

https://doi.org/10.1093/jimb/kuab067 Advance access publication date: 21 September 2021 Metabolic Engineering and Synthetic Biology – Mini-Review

Engineering robust microorganisms for organic acid production

Vinh G. Tran¹ and Huimin Zhao^{1,2}

¹Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, U.S. Department of Energy Center for Bioenergy and Bioproducts Innovation (CABBI), Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA ²Departments of Chemistry, Biochemistry, and Bioengineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA Correspondence should be addressed to: Huimin Zhao. Phone: +217-333-2631. Fax: +217-333-5052. E-mail: zhao5@illinois.edu

Abstract: Organic acids are an important class of compounds that can be produced by microbial conversion of renewable feedstocks and have huge demands and broad applications in food, chemical, and pharmaceutical industries. An economically viable fermentation process for production of organic acids requires robust microbial cell factories with excellent tolerance to low pH conditions, high concentrations of organic acids, and lignocellulosic inhibitors. In this review, we summarize various strategies to engineer robust microorganisms for organic acid production and highlight their applications in a few recent examples.

Keywords: Organic acids, Robustness, Low pH, Acid stress, Lignocellulosic inhibitors

Introduction

Global concerns pertaining to the unsustainable chemical productions using fossil resources and the consequential environmental impacts have motivated the development of microbial cell factories for production of fuels and chemicals using renewable biomass (Du et al., 2011; Lian et al., 2018b). Organic acids are important value-added products that have diverse applications in food, pharmaceutical, and chemical industries, and metabolic engineering and synthetic biology have been extensively employed to engineer platform strains for organic acid production (Liu et al., 2017). However, microbial production of organic acids suffers from some challenges. Bacteria are typically not tolerant to low pH conditions. In particular, gram-negative bacteria, such as Escherichia coli, have the periplasm encased by the outer and inner membranes. The outer membrane harbors nonspecific transporters, such as porins, and protons can freely diffuse through these transporters (Hong et al., 2012). Since the periplasm houses essential enzymes and transporters for the uptake of nutrient molecules (Nikaido, 2003), the acidification of the periplasm resulted from the accumulation of protons can denature the periplasmic proteins and disrupt their functions (Hong et al., 2012), leading to reduced cell growth. Thus, while the production of organic acids using bacteria can accomplish very high titers and yields, the inhibitory effects of low pH on bacterial growth necessitate the use of neutralization agents such as calcium carbonate to maintain fermentations at neutral pH, which is higher than the pKa values of all organic acids (e.g., 4.21 for succinic acid; 3.86 for lactic acid) (Yin et al., 2015). Subsequently, the salt forms of organic acids are obtained. An acidification step using strong acid (e.g., sulfuric acid) is thus necessary to recover the undissociated forms of organic acids but leads to the formation of gypsum, rendering downstream processing costly.

On the other hand, although the titers and yields of organic acids attained by yeasts are lower than those obtained from bacteria, yeasts can tolerate much lower pH conditions since their plasma membranes are not permeable to protons. However, at low pH, organic acids are in their undissociated forms and can cross the yeast cell membrane freely by diffusion at high concentrations (Casal et al., 2008). Upon entering the cytoplasm, the organic acids will dissociate into protons and their anionic forms, leading to cytosolic acidification. Accumulation of organic acid anions can also be toxic to the yeasts. Moreover, when lignocellulosic biomass is used as a feedstock, high tolerance of microorganisms to inhibitors present in lignocellulosic hydrolysates is another important prerequisite (Jin & Cate, 2017). Lignocellulosic inhibitors, including phenolic molecules and furans, are the byproducts derived from the pretreatment of lignocellulose (Wang et al., 2018b). Phenolic compounds, such as vanillic acid and catechol, can penetrate and damage the cell membrane, leading to the leakage of intracellular components. Furan aldehydes, including furfural and 5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-furaldehyde (HMF), can inhibit glycolytic genes. Furthermore, lignocellulosic inhibitors can increase the level of reactive oxygen species, damaging the cytoskeleton and mitochondria.

Thus, for efficient and economical microbial production of organic acids, the platform organisms should be able to tolerate highly acidic conditions, high concentrations of organic acids, and stress from lignocellulosic inhibitors. In this review, we first introduce some strategies frequently utilized to improve the robustness of various microbial hosts for organic acid production. The strategies include transcriptome analysis-guided engineering, transcription machinery engineering, adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE), and genome-scale engineering. We then highlight their successful applications in a number of recent case studies.

Commonly Used Strategies to Improve Host Robustness

The robustness of microorganisms toward low pH, acid stress, and lignocellulosic inhibitors can be enhanced by several strategies (Fig. 1). Transcriptome analysis can identify the dynamic changes

Received: June 17, 2021. Accepted: September 11, 2021.

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Society of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Fig. 1. Commonly utilized strategies for enhancing host robustness. (a) Overexpression or deletion of transcription factors associated with stress tolerance. (b) Transcriptome analysis-guided identification of rational targets that can confer enhanced stress tolerance. (c) Adaptive laboratory evolution by serial transfer for improved tolerance to stress. (d) Transformation of pooled library and enrichment of genetic perturbations relevant to stress tolerance.

