

G OPEN ACCESS

 $\label{eq:citation: Chua HC, Absalom NL, Hanrahan JR, Viswas R, Chebib M (2015) The Direct Actions of GABA, 2'-Methoxy-6-Methylflavone and General Anaesthetics at <math>\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA, Receptors: Evidence for Receptors with Different Subunit Stoichiometries. PLoS ONE 10(10): e0141359. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0141359

Editor: Uwe Rudolph, McLean Hospital/ Harvard Medical School, UNITED STATES

Received: August 26, 2015

Accepted: October 7, 2015

Published: October 23, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Chua et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (<u>https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/</u>), Grant APP1081733. The grant recipient is MC. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Direct Actions of GABA, 2'-Methoxy-6-Methylflavone and General Anaesthetics at $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_A Receptors: Evidence for Receptors with Different Subunit Stoichiometries

Han Chow Chua, Nathan L. Absalom, Jane R. Hanrahan, Raja Viswas, Mary Chebib*

Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

* mary.collins@sydney.edu.au

Abstract

2'-Methoxy-6-methylflavone (2'MeO6MF) is an anxiolytic flavonoid which has been shown to display GABA_A receptor (GABA_AR) β 2/3-subunit selectivity, a pharmacological profile similar to that of the general anaesthetic etomidate. Electrophysiological studies suggest that the full agonist action of 2'MeO6MF at α2β3y2L GABA₄Rs may mediate the flavonoid's in vivo effects. However, we found variations in the relative efficacy of 2'MeO6MF (2'MeO6MF-elicited current responses normalised to the maximal GABA response) at $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs due to the presence of mixed receptor populations. To understand which receptor subpopulation(s) underlie the variations observed, we conducted a systematic investigation of 2'MeO6MF activity at all receptor combinations that could theoretically form ($\alpha 2$, $\beta 3$, $\gamma 2L$, $\alpha 2\beta 3$, $\alpha 2\gamma 2L$, $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ and $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$) in *Xenopus* oocytes using the twoelectrode voltage clamp technique. We found that 2'MeO6MF activated non-α-containing β3γ2L receptors. In an attempt to establish the optimal conditions to express a uniform population of these receptors, we found that varying the relative amounts of β 3:y2L subunit mRNAs resulted in differences in the level of constitutive activity, the GABA concentrationresponse relationships, and the relative efficacy of 2'MeO6MF activation. Like 2'MeO6MF, general anaesthetics such as etomidate and propofol also showed distinct levels of relative efficacy across different injection ratios. Based on these results, we infer that β3y2L receptors may form with different subunit stoichiometries, resulting in the complex pharmacology observed across different injection ratios. Moreover, the discovery that GABA and etomidate have direct actions at the α -lacking $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors raises questions about the structural requirements for their respective binding sites at GABA_ARs.

Introduction

 γ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors (GABA_ARs) are a ubiquitous class of inhibitory receptors with high physiological significance in the central nervous system (CNS). Structurally, GABA_ARs are made up of five pseudo-symmetrically arranged subunits surrounding a central anion-conducting pore. While a vast array of receptor combinations could theoretically form from the large number of known GABA_AR subunits (α 1–6, β 1–3, γ 1–3, δ , ε , θ and π), most native GABA_AR subtypes are made up of α , β and γ subunits, and to a smaller extent α , β and δ [1]. Receptors of different subunit composition have distinct distribution patterns, physiological roles, as well as electrophysiological and pharmacological properties [2–5].

GABA_ARs are the site of action for many clinically-relevant chemicals such as benzodiazepines, barbiturates and anaesthetics. These drugs typically bind to different interfaces between two neighbouring subunits that form the principal (+) and complementary (–) components of their binding sites. In the most common GABA_AR isoform $\alpha\beta\gamma$ with a stoichiometry of $2\alpha:2\beta:1\gamma$ and a counter-clockwise arrangement of γ - β - α - β - α when viewed from the synaptic cleft [<u>6</u>–<u>8</u>], the extracellular domain of the β + α – and the α + γ – interfaces are known to harbour the GABA and benzodiazepine binding sites respectively [<u>9</u>–<u>11</u>]. In contrast, general anaesthetics such as etomidate and propofol have been shown to bind to the transmembrane domain of the β + α – interface [<u>11</u>–<u>13</u>]. High prominence has been given to the β + α – and α + γ – interfaces due to the successful clinical utilisation of GABA_AR modulators that bind to these interfaces. The pharmaceutical potential of the remaining α + β – and γ + β – interfaces is also an area of active research [<u>14</u>–<u>18</u>].

Heterologously expressing a single defined GABA_AR subtype to evaluate the structure-activity relationship of lead compounds has become an indispensable component in drug discovery. However, mixed receptor populations are a common problem that contributes to variability between pharmacological studies. For instance, the measurement of diazepam enhancement at $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors has been shown to vary when contaminated with the diazepam-insensitive $\alpha\beta$ receptors [19, 20]. Besides that, the binary $\alpha\beta$ receptors have been shown to assemble with two different stoichiometries (2α : 3β and 3α : 2β), but the functional significance of this finding is not understood [6, 21, 22]. There have also been several independent reports of the robust $\beta\gamma$ receptor expression and their responsiveness to GABA and various ligands, including diazepam, implicating binding sites for these ligands other than the traditional $\beta+\alpha-$ and $\alpha+\gamma-$ interfaces [23–29]. However, the impact of $\beta\gamma$ receptors on the pharmacological studies conducted on $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors, their potential as a tool receptor to interrogate GABA_AR pharmacology, as well as their *in vivo* existence have not been explored.

We have previously reported that the synthetic flavonoid 2'-methoxy-6-methylflavone (2'MeO6MF) induced anxiolysis in mouse behavioural studies [30]. In single-channel recording experiments, 2'MeO6MF was found to directly activate native γ 2-containing GABA_ARs found in rat hippocampal neurons in the absence of GABA. Electrophysiological studies conducted across 18 different GABA_AR subtypes expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes identified α 2 β 2/ 3γ 2L GABA_ARs as the targets for the direct activation of 2'MeO6MF. However, the activity of 2'MeO6MF at $\beta\gamma$ receptors was not explored. Experimental evidence from electrophysiological and behavioural studies supports a non-benzodiazepine mechanism for 2'MeO6MF effects. Mutational studies found that the N265 residue on the β 2/3 subunits, a key determinant of etomidate's sensitivity [31–33] is crucial for 2'MeO6MF activity, suggesting that 2'MeO6MF and etomidate may share a similar mechanism of action.

In this study, we found variations in 2'MeO6MF relative efficacy at $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors, which we associated with the presence of mixed receptor populations. We systematically expressed all possible receptor combinations in *Xenopus* oocytes using $\alpha 2$, $\beta 3$ and $\gamma 2L$ subunits

and found that the non- α -containing $\beta 3+\gamma 2L$ combination formed robust GABA-gated receptors which were also activated by 2'MeO6MF. Varying the mRNA injection ratio of $\beta 3$ and $\gamma 2L$ subunits resulted in unique pharmacological profiles for GABA, 2'MeO6MF, etomidate and propofol, most likely due to stoichiometric differences in the receptors being expressed. The α subunit does not appear to be strictly needed for receptor function as demonstrated by the ability of GABA and etomidate to activate $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors. We infer from these findings that the β subunit, which bears the principal (+) components of the respective binding sites dominates ligand binding interactions, and the identity of the complementary (–) subunit (α , β or γ) is well tolerated.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

GABA, flumazenil, zinc chloride (ZnCl₂), DMSO and all buffer ingredients mentioned in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) while diazepam was purchased from Apin Chemicals LTD (Abingdon, Oxon, UK). Etomidate hydrochloride (HCl) was synthesised following protocols previously described in Janssen *et al.* [34] and Janssen *et al.* [35]. The synthesis of 2'MeO6MF was previously described in Karim *et al.* [30].

