Structural basis of dimerization and nucleic acid binding of human DBHS proteins NONO and PSPC1

Gavin J. Knott^{®1}, Yee Seng Chong^{®1}, Daniel M. Passon^{®1}, Xue-hai Liang^{®2}, Evelyne Deplazes^{®3}, Maria R. Conte^{®4}, Andrew C. Marshall^{®1}, Mihwa Lee^{®5}, Archa H. Fox^{®1,6} and Charles S. Bond^{®1,*}

¹School of Molecular Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia, ²Department of Core Antisense Research, IONIS Pharmaceuticals Inc., 2855 Gazelle Court, Carlsbad, CA 92010, USA, ³School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Qld 4072, Australia, ⁴Randall Centre for Cell and Molecular Biophysics, King's College London, New Hunt's House, Guy's Campus, London SE1 1UL, UK, ⁵Department of Biochemistry and Genetics, La Trobe Institute for Molecular Science, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Vic 3086, Australia and ⁶School of Human Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

Received October 19, 2021; Revised November 24, 2021; Editorial Decision November 24, 2021; Accepted November 26, 2021

ABSTRACT

The Drosophila behaviour/human splicing (DBHS) proteins are a family of RNA/DNA binding cofactors liable for a range of cellular processes. DBHS proteins include the non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein (NONO) and paraspeckle protein component 1 (PSPC1), proteins capable of forming combinatorial dimers. Here, we describe the crystal structures of the human NONO and PSPC1 homodimers, representing uncharacterized DBHS dimerization states. The structures reveal a set of conserved contacts and structural plasticity within the dimerization interface that provide a rationale for dimer selectivity between DBHS paralogues. In addition, solution X-ray scattering and accompanying biochemical experiments describe a mechanism of cooperative RNA recognition by the NONO homodimer. Nucleic acid binding is reliant on RRM1, and appears to be affected by the orientation of RRM1, influenced by a newly identified ' β -clasp' structure. Our structures shed light on the molecular determinants for DBHS homo- and heterodimerization and provide a basis for understanding how DBHS proteins cooperatively recognize a broad spectrum of **RNA** targets.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



INTRODUCTION

The *Drosophila* behaviour/human splicing (DBHS) proteins are multifunctional gene regulatory RNA/DNA binding proteins (1,2) implicated in tumorigenesis (3– 5), innate cellular immune responses (6–9) and neurological development (10–15). In humans, there are three conserved paralogues: non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein (NONO, also known as P54^{nrb}), paraspeckle protein component 1 (PSPC1) and splicing factor proline/glutamine rich (SFPQ, also known as PSF). Members of the DBHS protein family are defined by a conserved domain architecture, the 'DBHS region', consisting of tandem N-terminal RNA recognition motifs (RRM1

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +61 864881191 Email: charles.bond@uwa.edu.au Present addresses:

Gavin J. Knott, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia. Daniel M. Passon, Targenomix GmbH, Am Mühlenberg 11, 14476 Potsdam, Germany.

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and RRM2), a NonA/paraspeckle (NOPS) domain and a C-terminal coiled coil (CC). DBHS proteins exist as obligate dimers, each protomer interacting reciprocally with its partner to create a network of hydrophobic and electrostatic contacts between the NOPS domain, RRM2' (prime denotes partner chain) and the distal CC' (14,16–19). More recently, biophysical experiments demonstrated that DBHS protein dimerization is dynamic, with protomers able to form combinatorial hetero- or homodimers at cellular concentrations (14).

DBHS RRMs are reported to bind a diversity of singlestranded RNA (20–23), the conserved 5' splice site (23, 24) and structured nucleic acids such as the U5 snRNA stem-loop (25). SFPQ interacts directly with doublestranded DNA to exert its role in transcriptional regulation in complex with NONO (26–28). The DBHS proteins also interact with the paraspeckle long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) NEAT1 (29,30), the lncRNA MALAT1 (31), the non-coding RNA lncUSM-MycN (3) and viral RNA (7,8). Studies of potentially therapeutic modified nucleic acids [e.g. phosphorothioate-containing antisense oligonucleotides (PS-ASOs)] have revealed that they interact with DBHS proteins, causing relocalization from paraspeckle bodies upon transfection (32,33) and hepatotoxicity that may be related to DBHS protein mislocalization and depletion due to tight binding of certain PS-ASOs in a sequenceand chemistry-dependent manner (34). However, the variety of PS-ASO binding proteins suggests a variety of potential binding modes. The only crystal structure to date of such a complex is a PS-ASO bound to the DNA binding domain (DBD) of transcription factor PC4 with a PS-ASO (35) (PDB code: 6YCS), which has a completely unrelated structure to the DBHS proteins. New BRET-based tools for in vivo measurement of affinities of proteins for ASOs have revealed the potential for both RRM domains of NONO to be required for ASO binding (36); however, the integral role of RRM2 in dimerization of DBHS proteins indicates the value of a more detailed structural analysis. Indeed, nuclear magnetic resonance investigations indicate that RRM1, and not RRM2, can bind a 5' splice site RNA fragment (37).

Targeting DBHS proteins for therapeutic benefit in cancers such as neuroblastoma (3) or developmental disorders (12) is limited by a lack of insight into their dimerization states and mechanisms of nucleic acid binding. Here, we describe the crystal structures of the human NONO and PSPC1 homodimers, revealing the structural plasticity of dimerization to provide a rationale for dimer selectivity between DBHS paralogues. In addition, solution X-ray scattering and accompanying biochemical experiments describe a mechanism of cooperative RNA recognition by the NONO homodimer. Our structures describe the molecular determinants for DBHS homo- and heterodimerization and provide a basis for understanding how DBHS proteins cooperatively recognize a broad spectrum of nucleic acid targets.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Expression and purification of the NONO homodimer

Recombinant NONO homodimer was purified, crystallized and the structure solved as described elsewhere (38). Briefly, NONO aa53–312 (NONO-DBHS), aa146–312 (NONO Δ RRM1), aa67–312 (NONO $\Delta\beta$ -clasp), aa53–147 (RRM1), aa67–147 (RRM1 $\Delta\beta$ -clasp) and the NONO mutant (D183S, R184S, R186S) (NONO $\Delta\beta2-\beta3$) (all relative to UniProt entry NONO_HUMAN) were cloned into the first expression cassette of a pET-Duet1 vector (Novagen) and expressed in Rosetta2 (DE3) *Escherichia coli* (Novagen). NONO was purified using column-based nickel affinity chromatography with an N-terminal tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease-cleavable hexahistidine tag. Tagged NONO was subjected to TEV digestion, reverse immobilized metal affinity chromatography and developed over a gel filtration column into 20 mM Tris–Cl (pH 7.5), 250 mM KCl, 50 mM L-proline and 0.5 mM EDTA.

Nucleic Acids Research, 2022, Vol. 50, No. 1 523

Expression and purification of the PSPC1 homodimer

Recombinant PSPC1 (aa61-320, relative to UniProt entry PSPC1_HUMAN) was cloned into the first expression cassette of a pET-Duet1 vector (Novagen) (BamHI and EcoRI underlined in sequence) (5'-CAG GAT CCA GAA AAC CTG TAT TTT CAG GGC ATG GGG TTC ACT ATC GAC ATC-3') (5'-CGG AAT TCT TAC ATT AGC ATT AAT TGG TG-3') with an inserted TEV protease site (bold). Competent E. coli Rosetta2 (DE3) cells (Novagen) were transformed and selected from lysogeny broth (LB) agar plates (100 μ g ml⁻¹ ampicillin, 50 μ g ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol). Single colonies were inoculated into 5 ml LB (100 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin, 50 μg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol) and incubated for 16 h at 310 K/180 rpm. The 5 ml culture was used to inoculate 500 ml LB (50 μ g ml⁻¹ ampicillin, 50 μ g ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol) in 2-l conical flasks incubated at 310 K/180 rpm. At an optical density (600 nm) of 0.6, expression was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG for 16 h at 298 K/180 rpm. Compact pellets of 500 ml were gently resuspended on ice with 50 ml buffer [50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 10% (v/v) glycerol]. Lysis was carried out with an Emulsiflex C5 high-pressure homogenizer (Avestin) clarified by centrifugation (24 000 \times g/30 min/278 K) and 0.22 µm filtration before application to a 5 ml NiCl₂ charged Hi-Trap column (GE Healthcare). PSPC1 elutes over a 10-column volume imidazole gradient [50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), 300 mM NaCl, 1 M imidazole, 10% (v/v) glycerol]. Peak fractions were subjected to buffer exchange into gel filtration buffer [50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), 300 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol] using a PD-10 desalting column (GE Healthcare) and then incubated at 277 K for 16 h with in-house produced recombinant TEV protease. Postdigestion re-application to a Hi-Trap column (GE Healthcare) removed His-tagged species before concentrated samples were loaded to a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) developed with gel filtration buffer at 1 ml min⁻¹. Purified PSPC1 homodimer concentrated in a 10kDa concentrator (Amicon) was flash frozen with liquid nitrogen for long-term storage at 193 K.

