

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

In search of new anticancer drugs: Data for cytotoxic activities of green synthesized silver nanoparticles from ethanolic extracts of fruits and leaves of *Annona muricata* and 5-Fluorouracil against HeLa, PC3 and PNT1A cell lines



Yahaya Gavamukulya <sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Esther N. Maina <sup>a, c</sup>, Amos M. Meroka <sup>c, d</sup>, Hany A. El-Shemy <sup>a, e</sup>, Gabriel Magoma <sup>a</sup>, Fred Wamunyokoli <sup>a, f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Pan African University Institute for Basic Sciences, Technology and Innovation (PAUSTI), P. O. Box, 62000-00200 Nairobi, Kenya

<sup>b</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Health Sciences, Busitema University, P.O. Box, 1460 Mbale, Uganda

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biochemistry, College of Health Sciences, University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197-00100 Nairobi, Kenya

<sup>d</sup> Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Kenya Methodist University, P.O. Box 267-60200 Meru, Kenya

<sup>e</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, 12613 Giza, Egypt

<sup>f</sup> Department of Biochemistry, College of Health Sciences, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, P. O. Box, 62000-00200 Nairobi, Kenya

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 June 2019 Received in revised form 17 August 2019 Accepted 20 August 2019 Available online 28 August 2019

Keywords: Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) 5FU

# ABSTRACT

In this article, we present data on the anticancer activities of green synthesized silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) from ethanolic extracts of fruits (AgNPs-F) and leaves (AgNPs-L) of *Annona muricata* and standard anticancer drug 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) on two cancer cell lines, i.e. cervical adenocarcinoma (HeLa cells) and prostate adenocarcinoma (PC3 cells) as well as on an immortalized normal prostate cell line, PNT1A. The cytotoxicity on the cells was determined by measuring the absorbance signal of resazurin dye. It has

\* Corresponding author. Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Pan African University Institute for Basic Sciences, Technology and Innovation (PAUSTI), P. O. Box, 62000-00200, Nairobi, Kenya.

E-mail address: gavayahya@yahoo.com (Y. Gavamukulya).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104442

<sup>2352-3409/© 2019</sup> The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

HeLa PC3 PNT1A Cytotoxicity Resazurin long been known that metabolically active cells change the resazurin from blue (oxidized) to red (reduced) forms, corresponding to the absorbance signals at a wavelength of 570nm (A570) and 600nm (A600) respectively, from which therefore the effects of any treatments on percentage cell viability/death can be elucidated. The raw data values of the treatments against the HeLa, PC3 and PNT1A cells are shown in the different Tables. Examples of how the data can be analyzed have been illustrated using different growth inhibition curves. The data can be used by academics, students, and researchers working on development of anticancer drugs.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Specifications Table

Subject	Biochemistry, Nanomedicine
Specific subject area	Cancer Research
Type of data	Tables
	Graphs
How data were	Cell culture (DMEM and RPMI 1640 used as growth media), Inverted microscope (Olympus),
acquired	microplate reader (Infinite M1000, Tecan)
Data format	Raw
	Analyzed
Parameters for data	Cells were maintained in appropriate growth media in an incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO <sub>2</sub> ; and 95%
collection	humidity. HeLa Cells were grown in DMEM while PC3 and PNT1A were grown in RPMI 1640. Cells
D	passaged $1-2$ times a week. Cells were harvested and assayed at $60-75\%$ confluence.
Description of data	The cytotoxicity was determined by measuring the absorbance signal of resazurin dye on the
collection	treated cell lines at 570nm and 600nm in a microplate reader.
Data source location	Institution: United States Army Medical Research Directorate - Kenya (USAMRD-K), Department of
	Emerging Intectious Diseases (DEID), Influenza Laboratory
	City: Nairobi
	Country: Kenya
Data accessibility	With the article

#### Value of the Data

- The data shows potential anticancer activity of AgNPs on HeLa, PC3 and PNT1A cells and highlights differences in cytotoxic
  activity of the treatments on the cell lines.
- The data can be used by academics, students, researchers and industrialists poised in the cancer drugs development.
- The data can be used to elucidate the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) values of the treatments on the cancer cell lines.
- The data can be used to investigate the selectivity of the treatments by elucidating the selectivity indices, and thus inform researchers about the safety of such treatments.
- The data can be highlighted for further studies in development of better anticancer drugs using green synthesized nanoparticles.

### 1. Data

The raw data of the treatments against the HeLa, PC3 and PNT1A cells are shown in the different Tables described in this section. Each experiment (Exp.) was represented by four independent replicates (Rep.). Tables 1–3 show the data for cytotoxicity of silver nanoparticles derived from fruits extracts of *Annona muricata* (AgNPs-F) on HeLa, PC3 and PNT1A cells; Tables 4–6 show the data for cytotoxicity of silver nanoparticles derived from leaves extracts of *Annona muricata* (AgNPs-L) on HeLa,