in gene expression induced by stresses (Horinouchi et al., 2018). Microbes are grown in the presence and absence of stresses, and transcription profiling can then be employed to compare the discrepancies in gene expression levels between the two conditions, leading to the identification of rational targets that can possibly confer enhanced tolerance to stresses (Fig. 1B). Transcription factors can sense small molecules and stimuli from internal and external environments; manipulation of transcription factors associated with stress response by overexpression or deletion can alter the expression levels of their target genes, which can potentially result in an improved tolerance phenotype (Fig. 1A) (Wan et al., 2019). Furthermore, ALE is an indispensable tool in metabolic engineering for strain development and has been highly effective in improving microbial tolerance to stress, such as high salinity, high temperature, and low pH (Sandberg et al., 2019). Microorganisms are cultivated in defined environments and serially transferred to harsher conditions for extended periods of time, allowing the natural selection of improved phenotypes (Fig. 1C). Finally, genome-scale engineering can efficiently create genetic variations throughout the whole genome in parallel and has been successfully applied to improve chemical productions, substrate utilization, and tolerance to toxic compounds (Cao et al., 2020b; Si et al., 2015b). Pooled libraries based on modulation strategies such as gene deletion or interference are constructed and transformed into microorganisms (Fig. 1D). Selective pressure such as high concentration of lignocellulosic inhibitors is then applied, leading to the enrichment of genetic perturbations relevant to the phenotype of interest.

Engineering for Tolerance to Low pH Transcriptome Analysis-Guided Engineering

Transcriptional profiling was performed on the Lactococcus lactis strains experiencing acid shock at pH 4, revealing the upregulations in response to low pH stress, one of which was the overexpression of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters (Zhu et al., 2019). Reverse engineering showed that strains expressing the ABC transporters RbsA and RbsB (ribose import ATP-binding proteins), MsmK (ATPase), and DppA (dipeptide permease) exhibited at least fivefold higher survival rates after exposure to acidic conditions at pH 4 for 3 h. Genes related to cold-shock proteins, fatty acid synthesis, and ATP generation were also found to be upregulated. In another study, comparative transcriptomics analysis allowed the comparisons of global gene expression in Pichia kudriavzevii at pH 2.0 and 5.5 (Ji et al., 2020). Genes related to arginine metabolism, such as arginine permease and arginase, were found to be highly upregulated under the low pH condition, suggesting that arginine metabolism might play an important role in the tolerance of P. kudriavzevii to highly acidic conditions. Supplementation of extracellular arginine or overexpression of genes



Fig. 2. Scheme for the mechanism of two-component signal transduction system CpxRA (Xu et al., 2020). CpxA can sense acidic condition through protonation of histidine residues, leading to phosphorylation of CpxR and upregulation of *fabA* and *fabB* for synthesis of unsaturated fatty acids. Overexpression of *fabA* enabled similar 3-hydroxypropionic acid production with and without pH adjustment.

involved in arginine biosynthesis, such as the arginine biosynthesis bifunctional protein ARGJ, was found to enhance the cell growth and maintain a neutral intracellular pH.

Transcription Machinery Engineering

Tolerance to acidic condition at pH 2 by Candida glabrata was found to be linked to RDS2, a transcriptional regulator of glycerophospholipid metabolism (Wu et al., 2020). RDS2 deletion reduced cell growth at pH 2, whereas overexpression of RDS2 was beneficial in improving the cell survival at pH 2 by 17%, ATP content by 42%, and membrane permeability by 19% compared to the wild-type strain. In another study, an acid-tolerant response system required for E. coli growth at pH 4.2 was characterized (Xu et al., 2020). A two-component signal transduction system CpxRA was determined to sense acidic conditions through protonation of CpxA (sensor histidine kinase) periplasmic histidine residues, leading to phosphorylation of the transcriptional regulatory protein CpxR and upregulation of the fabA (3-hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase/isomerase) and *fabB* (β -ketoacyl-ACP synthase) for the synthesis of unsaturated fatty acids (Fig. 2). Alterations in lipid composition then decreased membrane fluidity and improved intracellular pH homeostasis. Overexpression of the fabA gene was also found to improve E. coli tolerance to acidic environments, enabling comparable 3-hydroxypropionic acid production with and without pH control (Xu et al., 2020).

Adaptive Laboratory Evolution

Actinobacillus succinogenes is a potential microorganism for succinic acid production because of its ability to consume several substrates and strong growth rate under anaerobic conditions (Zhang et al., 2020). The wild-type A. *succinogenes* could produce 18.97 g/l of succinic acid with a yield of 0.68 g/g glucose at pH of 6.8. Nevertheless, at pH 5.8, the succinic acid titer was significantly decreased to 7.03 g/l, and no growth was observed when pH was 5.6. To improve the production at lower pH, ALE was performed over 1140 h by serial dilution in media with the pH ranging from 6.8 to 5.8. The best mutant exhibited a 2.36-fold increase in cell growth rate, and batch fermentation gave 20.77 g/l of succinic acid at pH 5.8, which was 2.95-fold higher than the wild-type strain. This mutant also had a higher intracellular ATP supply than the wild-type strain, which might contribute to the enhanced tolerance toward low pH stress.

In addition, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was evolved to acquire tolerance to HCl and lactic acid at pH 2.8 (Fletcher et al., 2017). The evolved strains adapted to HCl and lactic acid had 31.3% and 200% increase in maximum specific growth rates compared to the parent strain, respectively. For tolerance to HCl, genes related to iron transport, such as *FRE1* (ferric reductase), were disrupted to limit the uptake of iron, which becomes more toxic at lower pH; genes involved in sterol and lipid metabolism were also found to be upregulated. For tolerance to lactic acid, formation of a multicellular morphology and rapid lactate degradation by upregulation of CYB2, which converts lactate to pyruvate, were observed.

ALE was performed on E. coli for improved growth rate at pH 5.5 (Du et al., 2020). The evolved strains were able to grow 18% faster than the parent strain at pH 5.5 and had similar growth rates to the starting strain at neutral pH. RNA-seq revealed that genes related to amino acid metabolism and energy production were highly upregulated to combat the low pH stress. Deletion in *rpoC* encoding a subunit of RNA polymerase was observed in most of the evolved clones, and the authors speculated this mutation, rather than improving acid resistance, enabled faster growth rate under low pH condition.