GABA_AR subunit constructs

Human complementary DNA (cDNA) of GABA_AR α 2 subunit (vector: pCMV6-XL5) was purchased from OriGene Technologies (Rockville, MD, USA), and the human β 3 (vector: pGEMHE) and γ 2L (vector: pCDM8) subunits were generous gifts from Dr. Bjarke Ebert (H. Lundbeck A/S, Valby, Denmark) and Dr. Paul Whiting (Merck, Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories, Harlow, Essex, UK) respectively. The identity of the subunits was confirmed with DNA sequencing (Australian Genome Research Facility, Westmead, NSW, Australia). Plasmid vectors with the cDNA incorporated were linearised using appropriate restriction endonucleases (α 2: SmaI; β 3: NheI; γ 2L: NotI). Capped RNA transcripts were synthesised from linearised plasmids using the mMessage mMachine T7 transcript kit from Ambion (Austin, TX, USA). Purified messenger RNA (mRNA) was dissolved in nuclease-free water (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA), and its quality was assessed using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The concentration of each subunit mRNA was measured using Nanodrop ND-100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, North Ryde, NSW, Australia).

Xenopus oocytes isolation and preparation

Mature female *Xenopus laevis* frogs were anaesthetised with 0.17% tricaine (buffered with 0.06% sodium bicarbonate) for 15 minutes, after which the loss of righting reflex was confirmed before transferring on to ice where surgeries were performed. A small (1–2 cm) abdominal incision was made through both the skin and muscle layer with surgical knives. Ovary lobes were removed with a pair of forceps, and kept in oocyte releasing 2 (OR2) buffer (82.5 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM HEPES hemisodium; pH 7.4). The skin and muscle layer were sutured separately, and frogs were allowed to recover for six months before they were reselected for surgeries. A total of five recoverable surgeries were allowed on each frog, before a terminal surgery was performed, in which a lethal dose of tricaine (0.5%) was used. All the procedures involved in the use of *Xenopus laevis* frogs were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Sydney (Reference number: 2013/5915). Removed ovary lobes were divided into small sections and treated with 2 mg/mL Collagenase A (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN, USA) in OR2 buffer for at least an hour to defolliculate the oocytes. The released oocytes were then rinsed in ND96 storage solution (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM HEPES hemisodium, 2.5 mM pyruvate, 0.5 mM theophylline; pH 7.4). Healthy-looking stage V–VI oocytes were isolated and kept in ND96 storage solution at 18°C until ready for injection.

Electrophysiological recording of recombinant GABA_ARs in *Xenopus* oocytes

Various combinations of $\alpha 2$, $\beta 3$ and $\gamma 2L$ subunit mRNAs were mixed to different ratios as stated in the text, and diluted accordingly to a concentration of 5 $ng/\mu L$ (unless otherwise stated). The mRNA mixture was injected into the cytoplasm of oocytes (50.6 nL/injection) using a Nanoject device (Drummond Scientific, Broomali, PA, USA). Injected oocytes were stored in ND96 storage solution supplemented with 50 µg/mL gentamycin and tetracycline at 18°C. Two-electrode voltage clamp recordings were performed on oocytes 2-4 days postinjection at room temperature (20–25°C) using a GeneClamp 500B amplifier (ADInstruments, Sydney, NSW, Australia) or a Warner OC-725C Oocyte Clamp (Warner Instrument Corp, Hamden, CT, USA). All experiments were conducted at a holding potential of -60 mV, and data were acquired with a PowerLab 2/25, and LabChart (version 5.0.2) software (ADInstruments, Sydney, NSW, Australia). The recording microelectrodes (0.2–1.1 M Ω) were generated from capillary glass (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA) using a single-stage glass microelectrode puller (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan), and were filled with 3 M KCl solution. The perfusion system was built using a series of polycarbonate, high-density polyethylene two-way stopcocks (Qosina, Edgewood, NY, USA), connected through tubing to the recording chamber (100 µL), where the oocyte was continuously perfused with ND96 recording solution (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM HEPES hemisodium; pH 7.4) at an approximate rate of 5 mL/min.

GABA, etomidate and ZnCl₂ were dissolved in ND96 recording solution, whereas 2'MeO6MF, diazepam and flumazenil were dissolved in DMSO to make up stock solutions of desired concentrations. GABA stock solutions were prepared fresh on the day of experiments. When working with chemicals dissolved in DMSO, all perfusates were standardised to contain 0.8% DMSO, which did not produce any alteration in the recordings. Perfusates containing these chemicals were made up fresh before each application. The concentration-response curves of agonists were obtained by exposing oocytes to increasing concentrations of agonists until the currents peaked. Maximal GABA current responses (usually 3 mM, unless otherwise stated) were used as controls to allow for comparisons between different oocytes. Depending on the concentration and the solubility of the chemicals applied on oocytes, the wash-out periods ranged from 3 to 15 minutes to allow time for receptors to recover from desensitisation. The modulatory effect of 2'MeO6MF was also investigated by co-applying a low concentration of GABA (EC₃₋₁₀) with a high concentration of 2'MeO6MF (100 or 300 μ M). No enhancement of GABA current was detected at $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (3:1:3), $\alpha 2\beta 3$ (20:1), $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15) and (1:100) receptors (data not shown). All experiments were performed using at least two different batches of oocytes.

Data analysis

Prism (version 5.04; GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for data analysis. Raw data from GABA concentration-response experiments were fitted to either a monophasic (1) or biphasic (2) Hill equation, and the fitted maximal values from the preferred model (Extra

sum-of-squares F test) were used for normalisation of each dataset.

$$I = \frac{I_{\max}}{1 + 10^{((\log EC_{50} - [A])n_{\rm H})}}$$
(1)

$$I = \frac{I_{\max} \times \text{Frac}}{1 + 10^{((\log \text{EC}_{50_1}-[A])n_{\text{H}_1})}} + \frac{I_{\max} \times (1 - \text{Frac})}{1 + 10^{((\log \text{EC}_{50_2}-[A])n_{\text{H}_2})}}$$
(2)

Concentration-response curves of other agonists such as 2'MeO6MF and etomidate were constructed in a similar manner, except the data were normalised to the 3 mM GABA responses, unless otherwise stated. In these equations, I_{max} represents the maximal agonist response, [*A*] represents the agonist concentration, EC₅₀ represents the agonist concentration required to activate 50% of the maximal response (there are two EC₅₀s for a biphasic curve), $n_{\rm H}$ represents the Hill slope of the fitted curve (there are two Hill slopes for a biphasic curve), and Frac represents the proportion of the maximal response mediated by the more potent component in a biphasic curve. In all cases, we constrained the bottom to 0, and when fitting data to the biphasic model, the Hill slopes were constrained to 1. Statistical comparisons of results for different injection ratios of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors were performed using ANOVA with Tukey's *posthoc* test. When comparing results from different injection ratios of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors, the ANOVA Dunnett's test was used. Pairwise comparisons were performed using Student's *t* test. Statistical significance was attained at p < 0.05.