	Concentration (µg/r	nl)						
	Wavelength/nm	Replicates	Blank	200	100	50	25	12.5
Exp. 1	A570	Rep. 1	1.4698	1.3135	1.2295	1.2667	1.3932	1.4757
•		Rep. 2	1.4291	0.9098	1.2994	1.2886	1.3688	1.4914
		Rep. 3	1.5494	1.3617	1.2275	1.3184	1.3802	1.4947
		Rep. 4	1.3866	0.8855	1.3176	1.2926	1.3883	1.5741
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	1.45873	1.11763	1.2685	1.29158	1.38263	1.50897
	A600	Rep. 1	0.775	1.1489	1.0559	1.0849	0.8845	0.646
		Rep. 2	0.8197	0.8186	1.1004	1.0854	0.9019	0.8163
		Rep. 3	0.6554	1.1691	1.0688	1.1144	0.8115	0.6728
		Rep. 4	0.7578	0.7987	1.1132	1.0988	0.9122	0.636
	Mean Absorbance of Reps.		0.75197	0.98383	1.08457	1.09587	0.87753	0.69277
	Net Absorbance (A5	570-A600)	0.70676	0.1338	0.18393	0.19571	0.5051	0.8162
	% Cell Viability		100	18.9315	26.0244	27.6911	71.4670	115
Exp. 2	A570	Rep. 1	1.5427	1.2109	0.9767	1.3123	1.323	1.444
		Rep. 2	1.5653	0.927	1.1317	1.1178	1.3343	1.5014
		Rep. 3	1.4661	1.2944	1.2287	1.4169	1.3551	1.4673
		Rep. 4	1.4413	0.9868	1.1181	1.0673	1.4321	1.4468
	Mean Absorbance of Reps.		1.50385	1.10477	1.1138	1.22857	1.36113	1.46488
	A600	Rep. 1	0.5542	1.0557	0.8384	1.1167	0.7809	0.6737
		Rep. 2	0.4877	0.8098	0.961	0.9482	0.8498	0.5827
		Rep. 3	0.4531	1.0748	1.0026	1.1551	0.8375	0.6709
		Rep. 4	0.4348	0.8631	0.9213	0.8983	0.7712	0.7523
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	0.48245	0.95085	0.93082	1.02958	0.80985	0.6699
	Net Absorbance (A5	570-A600)	1.0214	0.15392	0.18298	0.19899	0.55125	0.79498
	% Cell Viability		100	15.0695	17.9146	19.4821	53.9729	77.8324
Exp. 3	A570	Rep. 1	1.446	1.313	1.2562	1.2606	1.4306	1.4939
-		Rep. 2	1.4997	1.1884	1.3107	1.4239	1.4366	1.5769
		Rep. 3	1.3913	1.1504	1.2825	1.534	1.4756	1.5519
		Rep. 4	1.3499	1.2011	1.3006	1.2713	1.441	1.6484
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	1.42172	1.21323	1.2875	1.37245	1.44595	1.56777
	A600	Rep. 1	0.503	1.091	1.0543	1.061	0.6198	0.4778
		Rep. 2	0.3938	0.9762	1.0516	1.1493	0.7063	0.5043
		Rep. 3	0.3751	0.9297	1.0299	1.2124	0.6676	0.4227
		Rep. 4	0.4032	0.9783	1.0403	1.027	0.778	0.5372
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	0.41877	0.9938	1.04402	1.11242	0.69292	0.4855
	Net Absorbance (A5	570-Â600)	1.00295	0.21943	0.24348	0.26003	0.75303	1.08227
	% Cell Viability	,	100	21.8788	24.2763	25.9265	75.0815	108
% Mean C	cell Viability ± SD		$100 \pm 0$	18.6266 ± 3.40	22.7384 ± 4.27	$24.3666 \pm 4.32$	66.8405 ± 11.29	100.2775 ± 19.75

Table 1

 Data for cytotoxicity of the AgNPs-F against HeLa Cells using the Resazurin metabolic assay.

PC3 and PNT1A cells; while Tables 7–9 show the data for cytotoxicity of 5FU on HeLa, PC3 and PNT1A cells. On the other hand, Figs. 1–3 show the growth inhibition curves for cells treated with AgNPs-F, Figs. 4–6 show growth inhibition curves of cells treated with AgNPs-L, while Figs. 7–9 show the growth inhibition curves for cells treated with 5FU.

# 1.1. Data for cytotoxicity of AgNPs-F on HeLa, PC3 and PNT1A cells

### Table 2

Data for cytotoxicity of the AgNPs-F against PC3 Cells using the Resazurin metabolic assay.

	Concentration (µg/ml)									
	Wavelength/nm	Replicates	Blank	200	100	50	25	12.5		
Exp. 1	A570	Rep. 1	1.3333	1.1585	1.0472	1.1885	1.2751	1.2804		
		Rep. 2	1.2456	1.2892	1.1179	1.1709	1.2578	1.5277		
		Rep. 3	1.2113	1.3057	1.0965	1.2815	1.3069	1.6487		
		Rep. 4	1.1547	1.31	0.9138	1.1196	1.2775	1.6191		
	Mean Absorbanc	e of Reps.	1.23623	1.26585	1.04385	1.19013	1.27933	1.51897		
	A600	Rep. 1	0.8743	1.0464	1.0163	0.9057	0.9813	0.9847		
		Rep. 2	0.7974	1.1854	0.8347	0.8893	0.9626	1.234		
		Rep. 3	0.8159	1.2455	0.8202	0.9755	0.9999	1.3307		
		Rep. 4	0.7762	1.2624	1.003	0.8564	0.9837	1.3069		
	Mean Absorbanc	e of Reps.	0.81595	1.18492	0.91855	0.90673	0.98187	1.21408		
	Net Absorbance (	(A570-	0.42028	0.08092	0.1253	0.2834	0.29745	0.3049		
	A600)									
	% Cell Viability		100	19.2552	29.8138	67.432	70.7751	72.5477		
Exp. 2	A570	Rep. 1	1.1529	1.4277	1.0416	1.1698	1.2876	1.6169		
		Rep. 2	1.302	1.1672	1.1424	1.1884	1.344	1.7367		
		Rep. 3	1.2233	1.2382	1.8403	1.1754	1.2491	1.4129		
		Rep. 4	1.1472	1.1836	1.0647	1.2895	1.34	1.4508		
	Mean Absorbanc	e of Reps.	1.20635	1.25417	1.27225	1.20578	1.30518	1.55432		
	A600	Rep. 1	0.6568	1.7462	0.7479	0.9004	0.9852	1.2206		
		Rep. 2	0.8626	1.0341	0.8102	0.9322	1.0424	1.2958		
		Rep. 3	0.8162	1.2045	1.7465	0.9557	0.9652	1.0876		
		Rep. 4	0.8145	1.0109	1.0593	1.0168	1.0288	1.1233		
	Mean Absorbanc	e of Reps.	0.78752	1.24893	1.09097	0.95128	1.0054	1.18182		
	Net Absorbance ( A600)	(A570-	0.41883	0.00525	0.18128	0.2545	0.29978	0.3725		
	% Cell Viability		100	1.2535	43.2818	60.7652	71.5752	88.9393		
Exp. 3	A570	Rep. 1	1.1465	1.1826	1.2776	1.3187	1.4139	1.3651		
		Rep. 2	1.1237	1.2939	1.2806	1.0512	1.374	1.6522		
		Rep. 3	1.3081	1.2568	1.2649	1.401	1.3359	1.2984		
		Rep. 4	1.053	1.228	1.111	1.2029	1.4066	1.7333		
	Mean Absorbanc	e of Reps.	1.15782	1.24033	1.23352	1.24345	1.3826	1.51225		
	A600	Rep. 1	0.54	1.0571	0.9571	1.1027	1.1306	1.0439		
		Rep. 2	0.6766	1.2622	0.9634	1.0164	1.0716	1.3143		
		Rep. 3	0.928	1.1568	1.0648	1.1281	1.0312	1.0449		
		Rep. 4	0.7152	1.1127	1.0298	0.9907	1.0983	1.3841		
	Mean Absorbanc	e of Reps	0 71495	1 1472	1 00378	1 05948	1 08292	1 1968		
	Net Absorbance (	(A570-	0.44287	0.09313	0.22975	0.18398	0.29967	0.31545		
	A600)									
	% Cell Viability		100	21.0274	51.8769	41.5411	67.6658	71.2278		
% Mea	n Cell Viability ± S	SD	100 ± 0	13.8454 ± 10.94	41.6575 ±11.12	56.5795 ± 13.44	$70.0054 \pm 2.07$	77.5716 ± 9.87		

 Table 3

 Data for cytotoxicity of the AgNPs-F against PNT1A normal cells using the Resazurin metabolic assay.