Genome-Scale Engineering

A genomic DNA library of the multi-stress-tolerant Issatchenkia orientalis was transformed into S. cerevisiae, and transformants were plated onto synthetic complete dextrose plates containing medium adjusted to pH 2.0 (Matsushika et al., 2016). A single colony appeared after 20 days of incubation, and the plasmid harbored in this colony was found to contain two open reading frames, one of which had high similarity with S. cerevisiae GAS1 (β -1,3-glucanosyltransferase), a gene involved in the cell wall integrity pathway. Overexpression of this gene in wild-type S. cerevisiae enabled robust growth and higher ethanol production at pH 2 in the presence of 5% Na₂SO₄.

Engineering for Tolerance to Acid Stress Transcriptome Analysis-Guided Engineering

Transcriptome analysis was performed to compare the differences in gene expression in ethanol fermentation using S. cerevisiae under acetic acid stress with or without zinc sulfate supplementation (Zhang et al., 2017). Downregulation in the transmembrane transporters for carboxylic acids, including an acetate transporter ADY2, was observed. Deletion of ADY2 improved the tolerance of S. cerevisiae toward acetic acid and hydrogen peroxide stresses. This knockout also enhanced the ethanol production by 14.7% in the presence of 3.6 g/l of acetic acid. In another study, around 500 different S. cerevisiae strains were examined for tolerance toward acetic acid, and S. cerevisiae ATCC 38555 was observed to have a significantly higher growth rate in medium containing acetic acid and exhibit a shorter adaptation period to acetic acid (Haitani et al., 2012). Global gene transcription was investigated, and upregulations of HAA1 and AFT1 were observed. AFT1 is a transcription factor involved in iron-regulatory mechanisms and is activated by exposure to weak organic acids, and the transcription factor HAA1 is involved in the adaptation to weak acid stress. Engineering of HAA1 for improved tolerance toward acetic acid is discussed below.

Transcription Machinery Engineering

Overexpression of HAA1 allowed robust *S. cerevisiae* growth and sugar consumption in the presence of 4 g/l acetic acid (Cunha et al., 2018). The strain overexpressing HAA1 also exhibited a more robust cell wall after exposure to acetic acid stress and higher resistance to lyticase, an enzyme that can digest the yeast cell wall. In another study, global transcription machinery engineering performed on HAA1 allowed the identification of a point mutation S135F, which conferred higher tolerance toward acetic acid (Swinnen et al., 2017). The level of acetic acid tolerance obtained by this mutant was similar to that obtained by HAA1 overexpression, indicating that the mutated HAA1 was more active than the wild-type HAA1 and the enhanced tolerance was not due to an increase in HAA1 transcript level.

In S. cerevisiae, MIG1 encodes a catabolite repressor which can repress the expression of several genes for the metabolism of acetate under high glucose concentrations (Balderas-Hernandez et al., 2018). MIG1 is also involved in the glucose repression of genes related to stress tolerance, such as the salt stress-inducible P-type ATPase sodium pump ENA1p. The deletion of MIG1 was shown to enable S. cerevisiae to tolerate the lethal concentrations of 5 g/l of acetic acid and 1.75 g/l of formic acid without significantly affecting the fermentative production of ethanol. In the presence of 5 g/l of acetic acid in glucose-containing media, $\Delta mig1$ mutant was able to produce 4.06 g/l of ethanol, whereas the wildtype S. cerevisiae could produce 4.50 g/l of ethanol in media without acetic acid.

Adaptive Laboratory Evolution

ALE was performed to improve the tolerance of S. *cerevisiae* toward two aromatic acids, coumaric acid and ferulic acid (Pereira et al., 2020). S. *cerevisiae* was serially transferred into fresh media containing the aromatic acids with concentrations increasing from 0.2 g/l to the maximum solubility of 1 g/l at a constant pH of 3.5. The evolved strains obtained could tolerate 1 g/l of the aromatic acids and had a significantly higher growth rate than the wild-type strain. Whole genome sequencing revealed point mutations in the transcriptional activator ARO80. Overexpression of ESBP6, a gene similar to mammalian monocarboxylate permease

and regulated by ARO80, was also found to improve the tolerance to aromatic acids by secreting them out of the cells.

In another study, *S. cerevisiae* was subjected to serial passage into fresh media with increasing concentrations of dicarboxylic acids: glutaric acid, adipic acid, and pimelic acid (Pereira et al., 2019). Several clones from the evolved population were screened in the presence of inhibiting concentrations of dicarboxylic acids, and some of the best performing clones were identified. An increase in the read coverage of a region in chromosome II containing QDR3 was revealed through whole genome sequencing. QDR3 is a multidrug transporter which can confer tolerance to some drugs, such as quinidine and bleomycin. Overexpression of QDR3 in wild-type *S. cerevisiae* was shown to be sufficient to confer tolerance to all three dicarboxylic acids tested as well as muconic acid and glutaconic acid.

E. coli strain ML115 was evolved by serial transfer in media with increasing concentrations of octanoic acid (Royce et al., 2015). The evolved strain was able to tolerate high concentrations of octanoic acid, hexanoic acid, and decanoic acid, as well as alcohols, such as n-butanol and isobutanol. Octanoic acid production by the final strain was 5.63-fold higher than that by the parent strain. The evolved strain also had a lower saturated: unsaturated ratio, higher membrane polarization, and more significant perturbations to membrane content than the parent strain.