Crystallization and X-ray data collection of the NONO and PSPC1 homodimer

Details of the crystallization, data collection and processing for NONO are described elsewhere (38). PSPC1 crystals

Table 1.	Data collection	and refinement	statistics
----------	-----------------	----------------	------------

	PSPC1 homodimer	NONO homodimer
Data collection		
Space group	P12 ₁ 1	P12 ₁ 1
Cell dimensions		
a, b, c (Å)	61.54, 63.49, 67.80	67.15, 407.18, 68.96
α, β, γ (°)	90.00, 98.06, 90.00	90.00, 97.75, 90.00
Resolution (Å)	19.41-3.17 (3.54-3.17) ^a	48.15-2.60 (2.65-2.60) ^a
R _{merge}	0.200 (0.590)	0.088 (0.742)
I/σ_I	5.7 (1.65)	10.1 (1.60)
CC (1/2)	0.994 (0.508)	0.995 (0.524)
Completeness (%)	97.7 (98.0)	98.9 (97.7)
Redundancy	3.6 (3.7)	3.7 (3.8)
Wilson B-factor	56.0	48.7
$(Å^2)$		
Refinement		
Resolution (Å)	19.41-3.17 (3.54-3.17)	48.15-2.60 (2.65-2.60)
No. of reflections	8738 (2390)	110 365 (5520)
$R_{\rm work}/R_{\rm free}$	23.7/28.9	19.7/23.4
No. of atoms		
Protein	4112 (2 monomers)	25 063 (12 monomers)
Ligand/ion		60
Water		194
Average B-factor	59.0	65.0
$(Å^2)$		
RMS deviations		
Bond lengths	0.01	0.01
(Å)		
Bond angles (°)	1.13	1.17

^aValues in parentheses denote data for the highest-resolution shell.

were obtained by 24-well hanging-drop vapour diffusion experiments in 2:1 ratios of protein at 6.0 mg ml⁻¹ to 100 mM (D/L) malic acid (pH 7.0), 28% (v/v) PEG 3350 and 100 mM Tris (pH 7.0) equilibrated against 500 μ l reservoir. PSPC1 crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen without cryoprotection and diffraction experiments carried out at the MX2 beamline of the Australian Synchrotron (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia) (39,40). PSPC1 data were processed in XDS (41) with the data merged and scaled using AIMLESS (42). Data collection statistics for NONO and PSPC1 are summarized in Table 1.

Crystallographic structure solution, refinement and validation

The crystal structure of NONO (aa53-312) was phased by molecular replacement as described elsewhere (38). The crystal structure of PSPC1 (aa61-320) was solved by molecular replacement with PHASER (43) with the PSPC1 chain of the PSPC1/NONO heterodimer [PDB code: 3SDE (16)]. Molecular replacement with an ensemble of PSPC1 domains (ensemble 1: residues 66-153; ensemble 2: residues 154–320) found two monomers within the asymmetric unit, consistent with solvent content analysis (44). The resulting model was subjected to iterative model building with COOT (45) and refinement with BUSTER (46). The structures of both the NONO and PSPC1 homodimers were validated using MOLPROBITY (47) and submitted to the Protein Data Bank under the accession codes 5IFM and 5IFN, respectively. Final refinement statistics are included in Table 1.

Oligonucleotide sample preparation

Fluorescently labelled (5'-6-FAM) homo-ribopolymers (5'- $_{d}$ TGGGGGGGGGG-3', 5'- $_{d}$ TAAAAAAAA-3', 5'- $_{d}$ TUUUUUUUUU-3' and 5'- $_{d}$ TCCCCCCCC-3') were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). Fluorescently labelled ASOs were provided by IONIS Pharmaceuticals Inc. (USA) and synthesized by IDT (USA). ASOs IONIS742093 and IONIS626823 are entirely phosphorothioate-modified 5-10-5 'gapmers' modified at the 2'-ribose position with an α -fluoro or constrained ethyl (cET), respectively. Oligonucleotides were prepared in nuclease-free water (Sigma) at 2 mM and diluted with the appropriate RNA binding buffer prior to use.

Microscale thermophoresis

Microscale thermophoresis (MST) experiments were carried out using a Monolith NT.115 (NanoTemper Technologies) at ambient temperature. Fluorescent oligonucleotides were prepared with $C_A = 100 \text{ nM} (5' - dTGGGGGGGGGGGGG-$ 3' at 500 nM) and incubated for 15 min on ice with a dilution series of NONO titrated in RNA binding buffer [20 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), 250 mM KCl, 50 mM L-proline, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20, 1 mg ml⁻¹ heparin]. The reactions were transferred directly to standard-treated capillaries (NanoTemper Technologies) before primary capillary scans to confirm constant fluorescence between capillaries. Thermophoresis experiments were carried out at 20-25% blue LED (5'-dTGGGGGGGGG-3' at 50% blue LED power) and 20% MST power. Data were modelled using the 'Thermophoresis + T-Jump' profile fit to the Hill equation $[f(c) = \text{unbound} + (\text{bound} - \text{unbound})/(1 + (\text{EC}_{50}/c)^n),$ with the EC₅₀ and $n_{\rm H}$ calculated in the NT Analysis software (NanoTemper Technologies).

Small-angle X-ray scattering data collection and processing

Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) data were collected using size-exclusion chromatography-coupled synchrotron small-angle X-ray scattering (SEC-SY-SAXS) (48) controlled by a Shimadzu HPLC system on the SAXS/WAXS beamline of the Australian Synchrotron with continuous data collection on a 1 M Pilatus detector (49) at 289 K. IONIS742093 at 1 mM was injected in 200 µl and developed at 0.5 ml min⁻¹ over a WTC-030N5 (Wyatt) column in 20 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), 250 mM KCl, 50 mM Lproline and 0.5 mM EDTA. NONO protein alone (aa53-312) at 7.0 mg ml⁻¹ developed as above was previously published (38). For the NONO: ASO complex, NONO (aa53-312) at 7.0 mg ml⁻¹ (115 μ M dimer) was complexed with a 1:2.4 molar excess of IONIS742093 for 30 min on ice before SEC-SY-SAXS as described earlier. The data collection parameters and calculated structural parameters are presented in Supplementary Table S1. The scattering data from the first 20 frames were averaged and used for background correction in SCATTERBRAIN. Data were further corrected for cumulative capillary fouling using the US-SOMO HPLC SAXS module (50) before primary data processing using the ATSAS software package (51). The Guinier region, intensity at 0 [I(0)] and radius of gyration (R_{α}) were calculated using PRIMUS (52) with frames averaged where these values were constant. The P(r) distribution plot, Porod volume and maximum dimension (D_{max}) were calculated using GNOM (53). The normalized Kratky, Kratky-Debye and Porod-Debye plots were generated in SCATTER (BIOISIS) using the flexibility analysis. Theoretical scattering curves and amplitudes were derived from structures and their agreement with scattering data calculated using CRYSOL (54). The structural neighbours of observed solution scattering data were retrieved using the DARA web server (55). The molecular weights of NONO (aa53-312) and IONIS742093 were calculated from the mass parameter $Q_{\rm R}$ in SCATTER using the volume of correlation (V_c) (56). SAXS data and fits were deposited at SASBDB (57) under the accession codes SASDMR6, SAS-DMS6, SASDMT6 and project 1489.