	Concentration (µg/ml)							
	Wavelength/ nm	Replicates	Blank	200	100	50	25	12.5
Exp. 1	A570	Rep. 1	1.0662	1.322	1,1746	1.3105	0.9654	1.0308
		Rep. 2	1.0252	1.2622	1.1472	1.1819	1.1266	1.146
		Rep 3	1 0735	1 5141	1 1129	1 0966	1 2235	2 0434
		Rep. 4	1.0679	1 4703	1 0964	1 2052	1 2261	1 1985
	Mean Absorb	ance of	1.0073	1 39215	1 13278	1 19855	1 1 3 5 4	1 35468
	Rens		1.0302	1.55215	1.15270	1.15055	1.1554	1.55400
	A600	Rep. 1	0.4355	0.9898	0.7002	0.6334	0.775	0.669
		Rep. 2	0.5535	0.9617	0.7756	0.733	0.9649	0.5
		Rep. 3	0.507	1.1626	0.7718	0.6998	1.1027	1.3381
		Rep. 4	0.5895	1.1143	0.9109	0.8611	1.0304	0.8164
	Mean Absorb	ance	0.52138	1.0571	0.78963	0.73183	0.96825	0.83087
	of Reps.							
	Net Absorban	ce	0.53682	0.33505	0.34315	0.46673	0.16715	0.5238
	(A570-A600)							
	% Cell Viabilit	v	100	62.4133	63.9221	86.9417	31.1368	97.5737
Exp. 2	A570	Rep. 1	1.0403	1.0461	1.108	1.1146	1.0012	1.053
		Rep. 2	1.0948	1.2727	1.0925	1.105	1.178	1.1035
		Rep. 3	1.0239	1.1515	1.0847	1.1341	1.2848	1.1462
		Rep. 4	1.0595	1.3475	1.0336	1.0617	1.2899	1.0691
	Mean Absorb	ance	1.05463	1.20445	1.0797	1.10385	1.18847	1.09295
	of Reps							
	A600	Rep. 1	0.6439	0.8089	0.6201	0.6013	0.7937	0.483
		Rep. 2	0.456	0.9612	0.8254	0.7689	0.9855	0.5061
		Rep. 3	0.6273	0.8999	0.6621	0.8289	1.1305	0.6187
		Rep. 4	0.4868	1.0054	0.7801	0.8518	1.0603	0.8104
	Mean Absorb	ance	0.5535	0.91885	0.72193	0.76272	0.9925	0.60455
	of Reps.							
	Net Absorban	ce	0.50113	0.2856	0.35777	0.34112	0.19598	0.4884
	(A570-A600)							
	% Cell Viabilit	У	100	56.9918	71.3944	68.0718	39.107	97.4607
Exp. 3	A570	Rep. 1	1.4067	1.4349	1.1762	1.2242	1.7042	1.2268
		Rep. 2	1.3437	1.3423	1.2115	1.2436	1.6892	1.3645
		Rep. 3	1.1885	1.3744	1.1532	1.2279	1.5748	1.3597
		Rep. 4	1.3056	1.3265	1.1547	1.3041	1.7545	1.3102
	Mean Absorb	ance	1.31113	1.36953	1.1739	1.24995	1.68068	1.3153
	of Reps.							
	A600	Rep. 1	0.8095	1.128	0.8524	0.8504	0.7139	0.9781
		Rep. 2	0.5971	1.0511	0.7117	0.7322	0.6383	0.7012
		Rep. 3	0.5568	1.0699	0.8382	0.9259	0.7067	0.6284
		Rep. 4	0.6509	1.0417	0.7584	0.9122	0.6807	0.7825
	Mean Absorb	ance	0.65358	1.07268	0.79018	0.85517	0.6849	0.77255
	of Reps.							
	Net Absorban	ce	0.65755	0.29685	0.38373	0.39478	0.99578	0.54275
	(A570-A600)							
	% Cell Viabilit	У	100	45.1449	58.3568	60.0373	151.437	82.5412
% Meai	n Cell Viability	± SD	100 ± 0	54.85 ±8.83	64.5578 ± 6.54	71.6836 ± 13.81	73.8936 ± 67.27	92.5252 ± 8.65

# 1.2. Data for cytotoxicity of AgNPs-L on HeLa, PC3 and PNT1A cells

Fable 4
Data for cytotoxicity of the AgNPs-L against HeLa Cells using the Resazurin metabolic assay.