ALE was also performed to improve the tolerance of Pichia kudriavzevii NG7 to lactic acid (Park et al., 2018). The final strain was able to tolerate a lactic acid concentration of 6% and produce twofold higher of lactic acid than the parent strain. Mutation mining revealed an in-frame deletion in PAR1, a potential transcriptional regulator with unclear functions. Reverse engineering by knocking out PAR1 in the parent strain or restoration of PAR1 in the evolved strain verified the PAR1 deletion was responsible for the improved tolerance to lactic acid.

Genome-Scale Engineering

A yeast genomic library was transformed into *S. cerevisiae* CEN.PK2-1D, and the fast-growing transformants were selected on synthetic complete medium agar plates containing 35 g/l of glucose and 3 g/l of acetic acid (Oh et al., 2019). The best performer contained a plasmid for overexpression of RCK1, a gene encoding a protein kinase involved in oxidative stress. Under the presence of acetic acid, the RCK1-overexpressing strain exhibited a twofold higher ethanol productivity than the control strain in glucose fermentation. Furthermore, overexpression of RCK1 lowered intracellular ROS levels by 40% and thus reduced the oxidative stress caused by acetic acid.

Genome shuffling is another genome-scale engineering strategy which employs recombination processes to facilitate the evolution of strains with improved functions (Hu et al., 2018). Using Nmethyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine and UV treatments to screen for starter strains which could grow at pH 4.8 followed by genome shuffling, an A. succinogenes mutant with robust growth under a pH of 3.5 was isolated. This resulting mutant could produce 2.6-fold higher succinic acid in shake flask fermentation than the original strain.

A library of 345 nonessential histone point mutants were screened using agar plates for sensitivity and resistance of *S. cerevisiae* toward acetic acid. Six histone H3/H4 acetic acid-resistant and 18 histone H3/H4 acetic acid-sensitive mutants were identified (Liu et al., 2014). In the presence of 60 mM of acetic acid, these histone mutants showed shorter lag time and faster ethanol production than the parent strain. Two acetic acid-resistant mutants

H3 K37A and H4 K16Q were further subjected to genome-wide transcriptome analysis, and upregulations of genes related to energy production and antioxidative stress were observed. This was the first study describing the effects of histone H3/H4 mutations on acetic acid tolerance.

Engineering for Tolerance to Cellulosic Inhibitors

Transcriptome Analysis-Guided Engineering

Corynebacterium glutamicum S9114 can tolerate aldehyde inhibitors including furfural, HMF, 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde, vanillin, and syringaldehyde by converting those into the less toxic alcohols or acids (Zhou et al., 2019). Transcriptional analysis of 93 genes responsible for the aldehyde conversion was performed. One gene encoding an alcohol dehydrogenase was observed to be overexpressed in response to exposure to all five aldehyde inhibitors. Overexpression of this gene in *C. glutamicum* S9114 further improved the conversion ratio of five aldehydes and enhanced the cell growths to a certain extent.

Transcription Machinery Engineering

The growth rates of 30 S. cerevisiae mutants with deletions in transcription factors involved in multidrug resistance in simple and complex inhibition scenarios, including coniferyl aldehyde, furfural, HMF, sugarcane bagasse pretreatment liquid, and spruce pretreatment liquid, were determined (Wu et al., 2017). Deletion mutants of 8 transcription factors (STB5, YAP1, WAR1, RPN4, CAT8, PDR8, PUT3, and GZF3) had significantly lower growth rates than the control in the presence of lignocellulosic inhibitors. Overexpression of these transcription factors in a wild-type S. cerevisiae lab strain rendered it more tolerant to inhibitors. Nevertheless, in another study, overexpression of these transcription factors in some S. cerevisiae environmental isolates failed to enhance inhibitor tolerance, which might be due to the existing robustness and tolerance of these strains (Mertens et al., 2021).

To enhance the furfural tolerance in *Zymomonas mobilis*, a library of the global transcription sigma factor *RpoD* mutants were generated using error-prone PCR, and the best-performing mutant strains were selected using 3 g/l furfural (Tan et al., 2015). The best mutant strain could reach the maximum cell density 1.3 times faster than the parent strain in the presence of furfural. This mutant strain also consumed glucose faster and produced more ethanol in fermentation under furfural stress.

Exposure of *S. cerevisiae* to HMF and furfural was found to induce the accumulation of ROS, leading to the damage to several cellular organelles (Kim & Hahn, 2013). HMF and furfural were also found to be thiol-reactive electrophiles that activated YAP1, a gene encoding a basic leucine zipper transcription factor necessary for oxidative stress tolerance. Overexpression of an active mutant of YAP1 upregulated genes encoding antioxidant enzymes, such as CTA1 and GLR1, and genes involved in the reduction of furan aldehydes, such as *ADH7* and *GRE2*, leading to increased tolerance of *S. cerevisiae* to furfural and HMF.

Adaptive Laboratory Evolution

ALE was performed to improve the inhibitor tolerance of *C. glutamicum* S9114 by serial transfer into corn stover hydrolysate containing inhibitors (Wang et al., 2018a). The evolved strain exhibited faster conversion of toxic aldehyde inhibitors to the corresponding alcohols or acids. This strain was also able to produce 68.4% more glutamic acid in corn stover hydrolysate compared to

the parent strain. Whole genome sequencing and transcriptional analysis revealed that the phosphoenolpyruvate: sugar phosphotransferase system transport and the pentose phosphate pathway were significantly upregulated, which could lead to more available NADPH for the aldehyde conversion and thus higher tolerance.