Ab initio and rigid body SAXS modelling

For modelling, a high-q cut-off of 0.2 was applied due to the decreasing signal-to-noise ratio. Ab initio models were generated by 60 DAMMIF (58) modelling runs with P2 symmetry imposed. Resulting models were superposed and averaged with DAMAVER (59) before final refinement in DAMMIN (58). Rigid body modelling of the NONO:ASO complex was carried out using SASREF (59) with P2 symmetry imposed. Coordinates were manipulated with PDB-MODE (60). The protein component of the starting model was derived from chains AB of the NONO homodimer crystal structure with all heteroatoms removed. A conformer of the bacterial group II intron NMR structure (PDB code: 2M57) was used as a rigid body to describe IONIS742093. Two distance restraints were applied: (i) a range of 5.0-7.5 Å (A144–S147) was used to describe the flexibility of the linker between RRM1 and RRM2 in each monomer; and (ii) a range of 3.5–10.0 Å between F111 of RRM1 and any part of 2M57 was used to describe the requirement of RRM1 for binding. Molecular graphics were created in PYMOL (Schrödinger, LLC).

RESULTS

DBHS dimer interfaces reveal structural plasticity that drives dimer selectivity

To better understand how DBHS proteins function and provide a platform for therapeutic targeting, we determined structures of the conserved DBHS regions of previously uncharacterized human PSPC1 (aa61–320) and NONO (aa53–312) homodimers (PSPC1-DBHS and NONO-DBHS). Both paralogues crystallized as obligate homodimers with two protomers forming highly symmetrical dimers (Figure 1A and B; Table 1). The asymmetric unit of the PSPC1 homodimer is comprised of one dimer; in contrast, NONO is best described as a superhelical array of six highly symmetrical dimers sharing the same domain arrangement (Figure 1B and C).

Given the extensive non-crystallographic symmetry within the asymmetric unit of the NONO-DBHS crystal structure, we wondered whether the related copies might provide insight into the conformational landscape accessible to the NONO homodimer. Pairwise comparisons of monomers or dimers revealed conformational differences that were isolated to the NOPS and the distal region of the CC domain (Figure 1D and E). To explore the correlation between movements in the NOPS and distal CC, we grouped monomers of NONO by RMSD within the NOPS domain (Supplementary Table S2). Two clusters were observed and made distinct by the conformation of W271, a highly conserved residue positioned within the hydrophobic dimer interface necessary for DBHS protein dimerization and paraspeckle localization (16). The first cluster, designated W_1 , is described by W271 adopting a conformation where the plane of the indole engages in a pocket formed within RRM2' (Figure 1E, orange). In contrast, the second cluster, designated W_2 , is described by the indole of W271 stacking above RRM2' (Figure 1E, white). Examining the conformational variation in the distal CC' revealed that it is correlated with the conformation of W271 and that of the NOPS domain (Figure 1E). Moreover, the asymmetric unit of the NONO-DBHS crystal structure features W271 conformationally symmetric dimers $(W_1/W_1 \text{ and } W_2/W_2)$ and conformationally asymmetric dimers (W_1/W_2) (Supplementary Table S2).

Given the observed sampling of alternative conformations by NONO within the dimerization interface, we wondered whether energetically favourable remodelling of the dimerization interface determines preferred DBHS dimerization states. Six distinct DBHS dimeric combinations are possible; however, heterologous expression and purification support an intrinsic preference for heterodimerization (14,18,61,62). To explore this further, we compared the interfaces of homodimeric PSPC1 and NONO with the published PSPC1/NONO (16) and homodimeric SFPQ (18). While architecturally consistent (Supplementary Figure S1), the NOPS':CC:RRM2 interface shows distinct residuedependent conformational variability between dimerization states (Figure 2A-F). The interface formed by DBHS homodimers is dominated by CC interactions between conserved Trp, Tyr and Met packed above RRM2 (Figure 2A–D). The heterodimeric interface of PSPC1/NONO is asymmetrical in conformation, where NONO contributing a bulky hydrophobic, F218, is coupled to favourable electrostatics between R220, T223 (RRM2, NONO) and D283' (NOPS, PSPC1) (Figure 2E). In contrast, the opposing interface features an additional hydrophobic collapse between I275' (NOPS', NONO) and I231 (RRM2, PSPC1) (Figure 2F). Taken together, upon PSPC1/NONO heterodimerization the NOPS':CC:RRM2 interface is remodelled to accommodate varied residues to produce a net sum of favourable interactions. Given position matched residues in SFPQ, a heterodimer formed of SFPQ/NONO would present a similarly favourable set of interactions at the NOPS':CC:RRM2 interface. Taken together, the PSPC1 and NONO homodimers provide a wealth of insight into the structural plasticity and general flexibility of the dimerization interface, providing a structural basis for favourable heterodimerization.

The PSPC1 and NONO homodimers possess an additional antiparallel two-stranded β -sheet formed by the Nterminal strand of each subunit that clasps both RRM1 and RRM1' in a 2-fold symmetrical arrangement (Figure 2G–I). The β -sheet, hereafter referred to as the β -clasp, generates



Figure 1. Overall structure of the human PSPC1 and NONO homodimers. (A, B) The crystal structure of PSPC1-DBHS (61–320) and NONO-DBHS (53–312) homodimers, respectively. The domains crystallized are indicated schematically: β -clasp (green), RRM1 (red), RRM linker (orange), RRM2 (red), NOPS (blue) and CC (teal). (C) The six NONO dimers (chains AB, CD, EF, GH, IJ and KL) within the asymmetric unit superposed with an average RMSD of 0.46 Å between dimers (514/520 C α -atoms). (D) The 12 NONO chains within the asymmetric unit overlaid. The variable positioning of the distal CC (teal) and the NOPS domain (blue) is indicated with ranges of motion. (E) The two conformers of W271, W₁ (coloured) and W₂ (transparent grey), overlaid and viewed from the dimerization interface between the NOPS domain (blue) and partnered RRM2' (red) and CC (teal). All figures are coloured consistently throughout the manuscript.

a tight hydrophobic core packed against a pair of amphipathic helices at the base of RRM1, $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 2'$. Superposing the β -clasps present in the PSPC1 and NONO homodimers reveals a shifted register and symmetrical twist by 15° of the PSPC1 RRM1 domain (Figure 2I, grey) relative to NONO (Figure 2I, coloured).

DBHS proteins bind nucleic acid with an absolute requirement for RRM1

A hallmark of DBHS protein function is the broad recognition of single-stranded RNA sequences in a variety of cellular processes (1). To assess DBHS protein RNA binding *in vitro*, we performed MST using recombinantly expressed and purified DBHS protein constructs (Figure 3A) to measure binding to fluorescently labelled oligonucleotides (Figure 3B). NONO homodimer shows a preference for G- or U-rich homo-ribopolymers, binding with low micromolar affinity ($K_D = 8.2$ and 10.7 μ M, Figure 3B). In contrast, NONO lacked affinity for C- or Arich homo-ribopolymers with affinity constants >25 μ M. PSPC1-DBHS, in comparison, demonstrated binding to only U-rich homo-ribopolymers ($K_D = 10 \ \mu$ M, Figure 3B). Interestingly, these data are best described using the Hill equation, where the Hill coefficient $(n_{\rm H}) \ge 1$ suggests cooperativity. To test the importance of RRM1 in nucleic acid binding, we truncated NONO-DBHS to remove RRM1 (NONO \triangle RRM1) (Figure 3A). Despite forming a stable dimer containing RRM2 (Supplementary Figure S3), NONO \triangle RRM1 no longer demonstrated any association with the homo-ribopolymer targets (Figure 3B).

To explore the role of RRM1 in binding to highaffinity RNA targets, we tested the reported *in vivo* association between 2'-modified PS-ASOs and NONO (32,33,36). NONO-DBHS bound with low nanomolar affinity to a 2'- α -fluoro-PS-ASO (2'-F-PS-ASO; IO-NIS742093) ($K_D = 40.8$ nM, $n_H = 1.84$) and showed high micromolar affinity for a 2'-cET-PS-ASO (IONIS626823) (Figure 3C), consistent with *in vivo* observations. In contrast, PSPC1-DBHS did not exhibit any significant affinity for either IONIS742093 or IONIOS626823 (Figure 3C). As with binding to homo-ribopolymers, NONO-DBHS binding to IONIS742093 was undetectable in the absence of RRM1 (Figure 3D).