Results

Variations in the relative efficacy of 2'MeO6MF activation at $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs

2'MeO6MF has been previously described as a full agonist at $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs expressed at a 1:1:10 injection ratio in *Xenopus* oocytes [30]. We expressed $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors at a 3:1:3 injection ratio and recorded pharmacological responses to GABA, Zn²⁺, diazepam (1 µM) and flumazenil (10 µM) that were consistent with those previously reported (Fig 1 and Table 1) [36–38]. In contrast to what was reported by Karim *et al.* (2012) [30], we found that 300 µM 2'MeO6MF only activated $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors 8.2 ± 3.6% of the 3 mM GABA response (n = 5; Fig 1E and 1F). The EC₅₀ of 2'MeO6MF was estimated to be approximately 74 µM (95% CI: 6.4–850 µM). We hypothesised that the variations in the relative efficacy of 2'MeO6MF measured at $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors expressed at different ratios may be due to the presence of mixed receptor populations.

2'MeO6MF does not activate binary $\alpha 2\beta 3$ or homomeric $\alpha 2,\,\beta 3$ and $\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs

The presence of $\alpha\beta$ receptors when expressing $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors has been shown to result in submaximal measurement of diazepam modulation [19, 20] as these receptors lack the $\alpha+\gamma-$ interface where diazepam binds. To determine if this was the cause for differences in 2'MeO6MF relative efficacy at $\alpha2\beta3\gamma2L$ receptors, we expressed $\alpha2:\beta3$ subunit mRNAs at a 20:1 ratio to prevent $\beta3$ homomeric receptors from forming, and found that 2'MeO6MF (100 μ M) had negligible activity at these receptors, eliciting currents only 1.7 \pm 0.35% of the 3 mM GABA responses (n = 5; Fig 2A). Therefore, $\alpha2\beta3$ receptors are unlikely to contribute to the much higher 2'MeO6MF relative efficacy previously reported at $\alpha2\beta3\gamma2L$ GABA_ARs.

To determine if homomeric GABA_ARs are activated by 2'MeO6MF, we injected $\alpha 2$, $\beta 3$ and $\gamma 2L$ mRNAs alone and examined the effect of 2'MeO6MF at these receptors. We did not detect

α2β3γ2L (3:1:3)



Fig 1. Characterisation of $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs expressed at a 3:1:3 injection ratio. (A) *Left panel*, Representative traces of $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (3:1:3) GABA_ARs responses to 3 mM GABA and 100 µM Zn²⁺ alone. *Right panel*, Mean holding current of ocytes expressing $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (3:1:3) GABA_ARs (-93 ± 19 nA; *n* = 8). (B) *Left panel*, Continuous traces demonstrating two consecutive applications of control (100 µM GABA) followed by the co-application of 10 µM Zn²⁺ with control. *Right panel*, Modulation of 100 µM GABA responses by 10 µM Zn²⁺ (*n* = 9). (C) *Left panel*, Continuous traces demonstrating two consecutive applications of control (100 µM GABA) followed by the co-application of 10 µM Zn²⁺ with control. *Right panel*, Modulation of 100 µM GABA responses by 10 µM Zn²⁺ (*n* = 9). (C) *Left panel*, Continuous traces demonstrating two consecutive applications of control (1 µM GABA) followed by the co-application of 1 µM diazepam with control; 1 µM diazepam and 10 µM flumazenil with control; and control. *Right panel*, Potentiation by 1 µM diazepam of 1 µM GABA responses (*n* = 6). Representative traces demonstrating (D) GABA current responses from 1 µM to 10 mM and (E) 2'MeO6MF's direct activation from 1 to 300 µM (red) in comparison to 3 mM GABA response. Concentration-response curves of GABA (black; *n* = 6) and 2'MeO6MF (red; *n* = 5) are shown in (F). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Bars indicate durations of drug application. The holding current values are represented by the dotted lines.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141359.g001

function with 60 mM GABA and 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF in *Xenopus* oocytes injected with α 2 mRNA (n = 5; <u>Table 1</u>). γ 2L homomeric receptors showed Zn²⁺-sensitive constitutive activity, but were not responsive to 60 mM GABA and 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF (n = 5; <u>Fig 2B</u> and <u>Table 1</u>). Consistent with previous findings [<u>39</u>, <u>40</u>], the injection of β 3 subunit mRNA resulted in the expression of constitutively active receptors, which are activated by high concentrations of GABA (<u>Fig 2C</u> and <u>Table 1</u>). In contrast to the direct activation observed at $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs, 2'MeO6MF inhibited the constitutive activity of β 3 homomeric receptors in a

Subunit combination	Ratio	mRNA amount (ng/oocyte)	Expression level (nA) ^a	Holding current (nA)	n	2'MeO6MF activity	n
α2	-	28–35	No current	-20 ± 7.5	8	No current	5
β3	-	5	290 ± 67	-1000 ± 420	6	Inverse agonism	5
γ2L	-	20–35	No current	-370 ± 41	7	No current	5
α2β3	20:1	5	1100 ± 220	-30 ± 7.0	7	Insignificant activation	5
α2γ2L	1:1	5	No current	-42 ± 16	4	No current	4
β3γ2L	1:1	5	110 ± 34	-470 ± 88	4	Inverse agonism	4
	1:5	5	270 ± 80	-220 ± 60	5	Inverse agonism/activation	5
	1:10	5	310 ± 61	-150 ± 13	8	Inverse agonism/activation	8
	1:15	5	490 ± 47	-260 ± 40	30	Activation	30
	1:20	5	860 ± 87	-180 ± 31	49	Activation	49
	1:50	5	1000 ± 160	-28 ± 6.0	15	Activation	15
	1:100	5	1100 ± 100	-15 ± 5.0	21	Activation	21
α2β3γ2L	3:1:3	5	4300 ± 940	-93 ± 19	8	Activation	5

Table 1. Characteristics of rec	eptors expressed in various combinations	of α2, β3 and γ2L GABAAR subunits
---------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------

^a Expression level is expressed as the current responses ± SEM (nA) elicited by 3 mM GABA, except for homomeric and α2γ2L receptors (60 mM GABA).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141359.t001

concentration-dependent manner, with a maximal inhibition of $54 \pm 3.0\%$ (normalised against oocyte holding current) measured at 300 µM 2'MeO6MF (n = 5; Fig 2C and 2D). The lack of activation by 2'MeO6MF at homomeric receptors demonstrates that these receptors are unlikely to be the cause for the variations observed.

2'MeO6MF activates β 3y2L GABA_ARs with variable efficacy

We then determined whether binary $\alpha 2\gamma 2L$ or $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors are activated by 2'MeO6MF. Binary $\alpha 2\gamma 2L$ receptors showed no function to 60 mM GABA, 100 μ M Zn²⁺ and 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF (n = 4; Table 1). In contrast, injection of $\beta 3$ and $\gamma 2L$ mRNAs at a 1:20 ratio to prevent the expression of $\beta 3$ homomers yielded receptors that responded to GABA. 2'MeO6MF efficaciously activated these receptors, with 100 μ M eliciting currents approximately $34 \pm 4.0\%$ of the 3 mM GABA responses (n = 49; Fig 3). Notably, there was a high variability in the relative efficacy of 2'MeO6MF, ranging from as low as 1.0 to 120% of the 3 mM GABA responses. While the standard error of mean efficacy was only 4.0%, the standard deviation (SD) remained high (31%). This variability was observed both between different batches of oocytes and within the same batch. The substantial variability in the relative efficacy of 2'MeO6MF suggests that the 1:20 ratio was not optimal for the uniform expression of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors.