	Concentration (	(µg/ml)						
	Wavelength/ nm	Replicates	Blank	200	100	50	25	12.5
Exp.	A570	Rep. 1	0.9300	0.8548	1.0364	0.9733	0.9654	0.9224
i		Rep. 2	1.3646	1.0182	1.2764	0.9654	1.1266	1.1541
		Rep. 3	1.2094	1.3914	1.3338	1.2995	1.2235	1.1968
		Rep. 4	1.3059	1.1796	1.2899	1.1952	1.2261	1.2324
	Mean Absorbar	ice of Reps.	1.20247	1.111	1.23412	1.10835	1.1354	1.12642
	A600	Rep. 1	0.6142	0.8395	0.8456	0.7411	0.775	0.5721
		Rep. 2	0.9294	0.9751	1.0388	0.6971	0.9649	0.6962
		Rep. 3	1.0003	1.4323	1.0919	1.1155	1.1027	0.9274
		Rep. 4	0.8611	1.1857	1.0725	0.9207	1.0304	0.9128
	Mean Absorbar	nce of Reps.	0.85125	1.10815	1.0122	0.8686	0.96825	0.77713
	Net Absorbance A600)	e (A570-	0.35122	0.00285	0.22192	0.23975	0.16715	0.3493
	% Cell Viability		100	0.81144	63.186	68.2611	47.5906	99.4519
Exp.	A570	Rep. 1	0.9551	0.8854	1.0429	1.0087	1.0012	0.9341
2		Rep. 2	1.4089	1.0653	1.2939	1.006	1.178	1.1937
		Rep. 3	1.2444	1.4537	1.362	1.3543	1.2848	1.2442
		Rep. 4	1.3292	1.2382	1.3149	1.2499	1.2899	1.264
	Mean Absorbar	ice of Reps.	1.2344	1.16065	1.25343	1.15473	1.18847	1.159
	A600	Rep. 1	0.6179	0.8533	0.8723	0.7601	0.7937	0.5722
		Rep. 2	0.9504	0.9948	1.0855	0.7129	0.9855	0.712
		Rep. 3	1.0168	1.4649	1.1522	1.1398	1.1305	0.9507
		Rep. 4	0.8705	1.2156	1.1315	0.945	1.0603	0.9185
	Mean Absorbar	nce of Reps.	0.8639	1.13215	1.06038	0.88945	0.9925	0.78835
	Net Absorbance A600)	e (A570-	0.3705	0.0285	0.19305	0.26528	0.19598	0.37065
	% Cell Viability		100	7.6923	52.1053	71.5992	52.8947	100.04
Exp.	A570	Rep. 1	1.618	1.4486	1.4134	1.4438	1.7042	1.5772
3		Rep. 2	1.6132	1.3799	1.3523	1.3666	1.6892	1.5764
		Rep. 3	1.5871	1.3893	1.3497	1.3177	1.5748	1.4538
		Rep. 4	2.2674	1.3500	1.3508	1.5011	1.7545	1.4657
	Mean Absorbar	nce of Reps.	1.77143	1.39195	1.36655	1.4073	1.68068	1.51828
	A600	Rep. 1	0.7961	1.0479	1.2882	1.1222	0.7139	0.7698
		Rep. 2	0.8412	1.0662	1.1898	1.0908	0.6383	0.8527
		Rep. 3	0.8130	0.9942	1.1778	1.1227	0.7067	0.8380
		Rep. 4	1.0122	0.9290	1.1759	0.9830	0.6807	0.9100
	Mean Absorbar	nce of Reps.	0.86563	1.00932	1.20793	1.07968	0.6849	0.84263
	Net Absorbance (A570-A600)	2	0.9058	0.38263	0.15863	0.32762	0.99578	0.67565
	% Cell Viability		100	42.2417	17.5121	36.1697	109.933	74.5915
% Mea	n Cell Viability ± SI	D	$100 \pm 0$	16.9151 ±	44.2678 ±	58.6767 ±	70.1395 ±	91.3613 ±
				22.2	23.82	19.56	34.56	14.53

# Table 5

	Concentration (µg/I	ml)						
	Wavelength/nm	Replicates	Blank	200	100	50	25	12.5
Exp. 1	A570	Rep. 1	1.0656	1.0033	0.8548	1.0472	1.1885	1.2751
-		Rep. 2	1.0504	0.8598	1.0182	1.1179	1.1709	1.2578
		Rep. 3	0.9836	1.1382	1.3914	1.0965	1.2815	1.3069
		Rep. 4	0.9948	0.9712	1.1796	0.9138	1.1196	1.2775
	Mean Absorbance of	of Reps.	1.0236	0.99312	1.111	1.04385	1.19013	1.27933
	A600	Rep. 1	0.6045	1.0854	0.8395	1.0163	0.9057	0.9813
		Rep. 2	0.6152	0.8481	0.9751	0.8347	0.8893	0.9626
		Rep. 3	0.6472	1.1427	1.4323	0.8202	0.9755	0.9999
		Rep. 4	0.6218	1.0194	1.1857	1.003	0.8564	0.9837
	Mean Absorbance of	of Reps.	0.62217	1.0239	1.10815	0.91855	0.90673	0.98187
	Net Absorbance (As	570-A600)	0.40143	-0.0307	0.00285	0.1253	0.2834	0.29745
	% Cell Viability	,	100	-7.6664	0.70997	31.2138	70.5985	74.0985
Exp. 2	A570	Rep. 1	0.9578	0.9334	0.8854	1.0416	1.1698	1.2876
-		Rep. 2	1.1571	1.0754	1.0653	1.1424	1.1884	1.344
		Rep. 3	1.1137	1.0846	1.4537	1.8403	1.1754	1.2491
		Rep. 4	1.0617	0.9629	1.2382	1.0647	1.2895	1.34
	Mean Absorbance of	of Reps.	1.07257	1.01407	1.16065	1.27225	1.20578	1.30518
	A600	Rep. 1	0.5302	0.9295	0.8533	0.7479	0.9004	0.9852
		Rep. 2	0.8297	0.8506	0.9948	0.8102	0.9322	1.0424
		Rep. 3	0.764	1.1326	1.4649	1.7465	0.9557	0.9652
		Rep. 4	0.83	0.9381	1.2156	1.0593	1.0168	1.0288
	Mean Absorbance of	of Reps.	0.73847	0.9627	1.13215	1.09097	0.95128	1.0054
	Net Absorbance (As	570-Â600)	0.3341	0.05138	0.0285	0.18128	0.2545	0.29978
	% Cell Viability		100	15.3771	8.53037	54.2577	76.1748	89.7261
Exp. 3	A570	Rep. 1	1.323	1.132	1.4486	1.2776	1.3187	1.4139
-		Rep. 2	1.2966	1.2185	1.3799	1.2806	1.0512	1.374
		Rep. 3	1.2871	1.1995	1.3893	1.2649	1.401	1.3359
		Rep. 4	1.209	1.1804	1.35	1.111	1.2029	1.4066
	Mean Absorbance of	of Reps.	1.27892	1.1826	1.39195	1.23352	1.24345	1.3826
	A600	Rep. 1	1.0241	1.0888	1.0479	0.9571	1.1027	1.1306
		Rep. 2	0.9343	1.0871	1.0662	0.9634	1.0164	1.0716
		Rep. 3	0.9466	1.1122	0.9942	1.0648	1.1281	1.0312
		Rep. 4	1.0884	1.2177	0.929	1.0298	0.9907	1.0983
	Mean Absorbance of	of Reps.	0.99835	1.12645	1.00932	1.00378	1.05948	1.08292
	Net Absorbance (As	570-A600)	0.28057	0.05615	0.38263	0.22975	0.18398	0.29967
	% Cell Viability		100	20.0125	136.372	81.8854	65.5707	106.807
% Mean C	Cell Viability ± SD		$100 \pm 0$	9.24106 ± 7.16	48.5374 ± 76.16	55.7856 ± 25.37	70.7813 ± 5.3	90.2107 ± 16.36

Data for cytotoxicity of the AgNPs-L against PC3 Cells using the Resazurin metabolic assay.