We next wondered whether our structure could inform us on the molecular basis for DBHS protein RNA recognition.



Figure 2. Conformational plasticity of conserved residues at DBHS protein dimer interfaces, including a ' β -clasp' structure. (A–F) The core dimerization interface of crystallized DBHS dimers shown in a ribbon representation projected along the CC domain. Conserved residues are drawn in a stick representation. (G, H) Side-by-side comparison of the NONO and PSPC1 β -clasp. (I) Superposition of the NONO and PSPC1 RRM1 domains relative to the 2-fold rotation axis centred at the β -clasp.



Figure 3. RRM1 is essential for nucleic binding and NONO and PSPC1 homodimers have different nucleic acid specificities. (A) Schematic representation of NONO/PSPC1 constructs used in this study. (B) MST binding curves for NONO/PSPC1 interacting with single-stranded homo-ribonucleic acids. Baseline-corrected normalized fluorescence (ΔF_{NORM}) for polyG (blue) interacting with NONO is plotted on the right and ΔF_{NORM} for polyU/C/A (green/grey/orange) and polyG interacting with PSPC1 on the left against concentration of NONO/PSPC1 in nM. The binding coefficient K_D (μ M) and Hill coefficient (n_H) are summarized in a table. (C) Binding curves for NONO/PSPC1 interacting with 2'-modified ASOs. ΔF_{NORM} is plotted against concentration of NONO/PSPC1 in a table. (D) Binding curves for constructs of NONO interacting with IONIS742093, where ΔF_{NORM} is plotted against concentration of NONO in nM. The binding coefficient K_D (μ M) and Hill coefficient (n_H) are summarized in a table.

Removing the N-terminal β -clasp (NONO $\Delta\beta$ -clasp) and assaying binding to IONIS742093 reduced the K_D to 303 nM without affecting the Hill coefficient ($n_{\rm H} = 1.97$) (Figure 3D). Thus, the β -clasp appears to be required for nucleic acid binding, but not cooperativity in binding. Within RRM2, the $\beta 2-\beta 3$ loops have been suggested as RNA binding due to their remarkably high sequence conservation (17); however, mutation of NONO-DBHS to produce NONO $\Delta\beta 2$ - $\beta 3$ had no effect on binding to IONIS742093 (Figure 3D). To test whether RRM1 could function as an RNA binding domain outside of the context of a dimer, we purified RRM1 and RRM1Δβ-clasp in isolation and observed that neither were sufficient for high-affinity or cooperative binding to IONIS742093 (Figure 3D). Taken together, these data suggest that more than one molecule of nucleic acid is able to associate with the DBHS region cooperatively. Furthermore, RRM1 is necessary but not sufficient for RNA binding, suggesting that NONO must form a functional dimer for high-affinity RNA binding.

Solution scattering of NONO, IONIS742093 and NONO:IONIS742093 complex

To further understand the mechanism of NONO homodimer binding to IONIS742093, we prepared NONO, IO-NIS742093 and the NONO:IONIS742093 complex for SEC-SY-SAXS (48). The raw I(q) versus q scattering data are shown with theoretical scattering curves fitted to the data where appropriate (Figure 4A). The Guinier region and calculated radius of gyration (R_g) for each data set are shown alongside the distance distribution [P(r)], function, maximum dimension (D_{max}) and normalized (dimensionless) Kratky plot (Figure 4B–D). The structural parameters for each sample are summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

NONO homodimers bind ASOs in an elongated complex

The solution scattering of NONO is consistent with a single crystallographically determined homodimer (38)



Figure 4. SAXS analysis of the NONO-DBHS homodimer, IONIS742093 and NONO:IONIS742093 complex. NONO data as published in (38). (A) Raw I(q) scattering data for NONO (green), IONIS742093 (blue) and NONO:IONIS742093 complex (black) plotted against the scattering angle q given in units of inverse Å (error bars, mean \pm SD). The predicted scattering curves derived from models of NONO homodimer (5IFM) and bacterial group II intron (2M57) are overlaid with the NONO and IONIS742093 scattering data, respectively (solid black lines). (B) Guinier plot showing the reciprocal space derived radius of gyration (R_g) given in units of Å and the forward scattering vector, I(0). (C) Pairwise distribution [P(r)] profiles for the three samples plotted against r in units of Å. The real space derived R_g and D_{MAX} are shown in a table. (D) Normalized Kratky plots for the three samples, where the intersection of qR_g^2 and qR_g is denoted by a dashed grey line.

(Figure 4A, χ -value = 1.04). IONIS742093 is a 20nucleotide 5-10-5 'gapmer' PS-ASO (Supplementary Figure S4). Solution scattering of IONIS742093 revealed a reciprocal space R_g of 18.0 Å, a real space R_g of 19.5 Å, a unimodal P(r) function and associated D_{max} of 85 A (Figure 4B and C). These parameters, along with a nonparabolic curve in the normalized Kratky plot, hyperbolic rise in the Porod–Debye plot and plateau in the Kratky– Debye plot (Supplementary Figure S5), are indicative of a highly flexible prolate particle (63). Using the power-law relationships between $Q_{\rm R}$ and particle mass for RNA (56) revealed that IONIS742093 was \sim 14.4 kDa, double the expected monomeric mass of 7.1 kDa (Supplementary Table S1). Searching the Protein Data Bank for structural neighbours identified that IONIS742093 solution scattering was most consistent with the 35-nt stem-loop domain 5 of the bacterial group II intron from Azotobacter vinelandii $(\chi$ -value = 0.94; PDB code: 2M57) (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure S4B). Taken together, the SAXS data for IONIS742093 suggests that the ASO adopts a dimeric structure to produce a prolate particle that is consistent with a 35-nt stem-loop, albeit slightly longer.

Complexing NONO with IONIS742093, eluting over a gel filtration column (Supplementary Figure S6) while collecting SAXS data (Figure 4A), describes a particle with a reciprocal space/real space R_g of 39.3/41.8 Å, a significant increase compared to apo-NONO ($R_g = 28.1 \text{ Å}$) (Supplementary Table S1). Consistent with this, the unimodal P(r) function for NONO:IONIS742093 describes a particle with a D_{max} of 184 A (Figure 4C), which together with the $R_{\rm g}$ suggested an overall globular structure with elongated segments. In contrast to apo-NONO, the normalized Kratky plot for NONO:IONIS742093 reached a maximum at 2.2 $qR_{\rm g}$ gradually converging to zero at higher $qR_{\rm g}$ (Figure 4D), indicative of some degree of flexibility within a relatively compact particle. Further flexibility analysis by use of the Porod–Debye plot illustrated an asymptotic trend to a plateau that is consistent with a compact particle (Supplementary Figure S5). However, complexing NONO with IO-NIS742093 decreased the Porod exponent (P_X) from 4.3 to 3.6 (Supplementary Table S1), which together with the flexibility analysis suggested that the complex possessed some elements of disorder. Determining an approximate molecular mass of the complex using the power-law relationship for protein (56) gave a mass range of 84.0–86.4 kDa, suggestive of four IONIS742093 molecules per NONO homodimer (Supplementary Table S1). Taken together, the SAXS reciprocal and real space structural parameters describe the NONO:IONIS742093 complex as four copies of IONIS742093 associated with a NONO homodimer in an elongated biphasic particle.

Modelling the NONO:IONIS742093 complex

To better understand the solution state of the NONO:IONIS742093 complex, we modelled the complex assuming two copies of the 35-nt stem-loop domain 5 of the bacterial group II intron (PDB code: 2M57) appropriately described IONIS742093. A single crystallographic NONO homodimer (Figure 5A) defined as a rigid body with two copies of 2M57 converged on a solution that fit the data poorly ($\chi = 2.41$). Given the observed cooperativity and requirement for RRM1, we reasoned that RRM1 might undergo some rigid body motion to accommodate the nucleic acid. To model this, a restraint of 5.0-7.5 Å was used to describe the flexible linker between RRM1 and RRM2 (Figure 5B). A good rigid body fit to the NONO:IONIS742093 data was obtained that described an overall compression of the dimer where RRM1 and RRM1' shift laterally and upwards from under the core of the dimer ($\chi = 1.69$) (Figure 5B). Consistent but independent of this observation, ab initio reconstruction produced a molecular envelope characterized by a globular core with elongated segments ($\chi = 1.49$) (Figure 5B). Taken together, these data are in good agreement with a solution structure that describes a reorientation of the RRM1 domains to accommodate the duplexed IONIS742093 associating above the β -sheet surface of RRM1.

