studies have evaluated the prognostic effect of KMT2A-PTD in patients with AML, the results among these studies are still inconsistent. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis, hoping to solve this controversial problem.

Principal findings

In our meta-analysis, the primary outcome was OS, and KMT2A-PTD conferred shorter OS in the total population. In the subgroup analysis, KMT2A-PTD also conferred shorter OS in CN-AML patients, old AML patients and AML including M3 patients, compared with those with KMT2A-PTD negativity. The secondary outcome was EFS. KMT2A-PTD indicated no prognostic impact on EFS in the total population. However, in the sensitivity analysis, KMT2A-PTD resulted in poor EFS when deleting the study with a relatively obvious effect on the combined HR. Thus, the prognostic impact of KMT2A-PTD on EFS in the total population needs further verification. In the subgroup analysis of the pooled HR for EFS, KMT2A-PTD was associated with poor EFS in old AML patients but without prognostic impact on EFS in CN-AML patients. However, due to the small number of included studies and the wide range of 95% CI of the pooled HR for EFS in CN-AML patients, the result may not be reliable and needs further verification. In the meta-regression analysis, five factors, including the population, publication year, median age, number of patients and detection methods, showed no significant association with the source of heterogeneity. In the sensitivity analysis, two studies for OS and one study for EFS had no significant influence on their corresponding combined HR in the total population with the random-effects model but were relatively obvious with the fixed-effects model. After deleting the two studies, the pooled HR indicated that KMT2A-PTD played a poor prognostic impact on OS in patients with AML, which was consistent with the previous conclusion without removing the two studies. However, the pooled HR for EFS from 1.26 (95% CI 0.86 to 1.66) changed to 1.34 (95% CI 1.04 to 1.64) when deleting the one study with a relatively significant effect on the combined HR. The Hsiao-Wen Kao et al 2014¹³ study only included patients with AML-M0, which may be the source of the heterogeneity of the study. The Gaidzik et al 2011¹⁴ study accounted for 38.88% weight of the pooled HR for OS and 24.62% weight of the pooled HR for EFS in the total population, which was the largest weight study in all included studies. The reasons described previously may explain the heterogeneity of this study. In addition, the number of studies for calculating the pooled HR for EFS was relatively small; thus, the result significantly changed when excluding the one study. The prognostic impact of KMT2A-PTD on EFS in the total population requires further research. In the publication bias tests, no significant publication bias was found in Begg's test and Egger's test for the OS and EFS in the total population.

Strengths and limitations

Our study is the first meta-analysis to discuss the controversial problem concerning the prognostic impact of KMT2A-PTD in patients with AML. The 95% CIs of HRs for OS in 9 studies contain 1 among the 18 studies included in the meta-analysis, which indicates the inconsistent conclusion of the role of KMT2A-PTD in the prognosis of patients with AML. Meta-analysis can improve the efficiency of statistical analysis, reveal the uncertainty in a single study and find common conclusions and differences between individual studies. Therefore, the conclusion obtained by meta-analysis is more reliable. However, there are several limitations in our meta-analysis. First, the results were from cohort studies rather than random controlled trials (only one randomised controlled trial was included), but the latter are more reliable. Second, raw data for each individual patient were not available, and the abstracted data were from published studies, but a meta-analysis based on individual patient data is more conducive to offering a more reliable estimate of the association.³² Third, we did not evaluate the potential effects of other factors, such as gender distribution of patients, chromosomal aberration, cytogenetic risk classification, gene lesions and time of follow-up.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, *KMT2A*-PTD had a significantly unfavourable prognostic effect in patients with AML. This conclusion also applied to some subgroups, including karyotypically normal AML, old AML (>60 years old) and AML including M3 patients. These findings can provide help for justifying risk-adapted therapeutic strategies for patients with AML based on *KMT2A*-PTD. The molecular testing needed to detect the *KMT2A*-PTD mutation is not routine in practice and requires a specific PCR assay at the time of diagnosis, and standard, karyotype and fish do not routinely identify this lesion. Many genes associated with the diagnosis and prognosis of patients with