# Table 6

Data for cytotoxicity	of the AgNPs-I	against PNT1A	normal cells using the I	Resazurin metabolic assay.
-----------------------	----------------	---------------	--------------------------	----------------------------

	Concentration (µg/r	nl)						
	Wavelength/nm	Replicates	Blank	200	100	50	25	12.5
Exp. 1	A570	Rep. 1	1.2407	1.0866	1.2406	1.3137	1.2693	0.9282
		Rep. 2	1.1232	0.8972	1.28	1.2804	1.2856	0.9183
		Rep. 3	1.269	1.5388	1.1828	1.2567	1.069	0.9439
		Rep. 4	1.2601	1.2797	1.2009	1.303	1.235	0.8617
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	1.22325	1.20057	1.22607	1.28845	1.21472	0.91302
	A600	Rep. 1	0.7743	0.746	0.9653	1.0537	0.9954	0.4771
		Rep. 2	0.6654	0.9776	1.0465	1.0191	1.0113	1.0198
		Rep. 3	0.7117	0.95	0.9685	1.0086	0.8496	0.7099
		Rep. 4	0.9448	1.0352	0.9474	1.0497	0.9955	1.0908
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	0.77405	0.9272	0.98192	1.03277	0.96295	0.8244
	Net Absorbance (A5	Net Absorbance (A570-A600)		0.27338	0.24415	0.25568	0.25177	0.08862
	% Cell Viability		100	60.8582	54.3522	56.9179	56.0496	19.7295
Exp. 2	A570	Rep. 1	1.1515	1.1619	1.1257	1.1935	1.1548	0.9842
		Rep. 2	1.211	1.3064	1.2002	1.1932	1.1526	1.3729
		Rep. 3	1.0892	1.382	1.4051	1.2978	1.2415	1.2624
		Rep. 4	1.2657	1.1699	1.1682	1.3704	1.2996	1.2985
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	1.17935	1.25505	1.2248	1.26372	1.21213	1.2295
	A600	Rep. 1	0.5864	0.9968	0.8734	0.9459	0.9092	0.4771
		Rep. 2	0.7193	0.9433	0.9986	0.9383	0.8934	1.0198
		Rep. 3	0.71	1.2182	1.1052	1.0181	0.9764	0.7099
		Rep. 4	0.8115	1.2238	0.9416	1.0979	1.0063	1.0908
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	0.7068	1.09552	0.9797	1.00005	0.94633	0.8244
	Net Absorbance (A5	70-A600)	0.47255	0.15952	0.2451	0.26367	0.2658	0.4051
	% Cell Viability		100	33.7583	51.8675	55.7983	56.248	85.7264
Exp. 3	A570	Rep. 1	1.1275	1.035	1.2563	1.3947	1.2913	1.1149
•		Rep. 2	1.2802	1.156	1.1997	1.4059	1.3251	1.246
		Rep. 3	1.2155	1.1991	1.2531	1.0914	1.3846	1.2462
		Rep. 4	1.2674	1.2178	1.2982	1.4632	1.3368	1.2599
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	1.22265	1.15198	1.25183	1.3388	1.33445	1.21675
	A600	Rep. 1	0.8274	0.746	0.9999	1.1287	1.0471	0.9102
		Rep. 2	0.6811	0.9776	0.979	1.1563	1.0674	0.623
		Rep. 3	0.6737	0.95	1.0378	0.8926	1.0979	0.9252
		Rep. 4	0.7668	1.0352	1.0329	1.1917	1.0738	0.9023
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	0.73725	0.9272	1.0124	1.09232	1.07155	0.84018
	Net Absorbance (A5	70-A600)	0.4854	0.22478	0.23943	0.24648	0.2629	0.37657
	% Cell Viability	/	100	46.3072	49.3253	50.7777	54.1615	77.5803
% Mean C	ell Viability $\pm$ SDs		$100 \pm 0$	46.9746 ± 13.56	51.8483 ± 2.51	54.498 ± 3.23	$55.4864 \pm 1.15$	61.0121 ± 35.98

# 1.3. Data for cytotoxicity of 5FU on HeLa, PC3 and PNT1A cells

 Table 7

 Data for cytotoxicity of 5FU against HeLa Cells using the Resazurin metabolic assay.

 Concentration (ug/ml)