DISCUSSION

Human DBHS paralogues are involved in almost every step of gene regulation, often sharing overlapping functions. However, emerging evidence suggests that the individual DBHS paralogues have non-redundant roles in both their transcriptional and post-transcriptional activity (12,64). Thus, in spite of their remarkable similarity, the functional context of a DBHS dimer may, in part, be dictated by the dimerization state. Consistent with this, the relative abundance of SFPQ, NONO or PSPC1 varies with cell type and this in turn influences dimer partitioning (65,66). Our study describes the X-ray crystal structures of homodimeric NONO and PSPC1 and provides novel insights into the nature of combinatorial DBHS protein dimerization and RNA binding.

The structures of homodimeric PSPC1 and NONO are broadly similar to their heterodimeric counterpart. However, key differences are the relative conformations of the RRM1 and CC, and the presence of an N-terminal β -clasp. The presence of the well-ordered β -clasp is strikingly different from the disorder seen in the N-terminal residues of

the PSPC1/NONO heterodimer (16) and the short α -helix within the SFPO homodimer (18). As a result of the Nterminal antiparallel β-clasp and the C-terminal antiparallel arrangement of the CC domains, the PSPC1 and NONO homodimers are intertwined to an extent that there is a full 360° left-handed twist of one chain around the other. With such an extensive interaction interface, it is quite remarkable that DBHS proteins are able to readily exchange dimerization partner in a cellular context. One clue as to the variable regions involved in partner swapping lies in the suite of dimers within the NONO crystal structure (Figure 1). These alternative NONO conformations reveal a structural dynamic in the positioning of the NOPS domain and the distal CC'. In NONO, the subtle conformational variability within the core dimerization interface was correlated with the two alternative conformations (W-1 and W-2) adopted by W271. It was previously noted that the structural plasticity of this region may drive the observed preference for heterodimerization in DBHS proteins (14,16). Examining the dimerization interface of each DBHS dimer state reveals that PSPC1/NONO possesses an asymmetric complementarity in its electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions within the dimerization interface, contacts that are absent in the homodimeric structures (Figure 2). Furthermore, the sequences of NONO and SFPQ, coupled with the homodimeric crystal structures, would suggest that a heterodimer formed between NONO and SFPO would have a more energetically favourable interface than the individual homodimers. Collectively, these structural observations lead us to hypothesize that the core set of contacts in the dimerization interface, coupled with varying flexibility, engenders a preference for heterodimerization in DBHS proteins. While this is consistent with observations in vitro and in vivo, the $K_{\rm D}$ values for only some interacting DBHS protein pairs are known [the SFPQ homo- and heterodimers (14)]. However, we note that the extended CC and low-complexity domains may well influence the propensity to form homo- or heterodimers. Furthermore, post-translation modifications, interaction partner and relative expression levels could all influence dimerization.

The structural variability of the dimerization interface in the six independent copies of the NONO homodimer in the crystal structure may indicate how structural plasticity can drive DBHS dimers to readily exchange partner. Interestingly, the broad flexibility of the NONO homodimer appears to be destabilizing given that in the absence of L-proline, NONO rapidly aggregates and precipitates (38). The crystal structure of the NONO homodimer illustrates that L-proline is involved in a site-specific interaction with F218 of RRM2 (Supplementary Figure S2), where it potentially limits the local conformational variability and stabilizes the protein. Alternatively, L-proline may be acting in a more generalized capacity as a cosmotrope where it modulates the ordered shell of water molecules solubilizing a protein (67,68). However, a closer examination of the Lproline binding pocket reveals the proximity of two highly conserved glutamate residues (E297 and E301) within the distal CC' that do not contribute to the dimerization interface. It is tempting to suggest that the 'groove' occupied by L-proline may serve as an interaction site for nucleic acid or other cofactors that influence dimerization.



Figure 5. Solution structure of the NONO:IONIS742093 complex derived from SAXS data. (A) The X-ray crystal structure of a single NONO homodimer illustrated over two orthogonal perspectives highlighting the positions of RRM1 and RRM1'. (B) *Ab initio* reconstructions of the NONO:IONIS742093 complex calculated from SAXS data shown as a grey molecular envelope over two orthogonal perspectives. Superposed is a rigid body model for the NONO:IONIS742093 complex derived from SAXS data. The NONO homodimer is coloured green and the model for IONIS742093 (2M57) coloured blue and orange. The distances between the CCs, β -clasp and RRMs are shown to highlight the dimer compression upon binding. (C) Fit of refined model (red) to data (circles).

Novel insights into DBHS protein nucleic acid binding

Consistent with previous observations (23,25,37,69,70), we demonstrated that both PSPC1 and NONO have a broad specificity for single-stranded RNA but exhibit the greatest affinity for G- and U-rich sequences. Notably, we demonstrate that the interaction with simple homo-ribopolymers requires the canonical RRM1 and, when present, binding occurs in a cooperative manner, suggesting that a NONO

homodimer accommodates two or more RNAs at dependent binding sites. The binding events are relatively weak when compared to other RNA binding proteins that use a canonical RRM (71). The low affinity could be explained by the absence of the CC oligomerization domain that, when present, may increase the avidity for unstructured nucleic acids (18). However, we do observe that NONO binds with strong affinity to 2'-F-PS-ASOs, consistent with previous observations (32,33). Additionally, we have shown that this interaction, like the homo-ribopolymers, is dependent on the presence of RRM1. Furthermore, when given the same nucleotide sequence with 2'-cEt modifications, the binding affinity was markedly lower, suggesting that the high affinity is provided by the 2'-F modification.

Our *in vitro* measurements show more extreme discrimination between 2'-F and 2'-cEt PS-ASOs, and also between intact and Δ RRM1 variants of NONO, than those observed from HeLa cell extracts using a quantitative BRET assay (36). A likely cause for the lower discrimination in cell extracts is the presence of wild-type DBHS proteins, which can all dimerize and oligomerize with overexpressed proteins *in vivo*.

Our data indicate that the 2'-F-PS-ASO exists as a structured duplex in solution. Secondary structure predictions using the nucleotide sequence indicated that some secondary structure may exist; however, it is well known that 2'-F modifications have a stabilizing effect on base stacking and Watson–Crick base pairing (72,73). Thus, it is possible that the binding of the NONO homodimer to the 2'-F-ASO is in fact dependent on its structure, stabilized by the presence of 2'-F modifications, rather than any inherent affinity for 2'-F modifications. Interestingly, our binding studies indicate that RRM1 is required for the interaction with the structured oligonucleotide. As described earlier, the first RRM in DBHS proteins strongly resembles a canonical single-stranded RNA binding domain (16,17,71). Thus, it is likely that a proximal domain (e.g. NOPS and RRM2) contributes to the recognition of secondary structure.