AML have been revealed by gene sequencing, allelespecific PCR and other techniques, such as *FLT3*-ITD, *NPM1*, *CEBPA*,³³ *IDH1*³⁴ and *RUNX1*.³⁵ Combined with these significant genetic biomarkers, *KMT2A*-PTD will contribute to a more accurate risk stratification and treatment decision of patients with AML. The discovery of the conclusion can prompt us to further study the pathogenic mechanism of *KMT2A*-PTD in AML, which is helpful to deepen our understanding of the disease. Moreover, with a better understanding of the role of *KMT2A*-PTD, we can develop small inhibitors targeting *KMT2A*-PTD, which will provide significant help for the treatment of patients with AML.

Contributors WY, MM and YG contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by all authors. The first draft of the manuscript was written by WY and MM modified and supplemented the content of the manuscript, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. WY and MM contributed equally to this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. YG is responsible for the overall content as guarantor and accepts full responsibility for the finished work and/or the conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish.

Funding The work was supported by the Foundation of the Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (N0.2019YFS0026).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. No data are available.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Yuping Gong http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2437-9348

REFERENCES

- Vlachou T, Nobile MS, Ronchini C, et al. An experimental and computational protocol to study cell proliferation in human acute myeloid leukemia xenografts. *Methods Mol Biol* 2021;2185:241–58.
- 2 Nepstad I, Hatfield KJ, Grønningsæter IS, et al. The PI3K-AKT-mtor signaling pathway in human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cells. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21:2907.
- 3 Mason CC, Fiol CR, Baker MJ, et al. Identification of genetic targets in acute myeloid leukaemia for designing targeted therapy. Br J Haematol 2021;192:137–45.
- 4 Horibata S, Alyateem G, DeStefano CB, *et al*. The evolving AML genomic landscape: therapeutic implications. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* 2020;20:532–44.

Open access

- 5 Sarrou E, Richmond L, Carmody RJ, et al. Crispr gene editing of murine blood stem and progenitor cells induces MLL-AF9 chromosomal translocation and MLL-AF9 leukaemogenesis. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21:4266.
- 6 Bera R, Chiu M-C, Huang Y-J, *et al.* DNMT3A mutants provide proliferating advantage with augmentation of self-renewal activity in the pathogenesis of AML in KMT2A-PTD-positive leukemic cells. *Oncogenesis* 2020;9:7.
- 7 Grossmann V, Schnittger S, Kohlmann A, et al. A novel hierarchical prognostic model of AML solely based on molecular mutations. *Blood* 2012;120:2963–72.
- 8 Shiah H-S, Kuo Y-Y, Tang J-L, *et al.* Clinical and biological implications of partial tandem duplication of the MLL gene in acute myeloid leukemia without chromosomal abnormalities at 11q23. *Leukemia* 2002;16:196–202.
- 9 Cheng Z, Dai Y, Pang Y, et al. High EGFL7 expression may predict poor prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2019;20:1314–8.
- 10 Shiba N, Ohki K, Kobayashi T, et al. High PRDM16 expression identifies a prognostic subgroup of pediatric acute myeloid leukaemia correlated to FLT3-ITD, KMT2A-PTD, and NUP98-NSD1: the results of the japanese paediatric leukaemia/lymphoma study group AML-05 trial. Br J Haematol 2016;172:581–91.
- 11 Steudel C, Wermke M, Schaich M, *et al.* Comparative analysis of MLL partial tandem duplication and FLT3 internal tandem duplication mutations in 956 adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2003;37:237–51.
- 12 Shih L-Y, Liang D-C, Fu J-F, et al. Characterization of fusion partner genes in 114 patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia and MLL rearrangement. Leukemia 2006;20:218–23.
- 13 Kao H-W, Liang D-C, Wu J-H, *et al*. Gene mutation patterns in patients with minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukemia. *Neoplasia* 2014;16:481–8.
- 14 Gaidzik VI, Bullinger L, Schlenk RF, et al. RUNX1 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: results from a comprehensive genetic and clinical analysis from the AML study group. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:1364–72.
- 15 Parmar MK, Torri V, Stewart L. Extracting summary statistics to perform meta-analyses of the published literature for survival endpoints. *Stat Med* 1998;17:2815–34.
- 16 Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, et al. Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. *Trials* 2007;8:16.
- 17 Stang A. Critical evaluation of the newcastle-ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in metaanalyses. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2010;25:603–5.
- 18 Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327:557–60.
- 19 Rhodes KM, Turner RM, Higgins JPT. Empirical evidence about inconsistency among studies in a pair-wise meta-analysis. *Res Synth Methods* 2016;7:346–70.
- 20 Lin L, Chu H, Murad MH, et al. Empirical comparison of publication bias tests in meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med 2018;33:1260–7.