To establish the optimal ratio(s) for the uniform expression of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs, we varied the $\beta 3:\gamma 2L$ mRNAs ratio (1:1, 1:5, 1:10, 1:15, 1:50 and 1:100) while keeping the total amount of mRNA constant at 5 ng in each oocyte. We then measured the relative efficacy of 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF and compared it across these receptors. At 1:1 ratio, $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors were constitutively active and 2'MeO6MF acted as an inverse agonist (Fig 3A). This is likely due to the presence of a substantial amount of $\beta 3$ homomer subpopulation. At 1:5 and 1:10 ratios, where the relative amounts of $\gamma 2L$ mRNA were greater than $\beta 3$ mRNA, 2'MeO6MF displayed a mixed inverse agonist and agonist effect (Fig 3A), indicating that the pharmacological responses of 2'MeO6MF observed at these ratios were still confounded by $\beta 3$ homomers.

We increased the injection ratio to 1:15, and consistently observed activation with 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF (Fig 3A). The 2'MeO6MF-elicited currents were 87 ± 4.5% of the 3 mM GABA current responses (n = 30), which was significantly higher than that at 1:20 ratio ($p \le 0.001$; Tukey's test; Fig 3B). While the low-efficacy component of 2'MeO6MF was not observed at



Fig 2. Subunit combinations with detectable function but were not activated by 2'MeO6MF. (A) Representative traces illustrating the robust response elicited by 3 mM GABA, and the lack of activity of 100 μ M Zn²⁺ and 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF at α 2 β 3 (20:1) GABA_ARs (n = 6). (B) The injection of γ 2L mRNA at high amounts (28–35 ng/oocyte) resulted in constitutively active channels which were inhibited by 100 µM Zn²⁺, but were not sensitive to 60 mM GABA, 100 μ M etomidate and 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF (n = 5). (C) The injection of β 3 mRNA (5 ng/oocyte) resulted in the formation of functional receptors. Representative traces of β3 homomeric receptors responses to 1–60 mM GABA (top;), 3–300 μM of 2'MeO6MF and 100 μM Zn²⁺ (bottom). (D) 2'MeO6MF concentration-response curve for β3 homomeric receptors (n = 5). The efficacy of 2'MeO6MF as an inverse agonist is expressed as a fraction of the inhibited spontaneous current (I) normalised against the holding current (Inolding). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Bars indicate durations of drug application. The holding current values are represented by the dotted lines.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141359.g002

1:15 ratio, there was still considerable variability in the relative efficacy measured (SD = 25%). Increasing the injection ratios further to 1:50 and 1:100 abolished the high-efficacy component of 2'MeO6MF. 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF showed efficacy around 6.7 \pm 1.2% (n = 15) at 1:50 ratio

Α



Β

Fig 3. 2'MeO6MF activity at $\beta_{3\gamma}$ 2L GABA_ARs. (A) 2'MeO6MF exhibits a complex spectrum of activity across $\beta_{3\gamma}$ 2L GABA_ARs expressed at various injection ratios. Representative traces of 3 mM GABA (black) and 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF (red) are shown for each ratio. Bars indicate durations of drug application. The holding current values are represented by the dotted lines. (1:1; *n* = 7), 2'MeO6MF inhibited the constitutive activity of receptors expressed. (1:5; *n* = 5) and (1:10; *n* = 10), 2'MeO6MF exhibited mixed agonist and inverse agonist activity. (1:15; *n* = 30), 2'MeO6MF directly activated receptors expressed efficaciously. (1:20; *n* = 49), 2'MeO6MF activated $\beta_{3\gamma}$ 2L (1:20) receptors with variable efficacy. Sample traces of cell 1 and 2 were taken from a simultaneous experiment conducted on two different oocytes injected at the same time. (1:50; *n* = 15) and (1:100; *n* = 21), 2'MeO6MF showed activation with low efficacy at these receptors. (B) Mean efficacy of 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF direct activation at $\beta_{3\gamma}$ 2L (1:15), (1:20), (1:50) and (1:100) GABA_ARs. Data are normalised to the 3 mM GABA response. The mean efficacy of 100 μ M 2'MeO6MF at various ratios was compared using Tukey's test, and the significance levels are indicated with n.s. (not significant) and *** ($p \le 0.001$).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141359.g003

and 5.1 ± 0.72% (n = 21) at 1:100 ratio, which is significantly lower than at the 1:15 ratio ($p \le 0.001$; Tukey's test; Fig 3B). The relative efficacy of 2'MeO6MF measured at $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors was similar to that of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors expressed at 1:50 and 1:100 ratios (p > 0.5; Dunnett's test), but was significantly lower than 1:15 ($p \le 0.001$) and 1:20 ($p \le 0.5$) ratios.

The extensive variability in 2'MeO6MF relative efficacy measured at 1:20 ratio was likely to be the result of mixed stoichiometries being expressed. This is further supported by variability observed in the GABA concentration-response relationships at $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:20) receptors, in which out of 13 datasets, 6 were better fitted to a biphasic Hill equation, whereas a simpler monophasic model was preferred to describe the rest of the datasets (data not shown). Under our conditions, the 1:15, 1:50 and 1:100 ratios were most optimal to express the different stoichiometries of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors, allowing us to measure 2'MeO6MF responses more reliably. As such, these ratios were chosen for detailed pharmacological characterisation.

β 3 γ 2L GABA_ARs of different subunit stoichiometries vary in pharmacological properties

To obtain an understanding of the properties of different $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptor stoichiometries, we assessed constitutive activity, 2'MeO6MF and GABA potencies of the receptors expressed at 1:15, 1:50 and 1:100 injection ratios. Oocytes expressing $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15) receptors exhibited significantly larger, more negative holding currents (-260 ± 40 nA) in comparison to 1:50 (-28 ± 6.0 nA) and 1:100 (-15 ± 5.0 nA) ratios ($p \le 0.001$; Tukey's test; Fig 4A). The application of 100 μ M Zn²⁺ generated a reduction in inward current at $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15) receptors (Fig 4B). In contrast, 100 μ M Zn²⁺ did not have any effect at $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:50) and (1:100) receptors.

Despite the difference in maximal efficacy (Fig 4C), 2'MeO6MF showed similar potency at all ratios (EC₅₀ = 45 μ M (1:15), 62 μ M (1:50), 63 μ M (1:100); p > 0.05 for all logEC₅₀ comparisons; Tukey's test; Fig 4D and Table 2). The potency of 2'MeO6MF measured at $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors is also not significantly different from $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors at all three ratios (p > 0.05 for all comparisons; Dunnett's test). We then measured the GABA potency, and found that $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15) receptors displayed a monophasic GABA concentration-response curve with low potency and a shallow Hill slope (EC₅₀ = 1.4 mM; $n_{\rm H} = 0.54$; n = 6; Fig 4E and 4F and Table 2). In contrast, the data of 1:50 and 1:100 ratios were significantly better fitted to a biphasic Hill equation than a monophasic model (p < 0.0001 for both ratios; extra sum-of-squares *F* test; Fig 4E and 4F). At 1:50 ratio, GABA has a high-sensitivity component with an EC₅₀ of 63 μ M and a low-sensitivity component with an EC₅₀ of 5.5 mM. The mean EC₅₀ values for $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:100) receptors were very similar to those of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:50) receptors (EC_{50_1}: 64 μ M; EC_{50_2}: 4.0 mM). $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs expressed at 1:50 and 1:100 ratios exhibit nearly identical properties (no statistical significant differences in all aspects; p > 0.05), but are very different in comparison to the receptors expressed at 1:15 ratio.