SEC-SY-SAXS-derived models of the NONO homodimer in complex with 2'-F-ASO describe a NONO homodimer forming a 1:2 complex with duplexed 2'-F-ASO. The solution structures reveal the duplexed oligonucleotide associating above the highly conserved β -sheet surface of RRM1, consistent with the binding data. The association above the β -sheet surface of RRM1 requires that RRM1 and RRM1' shift laterally from under the core of the dimer. It should be noted that the χ -values deviate from 1.0, which may be attributed to the use of rigid bodies in modelling and that modelling was carried out against low-resolution solution data. Nevertheless, the fit to the data was improved by allowing for the subtle domain rearrangement of the N-terminal RRM1. The NONO and PSPC1 homodimers possess a novel N-terminal β -clasp that, to facilitate the RRM1 movement, must presumably 'unlock' for RRM1 to shift out from under the core to allow the re-arrangement necessary to accommodate the ASO. The implication that an N-terminal DBHS region might regulate nucleic acid binding ability has been noted for SFPQ (26,74). The N-terminal DBD of SFPQ associates with double-stranded DNA. However, upon RNA binding to potentially RRM1 or RRM2, SFPQ is released from double-stranded DNA, perhaps by a similar allosteric effect that translates to the DBD (18,26,74). Furthermore, posttranslational modifications in the N-terminus of NONO do influence RNA binding (23), perhaps by fixing the Nterminal RRMs in a locked state. Interestingly, the movement of the N-terminal RRMs out from beneath the dimer core brings them closer to the highly conserved $\beta 2-\beta 3 \log \beta$ of RRM2. Interestingly, quasi-RRMs, those that lack any conserved aromatic residues on the β -sheet surface, can employ the $\beta 1-\alpha 1$, $\beta 2-\beta 3$ and/or $\alpha 2-\beta 4$ loops for RNA interaction (71). While it is appealing to suggest that the highly conserved DBHS $\beta 2-\beta 3$ loops may interact with grooves within the structured RNA, similar to RBMY (75), further investigation is required to define the role of this loop. These observations are in agreement with Vickers and Crooke (36) who demonstrated that both NONO Δ RRM1 and NONO Δ RRM2 variants demonstrated reduced ASO binding *in vivo*, whereas RRM1 showed much tighter binding than RRM2 to 2'-F-PS-ASO (76), although we note that the deletion of RRM2 has such a catastrophic effect on the essential dimerization of a DBHS protein that it cannot be considered a functional protein.

The reported interaction between NONO and 2'-F-PS-ASOs leads to a reduction in the nuclear abundance of NONO, likely due to targeted protein degradation (33). While the binding of the 2'-F-PS-ASOs to the NONO homodimer described in this study did not appear to compromise the integrity of the dimer, it is possible that the largescale nuclear mRNP aggregates formed with ASO treatment elicit a defensive response to mitigate the formation of pathological aggregates, or that PS-ASO binding may affect the NONO interaction with other partner proteins (77). Ultimately, further investigation is required to explore what effect 2'-F-ASO binding to NONO containing dimers has within a cellular context.

The X-ray crystal structures described herein provide further evidence for conformational variability within DBHS protein dimer states. Specifically, we have now observed the N-terminal RRM, NOPS domain and distal CC in different conformations. While the consequences of the inferred domain and side chain motions are unclear, cumulative evidence would suggest that the motion is linked to dimerization propensity. This work also presents the first structural study that details the roles of RRM1 and RRM2 in DBHS nucleic acid interaction. The NONO homodimers form a highly specific 1:2 complex with duplexed ASOs, undergoing a subtle conformational change that facilitates cooperativity and simultaneous recognition of nucleic acid above the canonical RRM1. These data make significant contributions to our understanding of nucleic acid interactions and coupled with the X-ray crystal structures of the PSPC1 and NONO homodimers pave the way to explore the interactions further.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The atomic coordinates and structure factors for the NONO homodimer and PSPC1 homodimer have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under the accession codes 5IFM and 5IFN, respectively. SAXS data and fits were deposited at SASBDB under the accession codes SASDMR6, SASDMS6, SASDMT6 and project 1489.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

G.J.K. acknowledges support from the Hackett Postgraduate Scholarship from the University of Western Australia. Y.S.C. acknowledges support from the Scholarship for International Research Fees and the University Postgraduate Award from the University of Western Australia. A.C.M. acknowledges support from the Clifford Bradley Robertson and Gwendoline Florence Anne Robertson Research Endowment Fund, established through Dr Glen Robertson's bequest to The University of Western Australia. We are grateful to Paul Attwood for helpful discussions, Santosh Panjikar for assistance with data collection at the MX2 beamline of the Australian Synchrotron, and Nathan Cowieson and Nigel Kirby for assistance with data collection at the SAXS/WAXS beamline. Author contributions: G.J.K., A.H.F. and C.S.B. designed the study. G.J.K. prepared and crystallized the NONO homodimer, collected crystallographic X-ray data and determined the crystal structure with support from M.R.C., M.L. and C.S.B. D.M.P. prepared and crystallized the PSPC1 homodimer, collected crystallographic X-ray data and determined the crystal structure with support from M.L. and C.S.B. G.J.K. refined, validated and submitted all structures to the Protein Data Bank. G.J.K. and Y.S.C. purified proteins and carried out MST experiments. G.J.K. prepared samples, collected and analysed SAXS data with support from C.S.B. G.J.K., Y.S.C. and A.C.M. drafted the manuscript, and all authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

FUNDING

National Health Medical and Research Coun-[APP1147496]; Australian Research Council cil [DP160102435. LE120100092, LE140100096 and FT180100204]. Funding for open access charge: University of Western Australia.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Knott,G.J., Bond,C.S. and Fox,A.H. (2016) The DBHS proteins SFPQ, NONO and PSPC1: a multipurpose molecular scaffold. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 44, 3989–4004.
- Yarosh,C.A., Iacona,J.R., Lutz,C.S. and Lynch,K.W. (2015) PSF: nuclear busy-body or nuclear facilitator? *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA*, 6, 351–367.
- Liu,P.Y., Erriquez,D., Marshall,G.M., Tee,A.E., Polly,P., Wong,M., Liu,B., Bell,J.L., Zhang,X.D., Milazzo,G. *et al.* (2014) Effects of a novel long noncoding RNA, IncUSMycN, on N-Myc expression and neuroblastoma progression. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, **106**, dju113.
- Desai,S.S., Kharade,S.S., Parekh,V.I., Iyer,S. and Agarwal,S.K. (2015) Pro-oncogenic roles of HLXB9 protein in insulinoma cells through interaction with Nono protein and down-regulation of the c-Met inhibitor Cblb (Casitas B-lineage lymphoma b). J. Biol. Chem., 290, 25595–25608.
- Zhu,Z., Zhao,X., Zhao,L., Yang,H., Liu,L., Li,J., Wu,J., Yang,F., Huang,G. and Liu,J. (2016) p54/NONO regulates lipid metabolism and breast cancer growth through SREBP-1A. *Oncogene*, 35, 1399–1410.
- Kula,A., Gharu,L. and Marcello,A. (2013) HIV-1 pre-mRNA commitment to Rev mediated export through PSF and Matrin 3. *Virology*, 435, 329–340.
- Cao,S., Moss,W., O'Grady,T., Concha,M., Strong,M.J., Wang,X., Yu,Y., Baddoo,M., Zhang,K., Fewell,C. *et al.* (2015) New noncoding lytic transcripts derived from the Epstein–Barr virus latency origin of replication, oriP, are hyperedited, bind the paraspeckle protein, NONO/p54^{nrb}, and support viral lytic transcription. *J. Virol.*, **89**, 7120–7132.