	Wavelength/ nm	Replicates	Blank	200	100	50	25	12.5	
Exp. 1	A570	Rep. 1	0.9300	0.9917	1.2199	0.8006	1.0193	1.0169	
		Rep 2	1 3646	1 186	1 2638	1 1729	1 1181	1 207	
		Rep 3	1 2094	1 0287	1 1894	1 1752	1 0646	1 1992	
		Rep. 3	1 3059	1.0207	1 1563	1.0809	1 1307	1 1797	
	Mean Abcorb	ance of	1 202/7	1.00/35	1,1305	1.0574	1.09319	1.1757	
	Rens		1.20247	1.03433	1.20755	1.0374	1.00510	1.1507	
	A600	Rep. 1	0.6142	0.8624	1.127	0.699	0.9253	0.8917	
	1000	Rep 2	0.9294	1 1043	1 1901	1 0642	1 074	1 1 1 4 8	
		Rep 3	1 0003	1 0022	1 1349	1 1609	1.0259	1 0741	
		Rep. 3	0.8611	1.0022	1.1015	1.0754	1.0293	1 1 1 1 2 2	
	Mean Absorb	ance of	0.85125	1.02823	1 1385	0.00088	1.0452	1.04847	
	Penc		0.03123	1.02025	1.1505	0.55500	1.0100	1.04047	
	Net Absorban	ce (A570	035122	0.06612	0.06885	0.05752	0.06457	0 10223	
	A600)	ce (A570-	0.55122	0.00012	0.00885	0.03732	0.00437	0.10225	
	% Cell Viabilit	v	100	18 827	19 6028	16 3784	18 3856	29 1053	
Evn 2	A570	Ren 1	0.9551	1017	1 2367	0.8372	1 0507	1 0592	
слр. 2	11570	Rep. 7	1 4089	1,017	1 3115	1 2111	1,0507	1 2348	
		Rep. 2	1 2444	1,2117	1.3113	1.2111	1.1302	1,2540	
		Rep. 3	1 3 2 0 2	1,0305	1.2713	1.2334	1.12	1.2355	
	Moon Abcorb	Rep. 4	1.3232	1.2223	1.213	1.1333	1.1005	1.2407	
	Reps		1.2344	1.13/3/	1.23020	1.10425	1.12565	1.19915	
	A600	Pep 1	0.6170	0 8865	1 1511	0.7214	0.0486	0.0105	
	A000	Rep. 1	0.0173	1 1269	1.1.1.1.1	1 0060	1.0054	1 1267	
		Rep. 2	1.0100	1.1200	1.2175	1.0000	1.0554	1.1.011	
		Rep. 5	1.0108	1.0585	1.1/33	1.2021	1.0575	1.1011	
	Mana Alanak	кер. 4	0.8705	1.1749	1,1555	1.1027	1.0/12	1.1367	
	Rens		0.8039	1.00005	1.1000	1.02825	1.04512	1.074	
	Net Absorban	ce (A570-	0.3705	0.08075	0.08948	0.076	0.08072	0.12515	
	A600)								
	% Cell Viabilit	У	100	21.7949	24.1498	20.5128	21.7881	33.7787	
Exp. 3	A570	Rep. 1	1.618	1.3869	1.4109	1.4461	1.5886	1.51	
		Rep. 2	1.6132	1.2718	1.4172	1.5096	1.4624	1.5024	
		Rep. 3	1.5871	1.306	1.4215	1.5138	1.374	1.4649	
		Rep. 4	2.2674	1.2012	1.393	1.3669	1.3833	1.4478	
	Mean Absorb Reps.	ance of	1.77143	1.29147	1.41065	1.4591	1.45207	1.48128	
	A600	Rep. 1	0.7961	0.9932	0.9462	1.0964	0.7275	0.9649	
		Rep. 2	0.8412	0.8292	1.0772	1.0013	0.9073	0.8436	
		Rep. 3	0.813	0.9733	1.0002	0.8611	1.0423	0.9563	
		Rep. 4	1.0122	0.9924	1.1114	0.9739	0.9566	0.9414	
	Mean Absorb	ance of	0.86563	0.94703	1.03375	0.98318	0.90843	0.92655	
	Reps.								
	Net Absorban A600)	ce (A570-	0.9058	0.34445	0.3769	0.47592	0.54365	0.55473	
	% Cell Viabilit	y	100	38.0272	41.6096	52.542	60.0188	61.2414	
Mean	% Cell Viability	± SD	$100 \pm 0$	$26.2163 \pm 10.33$	$28.4541 \pm 11.62$	29.811 ± 19.79	33.3975 ± 23.11	41.3751 ± 17.36	

 Table 8

 Data for cytotoxicity of 5FU against PC3 Cells using the Resazurin metabolic assay.

	Concentration (µg/ml)											
	Wavelength/nm	Replicates	Blank	200	100	50	25	12.5				
Exp. 1	A570	Rep. 1	1.3333	1.2295	1.4598	1.3509	1.5099	1.3809				
		Rep. 2	1.2456	1.2565	1.3126	1.2195	1.3586	1.259				
		Rep. 3	1.2113	1.2528	1.4194	1.2509	1.454	1.277				
		Rep. 4	1.1547	1.219	1.3856	1.2766	1.3622	1.2752				
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	1.23623	1.23945	1.39435	1.27448	1.42118	1.29802				
	A600	Rep. 1	0.8743	1.1243	1.3535	1.0984	1.3006	1.1187				
		Rep. 2	0.7974	1.058	1.1941	1.0904	1.1127	0.9825				
		Rep. 3	0.8159	1.101	0.969	0.9938	1.2125	1.0203				
		Rep. 4	0.7762	1.0578	1.0978	1.0803	1.1213	1.0756				
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	0.81595	1.08527	1.1536	1.06572	1.18677	1.04928				
N	Net Absorbance (A5	Net Absorbance (A570-A600)		0.15418	0.24075	0.20875	0.2344	0.24875				
	% Cell Viability		100	36.6843	57.2839	49.6699	55.773	59.1874				
Exp. 2	A570	Rep. 1	1.1529	1.1256	1.0922	1.189	1.1088	1.2155				
-		Rep. 2	1.302	1.0736	2.0665	1.1893	1.2698	1.1701				
		Rep. 3	1.2233	1.0479	1.2537	1.1769	1.2981	1.1123				
		Rep. 4	1.1472	1.0659	1.0555	1.1281	1.1668	1.1998				
	Mean Absorbance of Reps.		1.20635	1.07825	1.36698	1.17083	1.21088	1.17443				
	A600	Rep. 1	0.6568	0.8058	0.6521	0.8702	0.7814	0.8249				
		Rep. 2	0.8626	0.8734	2.0876	0.8702	0.8966	0.8882				
		Rep. 3	0.8162	0.7755	0.9804	1.0262	0.9785	0.7266				
		Rep. 4	0.8145	0.9318	0.9941	0.8094	0.8577	1.0238				
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	0.78752	0.84663	1.17855	0.894	0.87855	0.86587				
	Net Absorbance (A5	70-A600)	0.41883	0.23162	0.18843	0.27682	0.33232	0.30855				
	% Cell Viability		100	55.3035	44.989	66.0956	79.347	73.6704				
Exp. 3	A570	Rep. 1	1.1465	1.0529	0.9879	1.4099	1.0342	1.215				
-		Rep. 2	1.1237	1.1887	1.251	1.2178	1.274	1.2613				
		Rep. 3	1.3081	1.3231	1.2296	1.2199	1.3973	1.3347				
		Rep. 4	1.053	1.2915	1.3369	1.3105	1.3249	1.3175				
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	1.15782	1.21405	1.20135	1.28953	1.2576	1.28212				
	A600	Rep. 1	0.54	0.7176	0.7193	1.195	0.7924	0.9112				
		Rep. 2	0.6766	0.8633	1.1052	0.8249	1.0303	1.0017				
		Rep. 3	0.928	1.2248	0.9405	1.1032	1.1282	1.0617				
		Rep. 4	0.7152	1.019	1.0162	1.0546	1.0763	1.039				
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	0.71495	0.95618	0.9453	1.04442	1.0068	1.0034				
	Net Absorbance (A5	70-A600)	0.44287	0.25787	0.25605	0.2451	0.2508	0.27872				
	% Cell Viability		100	58.2275	57.8154	55.3429	56.63	62.9354				
Mean % C	ell Viability $\pm$ SD		$100 \pm 0$	$50.0718 \pm 11.69$	$53.3628 \pm 7.26$	$57.0361 \pm 8.34$	63.9167 ± 13.37	$65.2644 \pm 7.52$				