ALE was performed to evolve Yarrowia lipolytica to tolerate a high concentration of ferulic acid, one of the main phenolics in lignocellulosic hydrolysate (Wang et al., 2021b). The final strain could tolerate 1.5 g/l of ferulic acid, whereas a concentration of 0.5 g/l was lethal enough for the parent strain. Transcriptome analysis was then performed to identify upregulations of four genes (two multidrug resistance-associated proteins and two integral membrane proteins) involved in drug resistance and membrane integrity. Overexpression of these genes in the parent strain rendered it more tolerant to ferulic acid as well as vanillic acid.

ALE was also conducted to improve the tolerance of an ethanologenic E. coli LY180 to furfural (Miller et al., 2009). After 53 serial transfers in pH-controlled bioreactors in xylose-containing medium with furfural with concentrations increasing from 0.5 g/l to 1.3 g/l, a resulting strain EMFR9 was obtained. This evolved strain, in the presence of 1 g/l furfural, could grow at rate similar to the parent strain without furfural. mRNA analysis determined that the silencing of two NADPH-dependent oxidoreductase genes, yqhD and dkqA, accounted for the improved tolerance to furfural. Surprisingly, both yqhD and dkgA were found to possess NADPH-dependent furfural reductase activities, which convert furfural to the less toxic furfuryl alcohol. Both genes were also determined to have low K_m values for NADPH, and thus the growth inhibition by furfural was likely because of the competition for NADPH between yqhD and dkqA and essential NADPH-dependent biosynthetic reactions.

Genome-Scale Engineering

Genome-scale engineering approaches have been developed and applied to improve the tolerance of S. cerevisiae to lignocellulosic inhibitors (Ding et al., 2020). RAGE (RNAi-assisted genome evolution) allows the accumulation of beneficial gene downregulations to achieve the desired phenotypes (Si et al., 2015a; Si et al., 2017). CHAnGE (CRISPR-Cas9-assisted and homologydirected-repair-assisted genome-scale engineering) can quickly construct a library of genome-wide deletions, disrupting 98% of target sequences with editing efficiency of 82%, whereas MAGIC (multifunctional genome-wide CRISPR) combines the trifunctional CRISPR-AID (activation, interference, and deletion) system with array-synthesized oligo pools to generate libraries of deletion, activation, and interference (Bao et al., 2018; Lian et al., 2019). These methods allowed the identification of novel genetic modifications that can mitigate stress from lignocellulosic inhibitors on S. cerevisiae growth. For example, using MAGIC, interference of UME1, a gene encoding a component of the Rpd3L histone deacetylase complex, was found to allow S. cerevisiae to grow in the presence of 5 mM furfural, suggesting histone modification might play a role in tolerance to furfural (Fig. 3). Interestingly, downregulation of SIZ1, an E3 SUMO-protein ligase, identified by RAGE and MAGIC and SIZ1 deletion determined by CHAnGE were found to improve furfural tolerance.

Insights on Engineering a Robust Microorganism for Organic Acid Production

The construction of robust microorganisms with high tolerance to low pH and acid stress is important for efficient microbial



Fig. 3. Scheme for MAGIC (Lian et al., 2019). Pooled libraries for activation, interference, and deletion were transformed into S. cerevisiae harboring the CRISPR-AID system. The transformants were subjected to growth enrichment under 5 mM furfural, and SAP30 deletion and UME1 interference were among the highly enriched guides. Reverse engineering verified these genetic modifications enabled S. cerevisiae tolerance to 5 mM furfural.

productions of organic acids and has been attained using transcriptome analysis-guided engineering, engineering of transcription machinery, ALE, and genome-scale engineering approaches. Nevertheless, regardless of which method was utilized, the acquired genetic modifications usually share some common mechanisms and insights. Strains with improved low pH tolerance can have higher-energy metabolism to increase the intracellular ATP supply, which is necessary for ATP-driven proton pumps to export protons out of the cells (Liu et al., 2015). For example, genes related to energy production were found to be upregulated in the evolved E. coli strain with improved growth rate at pH 5.5 or in the acetic acid-resistant S. cerevisiae histone mutants (Du et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2014). Furthermore, engineered strains can confer acid tolerance by changing the fluidity and rigidity of the cell membrane and cell wall, which become less permeable to organic acids. Alterations in cell membrane were observed in the E. coli strain adapted to high concentration of octanoic acid (Royce et al., 2015), and genes mapping in the integral component of membrane of gene ontology terms were found to be highly upregulated in the Y. lipolytica strain adapted to lethal concentration of ferulic acid (Wang et al., 2021b). Overexpression of genes involved in the conversion of organic acids and lignocellulosic inhibitors into less toxic compounds also accounts for the enhanced robustness of microorganisms. For instance, upregulation of CYB2, which converts lactate to pyruvate, improved the tolerance of S. cerevisiae toward lactic acid (Fletcher et al., 2017), and overexpression of an alcohol dehydrogenase in C. glutamicum S9114 alleviated the toxicity of aldehyde lignocellulosic inhibitors by converting them to the corresponding alcohols or acids (Zhou et al., 2019). Overall, the acquired mechanisms in strains with improved tolerance to low pH, acid stress, and lignocellulosic inhibitors are typically associated with energy metabolism, cell wall synthesis, proton and acid secretion, and product degradation. Genes related to these processes can potentially serve as rational targets for improving the robustness of microorganisms and future metabolic engineering of microorganisms for organic acid productions.