Differential activation of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors with different subunit stoichiometries by general anaesthetics

2'MeO6MF activity has previously been shown to be affected by a well-established mutation that perturbs etomidate activity [30], suggesting that both ligands could share a common activation mechanism. Like 2'MeO6MF, etomidate did not activate homomeric γ 2L (Fig 2B) or α 2 γ 2L receptors (n = 4; data not shown). However, etomidate activated β 3 homomeric receptors efficaciously ($E_{\text{max}} = 15 \pm 0.79$, normalised against 60 mM GABA), with an EC₅₀ of 7.7 μ M (95% CI: 5.6–11 μ M; n = 5; Fig 5E and 5F), in contrast to 2'MeO6MF inhibition of the receptors' constitutive activity (Fig 2C).

We then evaluated etomidate at $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15), (1:50) and (1:100) receptors. Etomidate directly activated $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors expressed at all three ratios, albeit with different relative efficacies (Fig 5A). At 10 µM, etomidate directly activated $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15) approximately 12 ± 1.1 fold higher relative to 3 mM GABA (n = 7), significantly greater than at 1:50 (0.46 ± 0.10; n = 6) and 1:100 (0.27 ± 0.05; n = 7) ratios ($p \le 0.001$; Tukey's test; Fig 5B). At $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:50) and (1:100) receptors, consistent with the almost identical GABA and 2'MeO6MF profiles, etomidate activated $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors at both ratios with no significant differences in potencies and maximal efficacies (p > 0.05; unpaired t test; Fig 5C and Table 2). At 1:15 ratio, we could not construct a complete concentration-response curve as the current elicited by etomidate at concentrations above 10 µM frequently exceeded the measuring range of the amplifier (> 10 µA).

To investigate etomidate activity across the whole concentration range at $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15) receptors, we conducted electrophysiological recording at -30 mV. The same experiment was repeated at $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:100) receptors as a control. As expected from the voltage-dependent profile of etomidate [41], we observed reduced etomidate activation at -30 mV. However,

PLOS ONE

β3γ2L (1:15, 1:50, 1:100)



Fig 4. Characterisation of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs expressed at 1:15, 1:50 and 1:100 ratios. The level of constitutive activity is indicated by (A) holding current of injected oocytes and (B) the inhibition of baseline current by 100 µM Zn²⁺. (A) $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs expressed at 1:15 ratio showed significantly larger holding current (-260 ± 40 nA; *n* = 30) than at 1:50 (-28 ± 6.0 nA; *n* = 15) and 1:100 (-15 ± 5.0 nA; *n* = 21) ratios (*p* ≤ 0.001; Tukey's test). (B) Representative traces demonstrating current responses of 3 mM GABA, 100 µM 2'MeO6MF and 100 µM Zn²⁺. At 1:15 ratio, receptors were sensitive to the inhibition of 100 µM Zn²⁺ (reduction in inward current; *n* = 10). Zn²⁺ did not have any effects at 1:50 (*n* = 7) and 1:100 (*n* = 8) ratios. (C) Representative traces demonstrating 2'MeO6MF's direct activation from 1 to 300 µM in comparison to 3 mM GABA response. (D) 2'MeO6MF concentration-response curves of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15; *n* = 8), (1:50; *n* = 7) and (1:100; *n* = 6) GABA_ARs. Data are normalised to the 3 mM GABA response. (E) Representative traces of GABA current responses from 1 µM to 30 mM at $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15), (1:50) and (1:100) GABA_ARs. (F) GABA concentration-response curves of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (1:15; *n* = 6), (1:50; *n* = 8) and (1:100; *n* = 9) GABA_ARs. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Bars indicate durations of drug application. The holding current values are represented by the dotted lines.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141359.g004

etomidate still exhibited significantly larger relative efficacy at 1:15 (9.9 ± 0.84) than 1:100 (2.8 ± 0.50) ratio ($p \le 0.01$; unpaired *t* test; Fig 5D; Table 2). Etomidate also showed a modest,

Table 2. GABA, 2'MeO6MF, etomidate and propofol concentration-response curve parameters at β3γ2L (1:15), (1:50) and (1:100) GABA _A Rs
derived from curve-fitting procedures.

Ligands		β3γ2L (ratio) ^a			
		1:15	1:50	1:100	
GABA ^b	I _{max} ± SEM ^c	780 ± 220 nA	1000 ± 250 nA	1000 ± 97 nA	
	EC _{50_1} (95% CI)	1.4 (0.87–2.2) mM	63 (47–84) μM	64 (49–83) μM	
	EC _{50_2} (95% CI)	-	5.5 (1.3–23) mM	4.0 (1.4–11) mM	
	<i>п</i> _{Н_1} ± SEM	0.54 ± 0.040	1.0	1.0	
	n_{H_2}	-	1.0	1.0	
	Frac (95% CI)	_	0.74 (0.67–0.80)	0.71 (0.65–0.77)	
	n	6	8	9	
2'MeO6MF	$E_{max} \pm SEM^{d}$	1.2 ± 0.10	0.086 ± 0.018	0.10 ± 0.020	
	EC ₅₀ (95% CI)	45 (31–64) μM	62 (22–172) μM	63 (27–143) µM	
	n _H ± SEM	1.8 ± 0.42	1.4 ± 0.88	1.6 ± 0.76	
	n	8	7	6	
Etomidate (-60 mV)	$E_{max} \pm SEM^{e}$	20 ± 1.8	5.5 ± 0.80	3.2 ± 0.40	
	EC ₅₀ (95% CI)	8.7 (6.7–11) μM	55 (27–110) μM	43 (25–76) μM	
	n _H ± SEM	2.0 ± 0.32	1.3 ± 0.37	1.5 ± 0.46	
	n	5	6	7	
Etomidate (-30 mV)	E _{max} ± SEM ^f	9.9 ± 0.84	N.D. ^g	2.8 ± 0.50	
	EC ₅₀ (95% CI)	19 (11–31) μM		60 (19–190) µM	
	n _H ± SEM	1.3 ± 0.33		1.2 ± 0.50	
	n	4		5	
Propofol	$E_{max} \pm SEM^{h}$	5.7 ± 0.55	N.D. ^g	0.64 ± 0.23	
	EC ₅₀ (95% CI)	85 (33–220) μM		74 (33–170) µM	
	n _н ± SEM	1.4 ± 0.50		2.0 ± 1.2	
	n	4		4	

^a The total amount of mRNA injected per oocyte was adjusted to be approximately 5 ng for each ratio.

^b Data of 1:15 ratio were better fitted to a monophasic model. Data of 1:50 and 1:100 ratios were significantly better fitter to a biphasic model (p < 0.0001 for both ratios; extra sum-of-squares *F* test). Concentration-response curves are shown in Fig 4F.

^c Current amplitude elicited by 30 mM GABA.

^d 300 µM 2'MeO6MF-elicited current normalised against 3 mM GABA response.

^e 30 μM (for 1:15) and 300 μM (for 1:50 and 1:100) etomidate-elicited current normalised against 3 mM GABA response.

^f 300 µM etomidate-elicited current normalised against 3 mM GABA response.

^g N.D. = not determined.