- Gelais, C.S., Roger, J. and Wu, L. (2015) Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein negatively regulates HIV-1 infection in CD4⁺ T cells. *AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses*, **31**, 806–816.
- Lahaye,X., Gentili,M., Silvin,A., Conrad,C., Picard,L., Jouve,M., Zueva,E., Maurin,M., Nadalin,F., Knott,G.J. *et al.* (2018) NONO detects the nuclear HIV capsid to promote cGAS-mediated innate immune activation. *Cell*, **175**, 488–501.
- Nishimoto, Y., Nakagawa, S., Hirose, T., Okano, H.J., Takao, M., Shibata, S., Suyama, S., Kuwako, K., Imai, T., Murayama, S. *et al.* (2013) The long non-coding RNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1_2 induces paraspeckle formation in the motor neuron during the early phase of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Mol. Brain*, 6, 31.
- Yadav,S.P., Hao,H., Yang,H.J., Kautzmann,M.A., Brooks,M., Nellissery,J., Klocke,B., Seifert,M. and Swaroop,A. (2014) The transcription-splicing protein NonO/p54^{nrb} and three NonO-interacting proteins bind to distal enhancer region and augment rhodopsin expression. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, 23, 2132–2144.
- Mircsof, D., Langouet, M., Rio, M., Moutton, S., Siquier-Pernet, K., Bole-Feysot, C., Cagnard, N., Nitschke, P., Gaspar, L., Znidaric, M. *et al.* (2015) Mutations in NONO lead to syndromic intellectual disability and inhibitory synaptic defects. *Nat. Neurosci.*, 18, 1731–1736.
- Thomas-Jinu,S., Gordon,P.M., Fielding,T., Taylor,R., Smith,B.N., Snowden,V., Blanc,E., Vance,C., Topp,S., Wong,C.-H. *et al.* (2017) Non-nuclear pool of splicing factor SFPQ regulates axonal transcripts required for normal motor development. *Neuron*, 94, 322–336.
- Huang, J., Casas Garcia, G.P., Perugini, M.A., Fox, A.H., Bond, C.S. and Lee, M. (2018) Crystal structure of a SFPQ/PSPC1 heterodimer provides insights into preferential heterodimerization of human DBHS family proteins. J. Biol. Chem., 293, 6593–6602.
- Sun,H., Han,L., Zhang,X., Hao,X., Zhou,X., Pan,R., Zhang,H. and He,Y. (2020) Case report: characterization of a novel NONO intronic mutation in a fetus with X-linked syndromic mental retardation-34. *Front. Genet.*, 11, 1459.
- 16. Passon, D.M., Lee, M., Rackham, O., Stanley, W.A., Sadowska, A., Filipovska, A., Fox, A.H. and Bond, C.S. (2012) Structure of the heterodimer of human NONO and paraspeckle protein component 1 and analysis of its role in subnuclear body formation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **109**, 4846–4850.
- Knott, G.J., Lee, M., Passon, D.M., Fox, A.H. and Bond, C.S. (2015) Caenorhabditis elegans NONO-1: insights into DBHS protein structure, architecture and function. *Protein Sci.*, 24, 2033–2043.
- Lee, M., Sadowska, A., Bekere, I., Ho, D., Gully, B.S., Lu, Y., Iyer, K.S., Trewhella, J., Fox, A.H. and Bond, C.S. (2015) The structure of human SFPQ reveals a coiled-coil mediated polymer essential for functional aggregation in gene regulation. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43, 3826–3840.
- Hewage, T.W., Caria, S. and Lee, M. (2019) A new crystal structure and small-angle X-ray scattering analysis of the homodimer of human SFPQ. Acta Crystallogr. F: Struct. Biol. Commun., 75, 439–449.
- Patton, J.G., Porro, E.B., Galceran, J., Tempst, P. and Nadal-Ginard, B. (1993) Cloning and characterization of PSF, a novel pre-mRNA splicing factor. *Genes Dev.*, 7, 393–406.
- Yang, Y.S., Hanke, J.H., Carayannopoulos, L., Craft, C.M., Capra, J.D. and Tucker, P.W. (1993) NonO, a non-POU-domain-containing, octamer-binding protein, is the mammalian homolog of *Drosophila* nonAdiss. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 13, 5593–5603.
- Zhang, W.W., Zhang, L.X., Busch, R.K., Farres, J. and Busch, H. (1993) Purification and characterization of a DNA-binding heterodimer of 52 and 100 kDa from HeLa cells. *Biochem. J.*, 290, 267–272.
- Bruelle, C., Bedard, M., Blier, S., Gauthier, M., Traish, A.M. and Vincent, M. (2011) The mitotic phosphorylation of p54^{nrb} modulates its RNA binding activity. *Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 89, 423–433.
- Kameoka,S., Duque,P. and Konarska,M.M. (2004) P54^{nrb} associates with the 5' splice site within large transcription/splicing complexes. *EMBO J.*, 23, 1782–1791.
- Peng, R., Dye, B.T., Perez, I., Barnard, D.C., Thompson, A.B. and Patton, J.G. (2002) PSF and p54^{nrb} bind a conserved stem in U5 snRNA. *RNA*, 8, 1334–1347.
- Song,X., Sun,Y. and Garen,A. (2005) Roles of PSF protein and VL30 RNA in reversible gene regulation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 102, 12189–12193.

- Hirose, T., Virnicchi, G., Tanigawa, A., Naganuma, T., Li, R., Kimura, H., Yokoi, T., Nakagawa, S., Benard, M., Fox, A.H. *et al.* (2014) NEAT1 long noncoding RNA regulates transcription via protein sequestration within subnuclear bodies. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 25, 169–183.
- Imamura,K., Imamachi,N., Akizuki,G., Kumakura,M., Kawaguchi,A., Nagata,K., Kato,A., Kawaguchi,Y., Sato,H., Yoneda,M. *et al.* (2014) Long noncoding RNA NEAT1-dependent SFPQ relocation from promoter region to paraspeckle mediates IL8 expression upon immune stimuli. *Mol. Cell*, 53, 393–406.
- Bond,C.S. and Fox,A.H. (2009) Paraspeckles: nuclear bodies built on long noncoding RNA. J. Cell Biol., 186, 637–644.
- Fox,A.H., Nakagawa,S., Hirose,T. and Bond,C.S. (2018) Paraspeckles: where long noncoding RNA meets phase separation. *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 43, 124–135.
- 31. Ji,Q., Zhang,L., Liu,X., Zhou,L., Wang,W., Han,Z., Sui,H., Tang,Y., Wang,Y., Liu,N. *et al.* (2014) Long non-coding RNA MALAT1 promotes tumour growth and metastasis in colorectal cancer through binding to SFPQ and releasing oncogene PTBP2 from SFPQ/PTBP2 complex. *Br. J. Cancer*, **111**, 736–748.
- Shen, W., Liang, X.H. and Crooke, S.T. (2014) Phosphorothioate oligonucleotides can displace NEAT1 RNA and form nuclear paraspeckle-like structures. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 42, 8648–8662.
- Shen, W., Liang, X.H., Sun, H. and Crooke, S.T. (2015)
 2'-Fluoro-modified phosphorothioate oligonucleotide can cause rapid degradation of P54^{nrb} and PSF. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43, 4569–4578.
- 34. Shen, W., De Hoyos, C.L., Sun, H., Vickers, T.A., Liang, X.-H. and Crooke, S.T. (2018) Acute hepatotoxicity of 2' fluoro-modified 5-10-5 gapmer phosphorothioate oligonucleotides in mice correlates with intracellular protein binding and the loss of DBHS proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 46, 2204–2217.
- 35. Hyjek-Składanowska, M., Vickers, T.A., Napiórkowska, A., Anderson, B.A., Tanowitz, M., Crooke, S.T., Liang, X.-H., Seth, P.P. and Nowotny, M. (2020) Origins of the increased affinity of phosphorothioate-modified therapeutic nucleic acids for proteins. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 142, 7456–7468.
- 36. Vickers, T.A. and Crooke, S.T. (2016) Development of a quantitative BRET affinity assay for nucleic acid–protein interactions. *PLoS One*, **11**, e0161930.
- Duvignaud, J.-B., Bédard, M., Nagata, T., Muto, Y., Yokoyama, S., Gagné, S.M. and Vincent, M. (2016) Structure, dynamics, and interaction of p54^{nrb}/NonO RRM1 with 5' splice site RNA sequence. *Biochemistry*, 55, 2553–2566.
- Knott,G.J., Panjikar,S., Thorn,A., Fox,A.H., Conte,M.R., Lee,M. and Bond,C.S. (2016) A crystallographic study of human NONO (p54^{nrb}): overcoming pathological problems with purification, data collection and noncrystallographic symmetry. *Acta Crystallogr. D*, 72, 761–769.
- McPhillips, T.M., McPhillips, S.E., Chiu, H.-J., Cohen, A.E., Deacon, A.M., Ellis, P.J., Garman, E., Gonzalez, A., Sauter, N.K., Phizackerley, R.P. *et al.* (2002) Blu-Ice and the distributed control system: software for data acquisition and instrument control at macromolecular crystallography beamlines. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*, 9, 401–406.
- 40. Aragão, D., Aishima, J., Cherukuvada, H., Clarken, R., Clift, M., Cowieson, N.P., Ericsson, D.J., Gee, C.L., Macedo, S., Mudie, N. *et al.* (2018) MX2: a high-flux undulator microfocus beamline serving both the chemical and macromolecular crystallography communities at the Australian Synchrotron. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*, **25**, 885–891.
- 41. Kabsch, W. (2010) XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D: Biol. Crystallogr., 66, 125–132.
- Evans, P. (2006) Scaling and assessment of data quality. Acta Crystallogr. D: Biol. Crystallogr., 62, 72–82.
- McCoy,A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve,R.W., Adams,P.D., Winn,M.D., Storoni,L.C. and Read,R.J. (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 40, 658–674.
- Matthews, B.W. (1968) Solvent content of protein crystals. J. Mol. Biol., 33, 491–497.
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G. and Cowtan, K. (2010) Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D: Biol. Crystallogr.*, 66, 486–501.
- Blanc, E., Roversi, P., Vonrhein, C., Flensburg, C., Lea, S.M. and Bricogne, G. (2004) Refinement of severely incomplete structures with

maximum likelihood in BUSTER-TNT. Acta Crystallogr. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 60, 2210–2221.