Although transcriptome analysis-guided engineering, transcription machinery engineering, ALE, and genome-scale engineering have been applied to improve host robustness with high success rates, these strategies still have some limitations. While transcriptome analysis enables the prediction of targets for strain development, phenotypic responses may not always be directly related to the transcriptional patterns (Jewett et al., 2005). Transcription factors not only regulate genes related to stress tolerance but also genes involved in essential metabolic functions; modifications of transcription factors can lead to reduced fitness and may not be desired (Wang et al., 2021a). Furthermore, ALE does not necessitate a priori knowledge of the mechanisms of acid tolerance and can be performed without using any genetic tools, but ALE can be very arduous and requires days or even months of repetitive manual transferring and adaptation (Wu et al., 2021). Finally, genome-scale engineering methods can create large mutant libraries and enrich the mutants with high acid tolerance. However, some CRISPR-based tools involve double-stranded DNA breaks, which can be toxic to microorganisms, and have potential off-target effects (Lian et al., 2018a).

Conclusion and Future Perspectives

Microbial organic acid production faces the challenges imposed by the poor tolerance of microorganisms to low pH and acid stress. To achieve economic and efficient fermentation processes for production of organic acids, it is crucial to enhance the robustness of microbial cell factories. Transcriptome analysis-guided engineering, transcription machinery engineering, ALE, and genome-scale engineering approaches have been successfully applied to improve the acid tolerance of various microorganisms. Furthermore, microorganisms have developed several mechanisms to survive in highly acidic environments, such as pH homeostasis, cell membrane modifications, and regulation of energy metabolism (Guan & Liu, 2020). Although the mechanisms for responding to acid stress might be similar, some microbes are still better than others at coping with and adapting to acid stress. Advances in systems and synthetic biology might allow us to develop a more comprehensive understanding of the tolerance mechanisms of the microorganisms with outstanding resistance to low pH and acid stress. For example, the nonconventional yeast I. orientalis is well known for its exceptional resistance to low pH and high

concentrations of organic acids (Han et al., 2014); several fundamental genetic tools have been developed for this species, paving the way for the elucidation of its mechanism for acid stress tolerance (Cao et al., 2020a; Tran et al., 2019). Transferring of these superior tolerance mechanisms to existing microbial cell factories with poor tolerance to acid stress may improve their performance in production of organic acids. Finally, although genomescale engineering tools such as MAGIC and CHAnGE have been only applied to improve tolerance of S. cerevisiae to lignocellulosic inhibitors (Bao et al., 2018; Lian et al., 2019), they are particularly helpful to select for growth-associated phenotypes, such as tolerance to highly acidic conditions and high concentrations of organic acids. These genome-scale engineering tools can construct mutant libraries covering the entire genome, and growth enrichment under low pH or acid stresses can enrich the mutants with high tolerance toward these stresses. Furthermore, these genomescale engineering approaches can be performed in a timescale much shorter than ALE, and the genetic modifications resulting in improved tolerance can be quickly identified by sequencing of the plasmids harbored in the enriched mutants (Cao et al., 2020b).

Acknowledgments

This article is dedicated to late Dr. Lonnie Ingram. The online tool BioRender (biorender.com) was used to create Figure 1, part of Figure 2, and Figure 3.

Funding

This work was funded by U.S. Department of Energy awards DE-SC0018420 and DE-SC0018260. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Energy.

Data Availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were obtained or analyzed in this review.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Balderas-Hernandez, V. E., Correia, K., & Mahadevan, R. (2018). Inactivation of the transcription factor mig1 (YGL035C) in Saccharomyces cerevisiae improves tolerance towards monocarboxylic weak acids: Acetic, formic and levulinic acid. Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology, 45(8), 735–751.
- Bao, Z., HamediRad, M., Xue, P., Xiao, H., Tasan, I., Chao, R., Liang, J., & Zhao, H. (2018). Genome-scale engineering of Saccharomyces cerevisiae with single-nucleotide precision. Nature Biotechnology, 36(6), 505–508.
- Cao, M., Fatma, Z., Song, X., Hsieh, P. H., Tran, V. G., Lyon, W. L., Sayadi, M., Shao, Z., Yoshikuni, Y., & Zhao, H. (2020a). A genetic toolbox for metabolic engineering of Issatchenkia orientalis. Metabolic Engineering, 59, 87–97.
- Cao, M., Tran, V. G., & Zhao, H. (2020b). Unlocking nature's biosynthetic potential by directed genome evolution. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 66, 95–104.
- Casal, M., Paiva, S., Queirós, O., & Soares-Silva, I. (2008). Transport of carboxylic acids in yeasts. FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 32(6), 974– 994.