^h 300 µM propofol-elicited current normalised against 3 mM GABA response.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141359.t002





Fig 5. Etomidate activates both β **3Y2L** and β **3 GABA**_A**Rs**. (A) Representative traces of etomidate (10 µM) direct activation in comparison to 3 mM GABA at β 3**Y2L** (1:15), (1:50) and (1:100) GABA_A**Rs**. (B) Mean efficacy of 10 µM etomidate activation at β 3**Y2L** (1:15; *n* = 7), (1:50; *n* = 6) and (1:100; *n* = 7) receptors. Data are normalised to the 3 mM GABA response. The mean efficacy of 10 µM etomidate at various ratios was compared using Tukey's test, and the significance levels are indicated with n.s. (not significant) and *** ($p \le 0.001$). (C) Concentration-response curves of etomidate activation at β 3**Y2L** (receptors expressed at 1:15 (*n* = 5), 1:50 (*n* = 6) and 1:100 (*n* = 7) ratios. Recording was conducted at -60 mV. Data are normalised to the 3 mM GABA response. (D) Concentration-response curves of etomidate activation at β 3**Y**2**L** receptors expressed at 1:15 (*n* = 4) and 1:100 (*n* = 5) ratios. Recording was conducted at -30 mV. Data are normalised to the 3 mM GABA response. (E) Representative traces of β 3 homomeric receptors responses to 0.1–300 µM etomidate in comparison to 60 mM GABA response. (F) Etomidate concentration-response curve for β 3 homomeric receptors (*n* = 5). Data are normalised against 60 mM GABA responses. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Bars indicate durations of drug application. The holding current values are represented by the dotted lines.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141359.g005

but significantly higher potency at 1:15 (EC₅₀ = 19 μ M) than 1:100 (EC₅₀ = 60 μ M) ratio ($p \le 0.01$ for logEC₅₀ comparison; unpaired *t* test; Table 2).

We also investigated the action of propofol, a non-selective general anaesthetic which has been shown to bind with similar affinity to at least 4 binding sites at GABA_ARs found on $\beta+\alpha-$, $\alpha+\beta-$, $\beta+\beta-$ and $\gamma+\beta-$ subunit interfaces [42, 43]. We found that propofol directly activated $\beta3\gamma2L$ receptors, in agreement with previous findings reported on $\beta2\gamma2S$ receptors [26]. Like etomidate, propofol directly activated $\beta3\gamma2L$ (1:15) and (1:100) receptors differentially (Fig <u>6A</u>). At 300 µM, propofol elicited current responses approximately 6-fold larger than maximal GABA currents at $\beta3\gamma2L$ (1:15) receptors, significantly larger than at $\beta3\gamma2L$ (1:100) receptors ($E_{max} = 0.64 \pm 0.23$; $p \le 0.001$; unpaired *t* test; Fig <u>6B</u>; Table <u>2</u>). However, the potencies of propofol measured at 1:15 (EC₅₀ = 85 µM) and 1:100 (EC₅₀ = 74 µM) ratios were not different (p > 0.05; unpaired *t* test; Table <u>2</u>).

Discussion

2'MeO6MF has been previously demonstrated to directly activate recombinant $\alpha 2\beta 2/3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes [30], but the functional significance of each subunit



Fig 6. Propofol activates $\beta_{3\gamma_{2L}}$ (1:15) and (1:100) receptors with different relative efficacies. (A) Representative traces of propofol (1–300 µM) direct activation in comparison to 3 mM GABA at $\beta_{3\gamma_{2L}}$ (1:15) and (1:100) GABA_ARs. (B) Concentration-response curves of propofol activation at $\beta_{3\gamma_{2L}}$ receptors expressed at 1:15 (n = 4) and 1:100 (n = 4) ratios. Data are normalised to the 3 mM GABA response.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141359.g006

remains unclear. In this study, we performed a systematic investigation of 2'MeO6MF activity at all receptor combinations expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes using $\alpha 2$, $\beta 3$ and $\gamma 2L$ subunits and found that 2'MeO6MF exhibits distinct pharmacology at $\beta 3$, $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ and $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs. It acts as an inverse agonist at $\beta 3$ homomers by inhibiting the constitutive activity of the receptors, and activates $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ and $\alpha 2\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs with different relative efficacies. The lack of activity of 2'MeO6MF at $\alpha 2\beta 3$ (20:1) binary receptors, despite the presence of the $\beta 3$ subunit, favours an interfacial 2'MeO6MF binding site(s) containing the $\beta 3$ subunit ($\beta 3$ - $\beta 3$, $\beta 3$ - $\gamma 2L$ or $\gamma 2L$ - $\beta 3$) over an intra- $\beta 3$ -subunit binding site.

The robust formation of the non- α -containing $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs raises a critical question of where GABA binds to activate this receptor as it lacks the $\beta + \alpha$ - interfaces. Despite the absence of any known GABA binding sites in $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors, GABA elicited robust responses within a similar concentration range that activates $\alpha\beta$ and $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors. Thus, we infer that GABA binding site(s) which are previously unknown may exist. A GABA binding site at the β - β interface is possible, but the poor GABA activity at β 3 homomeric receptors even at saturating concentrations suggests that other subunit interfaces, possibly between the β and γ subunits are more likely to contribute to the GABA sensitivity of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors.

There is a wealth of evidence supporting two $\beta+\alpha-$ interfacial binding sites for etomidate in the transmembrane domain [11–13]. Our data show that etomidate activates β 3 homomeric and β 3 γ 2L binary receptors efficaciously, but is insensitive at γ 2L homomeric receptors. These findings indicate that the β , but not the α subunit is essential for etomidate sensitivity. This is supported by findings from a photoaffinity labelling study which suggested that etomidate can also bind to a homologous $\beta+\beta-$ interfacial site with less selectivity [43]. Besides that, mutations found on the β subunit such as N265M [33] and M286W [44] have been shown to completely eliminate etomidate sensitivity, while mutations found on the α subunit tend to have less profound effects [45]. Taken together, we infer from these findings that the β subunit, which bears the principal (+) components of the etomidate binding sites, dominates ligand binding interactions, whereas the identity of the complementary (-) subunit (α , β or γ) is well tolerated.

We also demonstrate that $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors expressed at different injection ratios exhibit distinct levels of constitutive activity, relative efficacies of 2'MeO6MF, etomidate and propofol activation as well as different GABA potencies. These findings strongly suggest that $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors with different subunit stoichiometries are being formed. The influence of subunit cDNA or mRNA ratios on receptor stoichiometry expressed in heterologous systems is well established in the case of $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, in which $\alpha 4$ -biased and β2-biased ratios are routinely used to selectively express the pharmacologically dissimilar 3 $(\alpha 4)$:2($\beta 2$) and 2($\alpha 4$):3($\beta 2$) stoichiometries respectively [46, 47]. It is not clear from our experiments which stoichiometries of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors exist. However, as the binary $\alpha\beta$ GABA_ARs have been shown to assemble into two functional stoichiometries of 2α :3 β and 3α :2 β [6, 21, 22], by analogy, we propose that $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ GABA_ARs also exist in two different stoichiometries of $3\beta:2\gamma$ and $2\beta:3\gamma$. It is likely that when the $\gamma 2L$ subunit mRNA was injected in greater abundance relative to the β 3 subunit (e.g., 1:50 and 1:100 ratios), the 2β :3 γ stoichiometry is predominantly expressed. In contrast, when the injection ratio was reduced (e.g., 1:15), the 3β :2 γ stoichiometry may be favourably expressed. The definitive mechanisms underlying the distinct pharmacological profiles of $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ stoichiometric forms are beyond the scope of this investigation, but differences in the number of functional binding interfaces and intrinsic activation properties may have a role. Further experiments are required to understand how these factors result in the complex pharmacology observed for $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors.