	Concentration (µg/r	nl)						
	Wavelength/nm	Replicates	Blank	200	100	50	25	12.5
Exp. 1	A570	Rep. 1	1.0662	0.9282	1.0414	1.085	1.0312	1.0644
		Rep. 2	1.0252	0.9183	1.1152	1.0759	1.0472	1.1821
		Rep. 3	1.0735	0.9439	1.2742	1.1065	1.1835	1.2094
		Rep. 4	1.0679	0.8617	0.9168	1.1691	1.0481	1.1196
	Mean Absorbance o	of Reps.	1.0582	0.91302	1.0869	1.10913	1.0775	1.14388
	A600	Rep. 1	0.4355	0.4771	0.47	0.482	0.5063	0.5058
		Rep. 2	0.5535	1.0198	0.675	0.6988	0.6371	0.6727
		Rep. 3	0.507	0.7099	0.8579	0.6031	0.852	0.7457
		Rep. 4	0.5895	1.0908	0.5706	0.7968	0.6933	0.7201
	Mean Absorbance o	of Reps.	0.52138	0.8244	0.64338	0.64518	0.67217	0.66107
	Net Absorbance (A5	570-A600)	0.53682	0.08862	0.44352	0.46395	0.40533	0.4828
	% Cell Viability		100	16.5091	82.62	86.4248	75.5041	89.9362
Exp. 2	A570	Rep. 1	1.0403	0.9842	0.9956	1.0839	1.0614	1.0555
-		Rep. 2	1.0948	1.3729	1.0657	1.088	0.9943	0.9717
		Rep. 3	1.0239	1.2624	0.9841	1.0846	1.0802	1.1183
		Rep. 4	1.0595	1.2985	1.0744	1.0832	1.07	1.1113
	Mean Absorbance o	f Reps.	1.05463	1.2295	1.02995	1.08492	1.05148	1.0642
	A600	Rep. 1	0.6439	0.4771	0.5945	0.4893	0.4823	0.4356
		Rep. 2	0.456	1.0198	0.5494	0.6673	0.6906	0.5797
		Rep. 3	0.6273	0.7099	0.701	0.6897	0.633	0.5618
		Rep. 4	0.4868	1.0908	0.6393	0.6875	0.6322	0.6472
	Mean Absorbance o	of Reps.	0.5535	0.8244	0.62105	0.63345	0.60953	0.55607
	Net Absorbance (A5	70-A600)	0.50113	0.4051	0.4089	0.45147	0.44195	0.50812
	% Cell Viability	,	100	80.8381	81.5964	90.0923	88.1916	101.397
Exp. 3	A570	Rep. 1	1.4067	1.1149	1.2754	1.2814	1.2513	1.3242
•		Rep. 2	1.3437	1.246	1.1233	1.2189	1.2208	1.216
		Rep. 3	1.1885	1.2462	1.1105	1.1816	1.2943	1.1357
		Rep. 4	1.3056	1.2599	1.1501	1.0069	1.2266	1.1305
	Mean Absorbance o	of Reps.	1.31113	1.21675	1.16482	1.1722	1.24825	1.2016
	A600	Rep. 1	0.8095	0.9102	0.8707	1.0449	0.9541	0.7732
		Rep. 2	0.5971	0.623	0.6806	0.7104	0.6601	0.741
		Rep. 3	0.5568	0.9252	0.7461	0.708	0.6388	0.5442
		Rep. 4	0.6509	0.9023	0.7008	0.6725	0.6796	0.66
	Mean Absorbance o	of Reps.	0.65358	0.84018	0.74955	0.78395	0.73315	0.6796
	Net Absorbance (AF	570-A600)	0.65755	0.37657	0.41527	0.38825	0.5151	0.522
	% Cell Viability		100	57.2694	63.1549	59.0449	78.3362	79.3856
% Mean C	ell Viability $\pm$ SD		$100 \pm 0$	51.5389 ± 32.55	75.7904 ± 10.95	78.5207 ± 16.97	80.6773 ± 6.66	90.2396 ± 11.0

 Table 9

 Data for cytotoxicity of 5FU against PNT1A normal cells using the Resazurin metabolic assay.



Fig. 1. A graph showing the Cytotoxicity of AgNPs-F against HeLa Cells using the Resazurin Metabolic Assay.

# 2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

## 2.1. Chemicals and reagents

All chemicals and reagents were procured from certified suppliers and were of the highest analytical standard. DMEM, RPMI1640, Penicillin/Streptomycin, Non-Essential Amino Acids, Trypsin-EDTA, and Resazurin were obtained from Solarbio (China). FCS, 5FU, Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Germany).

#### 2.2. The silver nanoparticles

Previously prepared and characterized AgNPs from ethanolic extracts of fruits and leaves of *Annona muricata* were used for the study from which the current data was obtained [1,2]. AgNPs-F used had an absorption maximum at 427 nm and were stable under different pH, Temperature and storage conditions. The AgNPs-F had an average crystalline size of 60.12 nm, a polydispersity index of 0.1235 and were spherical in nature. The functional groups responsible for the formation of the AgNPs included;



Fig. 2. A graph showing the Cytotoxicity of AgNPs-F against PC3 Cells using the Resazurin Metabolic Assay.



Fig. 3. A graph showing the Cytotoxicity of AgNPs-F against PNT1A Cells using the Resazurin Metabolic Assay.



Fig. 4. A graph showing the Cytotoxicity of AgNPs-L against HeLa Cells using the Resazurin Metabolic Assay.



Fig. 5. A graph showing the Cytotoxicity of AgNPs-L against PC3 Cells using the Resazurin Metabolic Assay.



Fig. 6. A graph showing the Cytotoxicity of AgNPs-L against PNT1A Cells using the Resazurin Metabolic Assay.

Alkanes and alkyls, aldehydes and esters, nitro groups, alcohol groups, amines, amides, alkenes, acids and alkyl halides [1,2]. On the other hand, AgNPs-L used had an absorption maximum at 429 nm and were stable under different pH, Temperature and storage conditions. The AgNPs-L had an average crystalline size of 87.36 nm, a polydispersity index of 0.16 and were spherical in nature. The functional groups responsible for the formation of the AgNPs included; Alkanes and alkyls, aldehydes and esters, nitro groups, alcohol groups, amines, amides, alkenes, acids and alkyl halides [1].

# 2.3. Cell lines

The HeLa and PC-3 cells were Cervical and Prostate adenocarcinomas respectively. On the other hand, the PNT1A cells were normal immortalized prostate cells. HeLa, PC3, and PNT1A were sourced from the European collection of Animal Cell Cultures (ECACC). All cells were adherent.



Fig. 7. A graph showing the Cytotoxicity of 5FU against HeLa Cells using the Resazurin Assay.