- Cunha, J. T., Costa, C. E., Ferraz, L., Romaní, A., Johansson, B., Sá-Correia, I., & Domingues, L. (2018). HAA1 and PRS3 overexpression boosts yeast tolerance towards acetic acid improving xylose or glucose consumption: Unravelling the underlying mechanisms. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 102(10), 4589–4600.
- Ding, W., Zhang, Y., & Shi, S. (2020). Development and application of CRISPR/Cas in microbial biotechnology. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 8, 711.
- Du, B., Olson, C. A., Sastry, A. V., Fang, X., Phaneuf, P. V., Chen, K., We, M., Szubin, R., Xu, S., Gao, Y., Hefner, Y., Feist, A. M., & Palsson, B. O. (2020). Adaptive laboratory evolution of *Escherichia coli* under acid stress. *Microbiology (Reading)*, 166(2), 141–148.
- Du, J., Shao, Z., & Zhao, H. (2011). Engineering microbial factories for synthesis of value-added products. Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology, 38(8), 873–890.
- Fletcher, E., Feizi, A., Bisschops, M. M. M., Hallström, B. M., Khoomrung, S., Siewers, V., & Nielsen, J. (2017). Evolutionary engineering reveals divergent paths when yeast is adapted to different acidic environments. *Metabolic Engineering*, 39, 19–28.
- Guan, N. & Liu, L. (2020). Microbial response to acid stress: Mechanisms and applications. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 104(1), 51–65.
- Haitani, Y., Tanaka, K., Yamamoto, M., Nakamura, T., Ando, A., Ogawa, J., & Shima, J. (2012). Identification of an acetate-tolerant strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae and characterization by gene expression analysis. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 114(6), 648–651.
- Han, X., Shao, Z., Jiang, Y., Dole, S., & Zhao, H. (2014). Exploiting Issatchenkia orientalis SD108 for succinic acid production. Microbial Cell Factories, 13, 121.
- Hong, W., Wu, Y. E., Fu, X., & Chang, Z. (2012). Chaperone-dependent mechanisms for acid resistance in enteric bacteria. *Trends Microbiol*, 20(7), 328–325.
- Horinouchi, T., Maeda, T., & Furusawa, C. (2018). Understanding and engineering alcohol-tolerant bacteria using OMICS technology. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 34(11), 157.
- Hu, S., You, Y., Xia, F., Liu, J., Dai, W., Liu, J., & Wang, Y. (2018). Genome shuffling improved acid-tolerance and succinic acid production of Actinobacillus succinogenes. Food Science and Biotechnology, 28(3), 817–822.
- Jewett, M. C., Oliveira, A. P., Patil, K. R., & Nielsen, J. (2005). The role of high-throughput transcriptome analysis in metabolic engineering. Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering, 10(5), 385.
- Ji, H., Xu, K., Dong, X., Sun, D., Peng, R., Lin, S., Zhang, K., & Jin, L. (2020). Transcriptional profiling reveals molecular basis and the role of arginine in response to low-pH stress in Pichia kudriavzevii. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 130(6), 588–595.
- Jin, Y. S. & Cate, J. H. (2017). Metabolic engineering of yeast or lignocellulosic biofuel production. Current Opinion in Chemical Biology, 41, 99–106.
- Kim, D. & Hahn, J. S. (2013). Roles of the Yap1 transcription factor and antioxidants in Saccharomyces cerevisiae's tolerance to furfural and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural, which function as thiol-reactive electrophiles generating oxidative stress. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 79(16), 5069–77.
- Lian, J., HamediRad, M., & Zhao, H. (2018a). Advancing metabolic engineering of Saccharomyces cerevisiae using the CRISPR/Cas system. Biotechnology Journal, 13(9), e1700601.
- Lian, J., Mishra, S., & Zhao, H. (2018b). Recent advances in metabolic engineering of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: New tools and their applications. Metabolic Engineering, 50, 85–108.
- Lian, J., Schultz, C., Cao, M., HamediRad, M., & Zhao, H. (2019). Multi-functional genome-wide CRISPR system for high

throughput genotype-phenotype mapping. Nature Communications, 10(1), 5794.

- Liu, J., Li, J., Shin, H. D., Liu, L., Du, G., & Chen, J. (2017). Protein and metabolic engineering for the production of organic acids. Bioresource Technology, 239, 412–421.
- Liu, Y., Tang, H., Lin, Z., & Xu, P. (2015). Mechanisms of acid tolerance in bacteria and prospects in biotechnology and bioremediation. *Biotechnology Advances*, 33(7), 1484–1492.
- Liu, X., Zhang, X., & Zhang, Z. (2014). Point mutation of H3/H4 histones affects acetic acid tolerance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Journal of Biotechnology, 187, 116–23.
- Matsushika, A., Negi, K., Suzuki, T., Goshima, T., & Hoshino, T. (2016). Identification and characterization of a novel *Issatchenkia orientalis* GPI-anchored protein, IoGas1, required for resistance to low pH and salt stress. Plos One, 11(9), e0161888.
- Mertens, J., Skory, C. D., Nichols, N. N., & Hector, R. E. (2021). Impact of stress-response related transcription factor overexpression on lignocellulosic inhibitor tolerance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae environmental isolates. Biotechnology Progress, 37(2), e3094.
- Miller, E. N., Jarboe, L. R., Yomano, L. P., York, S. W., Shanmugam, K. T., & Ingram, L. O. (2009). Silencing of NADPH-dependent oxidoreductase gene (yqhD and dkgA) in Furfural-Resistant Ethanologenic Escherichia coli. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 75(13), 4315–4323.
- Nikaido, H. (2003). Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability revisited. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 67(4), 593–656.
- Oh, E. J., Wei, N., Kwak, S., Kim, H., & Jin, Y. S. (2019). Overexpression of RCK1 improves acetic acid tolerance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Journal of Biotechnology, 292, 1–4.
- Park, H. J., Bae, J. H., Ko, H. J., Lee, S. H., Sung, B. H., Han, J. I., & Sohn, J. H. (2018). Low-pH production of d-lactic acid using newly isolated acid tolerant yeast Pichia kudriavzevii NG7. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 115(9), 2232–2242.
- Pereira, R., Mohamed, E. T., Radi, M. S., Herrgård, M. J., Feist, A. M., Nielsen, J., & Chen, Y. (2020). Elucidating aromatic acid tolerance at low pH in Saccharomyces cerevisiae using adaptive laboratory evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 117(45), 27954–27961.
- Pereira, R., Wei, Y., Mohamed, E., Radi, M., Malina, C., Herrgård, M. J., Feist, A. M., Nielsen, J., & Chen, Y. (2019). Adaptive laboratory evolution of tolerance to dicarboxylic acids in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Metabolic Engineering, 56, 130–141.
- Royce, L. A., Yoon, J. M., Chen, Y., Rickenbach, E., Shanks, J. V., & Jarboe, L. R. (2015). Evolution for exogenous octanoic acid tolerance improves carboxylic acid production and membrane integrity. *Metabolic Engineering*, 29, 180–188.
- Sandberg, T. E., Salazar, M. J., Weng, L. L., Palsson, B. O., & Feist, A. M. (2019). The emergence of adaptive laboratory evolution as an efficient tool for biological discovery and industrial biotechnology. *Metabolic Engineering*, 56, 1–16.
- Si, T., Chao, R., Min, Y., Wu, Y., Ren, W., & Zhao, H. (2017). Automated multiplex genome-scale engineering in yeast. Nature Communications, 8(1), 15187.
- Si, T., Luo, Y., Bao, Z., & Zhao, H. (2015a). RNAi-assisted genome evolution in Saccharomyces cerevisiae for complex phenotype engineering. ACS Synthetic Biology, 4(3), 283–291.
- Si, T., Xiao, H., & Zhao, H. (2015b). Rapid prototyping of microbial cell factories via genome-scale engineering. Biotechnology Advances, 33(7), 1420–32.
- Swinnen, S., Henriques, S. F., Shrestha, R., Ho, P. W., Sá-Correia, I., & Nevoigt, E. (2017). Improvement of yeast tolerance to acetic acid through Haa1 transcription factor engineering: Towards the underlying mechanisms. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 16(1), 7.