We and others have shown that 2'MeO6MF, etomidate, pentobarbital and dopamine appear to be more efficacious agonists than GABA at $\beta 3\gamma 2$ receptors [27, 29]. In contrast, these ligands

directly activate $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors with lower efficacies relative to the maximal GABA responses [29, 44, 48]. Similar observations have been reported at $\alpha\beta\delta$ receptors, where GABA acts as a partial agonist with low intrinsic efficacy, and modulators such as neurosteroids [49], etomidate [50], propofol [51] and pentobarbital [48] are able to enhance maximal GABA currents significantly larger than they do at $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors, where GABA acts as a full agonist. It is possible that GABA also acts as a weak partial agonist at $\beta\gamma$ receptors. However, this inference is not conclusive as the intrinsic efficacies of GABA and other ligands at $\beta\gamma$ receptors are not well established and were not systematically explored in our study. Further studies are required to address these issues, and to clarify whether the apparent larger relative efficacies of various ligands at $\beta\gamma$ receptors are due to subtype-dependent functional differences or the low GABA efficacy at these channels.

The expression of $\beta\gamma$ receptors has been reported previously [23–29]. In these studies, the overall pharmacological profiles of $\beta\gamma$ receptors are very similar to that of the $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors. This is concerning as pharmacological tools such as diazepam, which is routinely used as an indicator of the purity of the $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors heterologously expressed would not be able to distinguish $\beta\gamma$ from $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors due to its comparable actions at both receptor subtypes [23, 25]. The GABA_AR competitive antagonist bicuculline has recently been suggested to have $\beta3\gamma2$ -selective pharmacology as it exhibits inhibitory action at $\alpha1\beta3\gamma2$ but activates $\beta3\gamma2$ receptors [29]. However, as bicuculline has been shown to also activate $\beta3$ homomers [39], it is not known if the effect observed at $\beta3\gamma2$ receptors was mediated by a background population of $\beta3$ homomers. The great diversity in GABA_AR subtypes dictates the need for subtype-specific ligands to understand the function of these receptors. As such, the search for $\beta\gamma$ -selective ligands is pivotal to accurately determine the pharmacology of these receptors *in vitro*.

There is emerging evidence to suggest that native GABA_AR subtypes are far more diverse than earlier studies have indicated, as exemplified by the *in vivo* expression of $\alpha\beta$ receptors which were previously thought to only form in heterologous systems [52–56]. In single channel recording experiments conducted in dissociated rat hippocampal neurons, it has been shown that the activity of 2'MeO6MF, like diazepam, is significantly attenuated by the $\gamma2(381-403)$ MA peptide which disrupts protein-receptor interactions involving only the $\gamma2$ subunit [30, 57]. This finding suggests that $\gamma2$ -containing GABA_ARs are the molecular target of 2'MeO6MF, and the subunit composition of these receptors is most likely to make up of α , β and γ subunits since they are the most common native isoforms. It is currently not known if $\beta\gamma$ receptors exist in neurons and thus contribute partially to the flavonoid activation observed. Again, $\beta\gamma$ -selective ligands will be necessary to explore this possibility.

Conclusions

Overall, our findings have important implications in the study of GABA_ARs. We have shown that $\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors assemble with different subunit stoichiometries in *Xenopus* oocytes, which can be differentiated by GABA, 2'MeO6MF, etomidate and propofol. These receptors lack the α subunit which contributes the complementary (–) components of the GABA and etomidate binding sites, but are still activated by GABA and etomidate, suggesting that the structural requirements for these sites need to be redefined. While the *in vivo* existence of $\beta\gamma$ receptors remains elusive, it can serve as a tool to study the contribution of different subunits or subunit interfaces in the pharmacology of a chemical of interest.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Associate Professor Philip K. Ahring and Dr. Thomas Balle (Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Sydney) for helpful discussion; the Department of Pharmacology (University of Sydney) for managing and maintaining the *Xenopus laevis* colony.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HC NA MC. Performed the experiments: HC. Analyzed the data: HC NA MC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JRH RV. Wrote the paper: HC NA MC.

References

- Olsen RW, Sieghart W. International Union of Pharmacology. LXX. Subtypes of γ-aminobutyric acid_A receptors: classification on the basis of subunit composition, pharmacology, and function. Update. Pharmacological Reviews. 2008; 60(3):243–60. doi: 10.1124/pr.108.00505 PMID: 18790874
- Farrant M, Nusser Z. Variations on an inhibitory theme: phasic and tonic activation of GABA_A receptors. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2005; 6(3):215–29. PMID: <u>15738957</u>
- Jacob TC, Moss SJ, Jurd R. GABA_A receptor trafficking and its role in the dynamic modulation of neuronal inhibition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2008; 9(5):331–43. doi: <u>10.1038/nrn2370</u> PMID: 18382465
- Luscher B, Fuchs T, Kilpatrick Casey L. GABA_A receptor trafficking-mediated plasticity of inhibitory synapses. Neuron. 2011; 70(3):385–409. doi: <u>10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.024</u> PMID: <u>21555068</u>
- Tretter VE, Mukherjee J, Maric HM, Schindelin H, Sieghart W, Moss SJ. Gephyrin, the enigmatic organizer at GABAergic synapses. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience. 2012; 6(23).
- Baumann SW, Baur R, Sigel E. Subunit arrangement of γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2001; 276(39):36275–80. PMID: <u>11466317</u>
- Baumann SW, Baur R, Sigel E. Forced subunit assembly in α1β2γ2 GABA_A receptors: insight into the absolute arrangement. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2002; 277(48):46020–5. PMID: <u>12324466</u>
- Baur R, Minier F, Sigel E. A GABA_A receptor of defined subunit composition and positioning: concatenation of five subunits. FEBS Letters. 2006; 580(6):1616–20. PMID: <u>16494876</u>
- Smith GB, Olsen RW. Functional domains of GABA_A receptors. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences. 1995; 16(5):162–8. PMID: <u>7624971</u>
- Sigel E, Buhr A. The benzodiazepine binding site of GABA_A receptors. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences. 1997; 18(11):425–9. PMID: <u>9426470</u>
- 11. Sieghart W. Chapter Three—Allosteric modulation of GABA_A receptors via multiple drug-binding sites. In: Uwe R, editor. Advances in Pharmacology. Volume 72: Academic Press; 2015. p. 53–96.
- Li G-D, Chiara DC, Sawyer GW, Husain SS, Olsen RW, Cohen JB. Identification of a GABA_A receptor anesthetic binding site at subunit interfaces by photolabeling with an etomidate analog. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2006; 26(45):11599–605. PMID: <u>17093081</u>
- Chiara DC, Dostalova Z, Jayakar SS, Zhou X, Miller KW, Cohen JB. Mapping general anesthetic binding site(s) in human α1β3 γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors with [³H]TDBzI-etomidate, a photoreactive etomidate analogue. Biochemistry. 2012; 51(4):836–47. doi: 10.1021/bi201772m PMID: 22243422
- Ramerstorfer J, Furtmüller R, Sarto-Jackson I, Varagic Z, Sieghart W, Ernst M. The GABA_A receptor α +β– interface: a novel target forsubtype selective drugs. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2011; 31 (3):870–7. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5012-10.2011 PMID: 21248110
- Sieghart W, Ramerstorfer J, Sarto-Jackson I, Varagic Z, Ernst M. A novel GABA_A receptor pharmacology: drugs interacting with the α+β- interface. British Journal of Pharmacology. 2012; 166(2):476–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01779.x PMID: 22074382
- **16.** Varagic Z, Ramerstorfer J, Huang S, Rallapalli S, Sarto-Jackson I, Cook J, et al. Subtype selectivity of $\alpha+\beta$ site ligands of GABA_A receptors: identification of the first highly specific positive modulators at $\alpha6\beta2/3\gamma2$ receptors. British Journal of Pharmacology. 2013; 169(2):384–99. doi: <u>10.1111/bph.12153</u> PMID: <u>23472935</u>
- Varagic Z, Wimmer L, Schnürch M, Mihovilovic MD, Huang S, Rallapalli S, et al. Identification of novel positive allosteric modulators and null modulators at the GABA_A receptor α+β– interface. British Journal of Pharmacology. 2013; 169(2):371–83. doi: <u>10.1111/bph.12151</u> PMID: <u>23472852</u>
- 18. Jayakar SS, Zhou X, Savechenkov PY, Chiara DC, Desai R, Bruzik KS, et al. Positive and negative allosteric modulation of an α1β3γ2 γ-aminobutyric acid type A (GABA_A) receptor by binding to a site in the transmembrane domain at the γ⁺-β⁻ interface. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2015.
- Boileau AJ, Baur R, Sharkey LM, Sigel E, Czajkowski C. The relative amount of cRNA coding for γ2 subunits affects stimulation by benzodiazepines in GABA_A receptors expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. Neuropharmacology. 2002; 43(4):695–700. PMID: <u>12367615</u>