- 21 Lin L, Chu H. Quantifying publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics 2018;74:785–94.
- 22 Vetro C, Haferlach T, Meggendorfer M, et al. Cytogenetic and molecular genetic characterization of KMT2A-PTD positive acute myeloid leukemia in comparison to KMT2A-rearranged acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Genet 2020;240:15–22.
- 23 Wu S, Dai Y, Zhang Y, et al. Mutational spectrum and prognostic stratification of intermediate-risk acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Gene Ther 2018;25:207–13.
- 24 Kong J, Zhao X-S, Qin Y-Z, *et al.* The initial level of MLL-partial tandem duplication affects the clinical outcomes in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2018;59:967–72.
- 25 Sano H, Shimada A, Tabuchi K, et al. WT1 mutation in pediatric patients with acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the japanese childhood AML cooperative study group. Int J Hematol 2013;98:437–45.
- 26 Haferlach C, Kern W, Schindela S, et al. Gene expression of BAALC, CDKN1B, ERG, and MN1 adds independent prognostic information to cytogenetics and molecular mutations in adult acute myeloid leukemia. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2012;51:257–65.
- 27 Fernandez HF, Sun Z, Yao X, *et al.* Anthracycline dose intensification in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:1249–59.
- 28 Bacher U, Haferlach C, Kern W, et al. Prognostic relevance of FLT3-TKD mutations in AML: the combination matters--an analysis of 3082 patients. *Blood* 2008;111:2527–37.
- 29 Weisser M, Kern W, Schoch C, et al. Risk assessment by monitoring expression levels of partial tandem duplications in the MLL gene in acute myeloid leukemia during therapy. *Haematologica* 2005;90:881–9.
- 30 Döhner K, Tobis K, Ulrich R, *et al.* Prognostic significance of partial tandem duplications of the MLL gene in adult patients 16 to 60 years old with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: a study of the acute myeloid leukemia study group ULM. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:3254–61.
- 31 Schnittger S, Kinkelin U, Schoch C, *et al.* Screening for MLL tandem duplication in 387 unselected patients with AML identify a prognostically unfavorable subset of AML. *Leukemia* 2000;14:796–804.
- 32 Wu X, Feng X, Zhao X, *et al.* Prognostic significance of FLT3-ITD in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Mol Cell Biochem* 2016;420:121–8.
- 33 Port M, Böttcher M, Thol F, et al. Prognostic significance of FLT3 internal tandem duplication, nucleophosmin 1, and CEBPA gene mutations for acute myeloid leukemia patients with normal karyotype and younger than 60 years: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Hematol 2014;93:1279–86.
- 34 Xu Q, Li Y, Lv N, et al. Correlation between isocitrate dehydrogenase gene aberrations and prognosis of patients with acute myeloid leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017;23:4511–22.
- 35 Jalili M, Yaghmaie M, Ahmadvand M, et al. Prognostic value of RUNX1 mutations in AML: a meta-analysis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2018;19:325–9.