- Tan, F. R., Dai, L. C., Wu, B., Qin, H., Shui, Z. X., Wang, J. L., Zhu, Q. L., Hu, Q. C., Ruan, Z. Y., & He, M. X. (2015). Improving furfural tolerance of *Zymomonas mobilis* by rewiring a sigma factor RpoD protein. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 99(12), 5363–71.
- Tran, V. G., Cao, M., Fatma, Z., Song, X., & Zhao, H. (2019). Development of a CRISPR/Cas9-based tool for gene deletion in Issatchenkia orientalis. mSphere, 4(3), e00345–19.
- Wan, X., Marsafari, M., & Xu, P. (2019). Engineering metaboliteresponsive transcriptional factors to sense small molecules in eukaryotes: Current state and perspectives. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 18(1), 61.
- Wang, X., Khushk, I., Xiao, Y., Gao, Q., & Bao, J. (2018a). Tolerance improvement of Corynebacterium glutamicum on lignocellulose derived inhibitors by adaptive evolution. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 102(1), 377–388.
- Wang, S., Sun, X., & Yuan, Q. (2018b). Strategies for enhancing microbial tolerance to inhibitors for biofuel production: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 258, 302–309.
- Wang, T., Tague, N., Whelan, S. A., & Dunlop, M. J. (2021a). Programmable gene regulation for metabolic engineering using decoy transcription factor binding sites. Nucleic Acids Research, 49(2), 1163–1172.
- Wang, Z., Zhou, L., Lu, M., Zhang, Y., Perveen, S., Zhou, H., Wen, Z., Xu, Z., & Jin, M. (2021b). Adaptive laboratory evolution of Yarrowia lipolytica improves ferulic acid tolerance. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 105(4), 1745–1758.
- Wu, Y., Jameel, A., Xing, X. H., & Zhang, C. (2021). Advanced strategies and tools to facilitate and streamline microbial adaptive laboratory evolution. *Trends in Biotechnology*, S0167-7799(21)00083-4, doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2021.04.002.
- Wu, G., Xu, Z., & Jönsson, L. J. (2017). Profiling of Saccharomyces cerevisiae transcription factors for engineering the resistance of yeast to lignocellulose-derived inhibitors in biomass conversion. Microbial Cell Factories, 16(1), 199.
- Wu, C., Zhu, G., Ding, Q., Zhou, P., Liu, L., & Chen, X. (2020). CgCmk1 activates CgRds2 to resist low-pH stress in Candida glabrata. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 86(11), e00302–20.
- Xu, Y., Zhao, Z., Tong, W., Ding, Y., Liu, B., Shi, Y., Wang, J., Sun, S., Liu, M., Wang, Y., Qi, Q., Xian, M., & Zhao, G. (2020). An acid-tolerance response system protecting exponentially growing Escherichia coli. Nature Communications, 11(1), 1496.
- Yin, X., Li, J., Shin, H. D., Du, G., Liu, L., & Chen, J. (2015). Metabolic engineering in the biotechnological production of organic acids in the tricarboxylic acid cycle of microorganisms: Advances and prospects. *Biotechnology Advances*, 33(6 Pt 1), 830–841.
- Zhang, W., Yao, Y., Wu, M., Xin, F., Dong, W., Zhou, J., Gu, J., Ma, J., & Jiang, M. (2020). Adaptive evolution improves acid tolerance and succinic acid production in Actinobacillus succinogenes. Process Biochemistry, 98, 76–82.
- Zhang, M., Zhang, K., Mehmood, M. A., Zhao, Z.K., Bai, F., & Zhao, X. (2017). Deletion of acetate transporter gene ADY2 improved tolerance of Saccharomyces cerevisiae against multiple stresses and enhanced ethanol production in the presence of acetic acid. Bioresource Technology, 245(Pt B), 1461–1468.
- Zhou, P., Khushk, I., Gao, Q., & Bao, J. (2019). Tolerance and transcriptional analysis of Corynebacterium glutamicum on biotransformation of toxic furaldehyde and benzaldehyde inhibitory compounds. Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology, 46(7), 951–963.
- Zhu, Z., Yang, J., Yang, P., Wu, Z., Zhang, J., & Du, G. (2019). Enhanced acid-stress tolerance in Lactococcus lactis NZ9000 by overexpression of ABC transporters. Microbial Cell Factories, 18(1), 136.