Fig. 8. A graph showing the Cytotoxicity of 5FU against PC3 Cells using the Resazurin Metabolic Assay.

#### 2.4. Cell culture

The cells were grown separately in appropriate media (HeLa in DMEM; PC3 and PNT1A in RPMI 1640) containing L-Glutamine and supplemented with 10% batch tested inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS), 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, and 1% Non-essential amino acids. The cells were kept an incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>; and 95% humidity. Cells were Trypsinized and passaged 1–2 times a week and were harvested and used for the assays during their logarithmic growth phase at about 60–75% confluence.

## 2.5. Preparation of the AgNPs solutions, 5-FU and blanks in media

AgNPs-F and AgNPs-L stock solutions (10mg/ml) were prepared by dispersing them in 0.5% DMSO in culture media. Briefly, 100mg of the AgNPs were dispersed in 10 mL of culture medium (containing Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) of 0.5%v/v). Required treatment concentrations of (200, 100, 50, 25, and



Fig. 9. A graph showing the Cytotoxicity of 5FU against PNT1A Cells using the Resazurin Metabolic Assay.

12.5  $\mu$ g/mL were then made by dilutions of the stock solutions using the formula C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>=C<sub>2</sub>V<sub>2</sub>. To prepare the standard anticancer treatment regimen of 5-FU, a stock solution was prepared as above and then diluted with culture media to desired concentrations ranging from 12.5 to 200  $\mu$ g/mL. The final concentration of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in each cell culture did not exceed 1% v/v to keep the cytotoxicity of DMSO low [3].

#### 2.6. Measurement of the anticancer activities of the AgNPs and 5FU using the Resazurin Assay

The effects of the AgNPs on each of the cell lines' viability and death was determined using the Resazurin (7-hydroxy-10-oxido- phenoxazin-10-ium-3-one) assay as previously described [4–7]. Exponentially growing cells were harvested, washed and seeded in 96 well plates containing  $0.5 \times 10^4$ Cells/well and incubated with 100 µL per well culture media and allowed to attach overnight. Seeding media was then removed from each of the plates. The attached cultured cells were then treated by adding of 100 µL of the treatments at concentrations of 200, 100, 50, 25, and  $12.5\mu$ g/mL (in culture media). In addition, the DMSO alone in media was added to another set of cells as the solvent control blank (DMSO = 0.5%v/v). Standard drug 5-FU was used as a reference drug for cancer as positive control. The treated cells were then incubated in a humified CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37 °C. 24 Hours from the start of the incubation, 20 µl resazurin at a concentration of 0.15mg/ml in PBS was added to each of the wells and then incubated at 37 °C for an additional 4 hours. After 4 hours from the addition of resazurin, the plates containing the treated cells were then retrieved from the incubator and the absorbance signal was quickly measured at 570/600nm (excitation/emission wavelengths), using a microplate reader (Infinite M1000, Tecan). Each treatment was read in at least four replicates.

### 2.7. How the data can be analyzed

The presented data can be analyzed by determining the percentage cell viability using the formula: % Viability = (Net absorbance of treated samples/Net absorbance of blank) ×100. The effect of the samples on the proliferation of the cell lines can then be expressed in form of graphs of percentage cell viability against logarithm of concentration as shown in Figures (1–9) under the data section above. Fifty percent of inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) or cytotoxic concentration (CC<sub>50</sub>) of each of the treatments can then be calculated from the growth inhibition curves.

#### **Research clearance and registration**

The study from which the current data was obtained was cleared by the PAUSTI board of examiners (MB400-0007/17), The Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (NS 43ES) as well as the Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology Institutional Ethics Review Committee (Ref. no: JKU/2/4/896B).

### Acknowledgments

The authors would wish to thank the Pan African University for the funding that allowed the study from which this data was obtained to be carried out. They further thank Prof Wallace D Bulimo, Janet Majanja, Meshack Wedagu, Silvanos Mukunzi, Samwel Symekher, Rachel Achilla, Agnes Gathemia, Tiffany H Wandera, and the entire team at the United States Army Medical Research Directorate - Kenya at the Department of Emerging Infectious Diseases (USAMRD-K DEID) Influenza Laboratory in Nairobi for their selfless support rendered that ensured the success of this work to be achieved.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# References

- [1] Y. Gavamukulya, H.A. Elshemy, A.M. Meroka, E.S. Madivoli, E.N. Maina, F. Wamunyokoli, G. Magoma, Advances in green nanobiotechnology: data for synthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticles from ethanolic extracts of fruits and leaves of Annona muricata, Data Br 25 (2019) 104194, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104194.
- [2] Y. Gavamukulya, E.N. Maina, A.M. Meroka, E.S. Madivoli, H.A. El-Shemy, F. Wamunyokoli, G. Magoma, Green synthesis and characterization of highly stable silver nanoparticles from ethanolic extracts of fruits of Annona muricata, J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater. (2019), https://doi.org/10.1007/s10904-019-01262-5.
- [3] N.M. Abdel-Hamid, G.A. Tabl, Y.E. El-Bolkiny, W.O. Zeina, In vitro antitumor efficacy of Kochiaindica extract on human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line with or without 5-fluorouracil, Hepatoma Res 3 (2017) 149, https://doi.org/10.20517/ 2394-5079.2016.50.
- [4] T.L. Riss, R.A. Moravec, A.L. Niles, S. Duellman, H.A. Benink, T.J. Worzella, L. Minor, Cell viability assays [Internet], in: G.S. Sittampalam, N.P. Coussens, K. Brimacombe, et al. (Eds.), Assay Guid. Man., Eli Lilly & Company and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Bethesda (MD), 2013, pp. 785–796, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acthis.2012.01.006.
- [5] E. Vega-Avila, M.K. Pugsley, An overview of colorimetric assay methods used to assess survival or proliferation of mammalian cells, Proc. West. Pharmacol. Soc. 54 (2011) 10–14.
- [6] R.C. Borra, M.A. Lotufo, S.M. Gagioti, F. de M. Barros, P.M. Andrade, A simple method to measure cell viability in proliferation and cytotoxicity assays, Braz. Oral Res. 23 (2009) 255–262, https://doi.org/10.1590/S1806-8324200900300006.
- [7] V. Kuete, H.K. Wabo, K.O. Eyong, M.T. Feussi, B. Wiench, B. Krusche, P. Tane, G.N. Folefoc, T. Efferth, Anticancer activities of six selected natural compounds of some Cameroonian medicinal plants, PLoS One 6 (2011) 4–10, https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0021762.