- Chen, V.B., Arendall, W.B., Headd, J.J., Keedy, D.A., Immormino, R.M., Kapral, G.J., Murray, L.W., Richardson, J.S. and Richardson, D.C. (2010) MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D: Biol. Crystallogr.*, 66, 12–21.
- Gully,B.S., Cowieson,N., Stanley,W.A., Shearston,K., Small,I.D., Barkan,A. and Bond,C.S. (2015) The solution structure of the pentatricopeptide repeat protein PPR10 upon binding atpH RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43, 1918–1926.
- Kirby, N.M., Mudie, S.T., Hawley, A.M., Cookson, D.J., Mertens, H.D.T., Cowieson, N. and Samardzic-Boban, V. (2013) A low-background-intensity focusing small-angle X-ray scattering undulator beamline. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 46, 1670–1680.
- Brookes, E., Perez, J., Cardinali, B., Profumo, A., Vachette, P. and Rocco, M. (2013) Fibrinogen species as resolved by HPLC–SAXS data processing within the UltraScan Solution Modeler (US-SOMO) enhanced SAS module. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 46, 1823–1833.
- Petoukhov, M.V., Franke, D., Shkumatov, A.V., Tria, G., Kikhney, A.G., Gajda, M., Gorba, C., Mertens, H.D.T., Konarev, P.V. and Svergun, D.I. (2012) New developments in the ATSAS program package for small-angle scattering data analysis. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 45, 342–350.
- Konarev, P.V., Volkov, V.V., Sokolova, A.V., Koch, M.H.J. and Svergun, D.I. (2003) PRIMUS: a Windows PC-based system for small-angle scattering data analysis. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 36, 1277–1282.
- Semenyuk, A.V. and Svergun, D.I. (1991) Gnom: a program package for small-angle scattering data processing. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 24, 537–540.
- Svergun, D., Barberato, C. and Koch, M.H.J. (1995) CRYSOL: a program to evaluate X-ray solution scattering of biological macromolecules from atomic coordinates. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 28, 768–773.
- Kikhney, A.G., Panjkovich, A., Sokolova, A.V. and Svergun, D.I. (2016) DARA: a web server for rapid search of structural neighbours using solution small angle X-ray scattering data. *Bioinformatics*, 32, 616–618.
- Rambo, R.P. and Tainer, J.A. (2013) Accurate assessment of mass, models and resolution by small-angle scattering. *Nature*, 496, 477–481.
- Kikhney,A.G., Borges,C.R., Molodenskiy,D.S., Jeffries,C.M. and Svergun,D.I. (2020) SASBDB: towards an automatically curated and validated repository for biological scattering data. *Protein Sci.*, 29, 66–75.
- Franke, D. and Svergun, D.I. (2009) DAMMIF, a program for rapid ab-initio shape determination in small-angle scattering. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 42, 342–346.
- Petoukhov, M.V. and Svergun, D.I. (2005) Global rigid body modeling of macromolecular complexes against small-angle scattering data. *Biophys. J.*, 89, 1237–1250.
- Bond,C.S. (2003) Easy editing of Protein Data Bank formatted files with EMACS. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 36, 350–351.
- Lee, M., Passon, D.M., Hennig, S., Fox, A.H. and Bond, C.S. (2011) Construct optimization for studying protein complexes: obtaining diffraction-quality crystals of the pseudosymmetric PSPC1–NONO heterodimer. *Acta Crystallogr. D*, 67, 981–987.
- Passon,D.M., Lee,M., Fox,A.H. and Bond,C.S. (2011) Crystallization of a paraspeckle protein PSPC1–NONO heterodimer. *Acta Crystallogr. F*, 67, 1231–1234.
- Receveur-Brechot, V. and Durand, D. (2012) How random are intrinsically disordered proteins? A small angle scattering perspective. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.*, 13, 55–75.
 Gao, X., Kong, L., Lu, X., Zhang, G., Chi, L., Jiang, Y., Wu, Y., Yan, C.,
- 64. Gao,X., Kong,L., Lu,X., Zhang,G., Chi,L., Jiang,Y., Wu,Y., Yan,C., Duerksen-Hughes,P., Zhu,X. *et al.* (2014) Paraspeckle protein 1 (PSPC1) is involved in the cisplatin induced DNA damage response—role in G1/S checkpoint. *PLoS One*, 9, e97174.
- 65. Fox,A.H., Bond,C.S. and Lamond,A.I. (2005) P54^{nrb} forms a heterodimer with PSP1 that localizes to paraspeckles in an RNA-dependent manner. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 16, 5304–5315.
- 66. Kuwahara,S., Ikei,A., Taguchi,Y., Tabuchi,Y., Fujimoto,N., Obinata,M., Uesugi,S. and Kurihara,Y. (2006) PSPC1, NONO, and SFPQ are expressed in mouse Sertoli cells and may function as

coregulators of androgen receptor-mediated transcription. *Biol. Reprod.*, **75**, 352–359.

- 67. Ignatova,Z. and Gierasch,L.M. (2006) Inhibition of protein aggregation *in vitro* and *in vivo* by a natural osmoprotectant. *Proc.* Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **103**, 13357–13361.
- Wallace, V.P., Ferachou, D., Ke, P., Day, K., Uddin, S., Casas-Finet, J., Van Der Walle, C.F., Falconer, R.J. and Zeitler, J.A. (2015) Modulation of the hydration water around monoclonal antibodies on addition of excipients detected by terahertz time-domain spectroscopy. J. Pharm. Sci., 104, 4025–4033.
- Basu,A., Dong,B., Krainer,A.R. and Howe,C.C. (1997) The intracisternal A-particle proximal enhancer-binding protein activates transcription and is identical to the RNA- and DNA-binding protein p54^{nrb}/NonO. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 17, 677–686.
- Murthy, U.M. and Rangarajan, P.N. (2010) Identification of protein interaction regions of VINC/NEAT1/Men epsilon RNA. *FEBS Lett.*, 584, 1531–1535.
- Clery, A., Blatter, M. and Allain, F.H. (2008) RNA recognition motifs: boring? Not quite. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 18, 290–298.
- 72. Patra,A., Paolillo,M., Charisse,K., Manoharan,M., Rozners,E. and Egli,M. (2012) 2'-Fluoro RNA shows increased Watson–Crick H-bonding strength and stacking relative to RNA: evidence from

NMR and thermodynamic data. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 51, 11863–11866.

- Watts,J.K., Martin-Pintado,N., Gomez-Pinto,I., Schwartzentruber,J., Portella,G., Orozco,M., Gonzalez,C. and Damha,M.J. (2010) Differential stability of 2'F-ANA*RNA and ANA*RNA hybrid duplexes: roles of structure, pseudohydrogen bonding, hydration, ion uptake and flexibility. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 38, 2498–2511.
- 74. Song,X., Sui,A. and Garen,A. (2004) Binding of mouse VL30 retrotransposon RNA to PSF protein induces genes repressed by PSF: effects on steroidogenesis and oncogenesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* U.S.A., 101, 621–626.
- Skrisovska, L., Bourgeois, C.F., Stefl, R., Grellscheid, S.N., Kister, L., Wenter, P., Elliott, D.J., Stevenin, J. and Allain, F.H.T. (2007) The testis-specific human protein RBMY recognizes RNA through a novel mode of interaction. *EMBO Rep.*, 8, 372–379.
- Vickers, T.A., Rahdar, M., Prakash, T.P. and Crooke, S.T. (2019) Kinetic and subcellular analysis of PS-ASO/protein interactions with P54^{nrb} and RNase H1. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 47, 10865–10880.
- 77. Zhang, L., Vickers, T.A., Sun, H., Liang, X. and Crooke, S.T. (2021) Binding of phosphorothioate oligonucleotides with RNase H1 can cause conformational changes in the protein and alter the interactions of RNase H1 with other proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 49, 2721–2739.