- Baburin I, Khom S, Timin E, Hohaus A, Sieghart W, Hering S. Estimating the efficiency of benzodiazepines on GABA_A receptors comprising γ1 or γ2 subunits. British Journal of Pharmacology. 2008; 155 (3):424–33. doi: <u>10.1038/bjp.2008.271</u> PMID: <u>18604239</u>
- Im WB, Pregenzer JF, Binder JA, Dillon GH, Alberts GL. Chloride channel expression with the tandem construct of α6-β2 GABA_A receptor subunit requires a monomeric subunit of α6 or γ2. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1995; 270(44):26063–6. PMID: <u>7592805</u>
- Boileau AJ, Pearce RA, Czajkowski C. Tandem subunits effectively constrain GABA_A receptor stoichiometry and recapitulate receptor kinetics but are insensitive to GABA_A receptor-associated protein. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2005; 25(49):11219–30. PMID: <u>16339017</u>
- Sigel E, Baur R, Trube G, Möhler H, Malherbe P. The effect of subunit composition of rat brain GABA_A receptors on channel function. Neuron. 1990; 5(5):703–11. PMID: <u>1699569</u>
- 24. Knoflach F, Backus KH, Giller T, Malherbe P, Pflimlin P, Möhler H, et al. Pharmacological and electrophysiological properties of recombinant GABA_A receptors comprising the α3, β1 and γ2 subunits. European Journal of Neuroscience. 1992; 4(1):1–9. PMID: <u>12106436</u>
- 25. Whittemore ER, Yang W, Drewe JA, Woodward RM. Pharmacology of the human gamma-aminobutyric acid_A receptor α4 subunit expressed in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. Molecular Pharmacology. 1996; 50 (5):1364–75. PMID: 8913369
- Sanna E, Motzo C, Usala M, Serra M, Dazzi L, Maciocco E, et al. Characterization of the electrophysiological and pharmacological effects of 4-iodo-2,6-diisopropylphenol, a propofol analogue devoid of sedative-anaesthetic properties. British Journal of Pharmacology. 1999; 126(6):1444–54. PMID: <u>10217539</u>
- Taylor PM, Thomas P, Gorrie GH, Connolly CN, Smart TG, Moss SJ. Identification of amino acid residues within GABA_A receptor β subunits that mediate both homomeric and heteromeric receptor expression. The Journal of Neuroscience. 1999; 19(15):6360–71. PMID: <u>10414965</u>
- Miko A, Werby E, Sun H, Healey J, Zhang L. A TM2 residue in the β1 subunit determines spontaneous opening of homomeric and heteromeric γ-aminobutyric acid-gated ion channels. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004; 279(22):22833–40. PMID: 15014066
- Hoerbelt P, Lindsley TA, Fleck MW. Dopamine directly modulates GABA_A receptors. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2015; 35(8):3525–36. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4390-14.2015 PMID: 25716851
- Karim N, Curmi J, Gavande N, Johnston GA, Hanrahan JR, Tierney ML, et al. 2'-Methoxy-6-methylflavone: a novel anxiolytic and sedative with subtype selective activating and modulating actions at GABA_A receptors. British Journal of Pharmacology. 2012; 165(4):880–96. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1476-5381.</u> <u>2011.01604.x</u> PMID: <u>21797842</u>
- Belelli D, Lambert JJ, Peters JA, Wafford K, Whiting PJ. The interaction of the general anesthetic etomidate with the γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptor is influenced by a single amino acid. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1997; 94(20):11031–6. PMID: <u>9380754</u>
- 32. Stewart DS, Pierce DW, Hotta M, Stern AT, Forman SA. Mutations at beta N265 in γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors alter both binding affinity and efficacy of potent anesthetics. PloS one. 2014; 9(10): e111470. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0111470 PMID: 25347186
- Desai R, Ruesch D, Forman Stuart A. γ-Amino butyric acid type A receptor mutations at β2N265 alter etomidate efficacy while preserving basal and agonist-dependent activity. Anesthesiology. 2009; 111 (4):774–84. doi: <u>10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181b55fae</u> PMID: <u>19741491</u>
- Janssen PA, Niemegeers CJ, Schellekens KH, Lenaerts FM. Etomidate, R-(+)-ethyl-1-(α-methyl-benzyl) imidazole-5-carboxylate (R 16659), a potent, short-acting and relatively atoxic intravenous hypnotic agent in rats. Arzneimittel-Forschung. 1971; 21(8):1234–43. PMID: <u>5110026</u>
- Janssen CGM, Thijssen JBA, Verluyten WLM, Heykants JJP. Synthesis of (R)-(+)-³H-etomidate. Journal of Labelled Compounds and Radiopharmaceuticals. 1987; 24(8):909–18.
- Walters RJ, Hadley SH, Morris KD, Amin J. Benzodiazepines act on GABA_A receptors via two distinct and separable mechanisms. Nat Neurosci. 2000; 3(12):1274–81. PMID: <u>11100148</u>
- Masneuf S, Buetler J, Koester C, Crestani F. Role of α1- and α2-GABA_A receptors in mediating the respiratory changes associated with benzodiazepine sedation. British Journal of Pharmacology. 2012; 166(1):339–48. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01763.x PMID: 22044283
- Joksimović S, Varagic Z, Kovačević J, Van Linn M, Milić M, Rallapalli S, et al. Insights into functional pharmacology of α1 GABA_A receptors: how much does partial activation at the benzodiazepine site matter? Psychopharmacology. 2013; 230(1):113–23. doi: <u>10.1007/s00213-013-3143-4</u> PMID: 23685860
- Wooltorton JR, Moss SJ, Smart TG. Pharmacological and physiological characterization of murine homomeric β3 GABA_A receptors. European Journal of Neuroscience. 1997; 9(11):2225–35. PMID: <u>9464918</u>

- Saras A, Gisselmann G, Vogt-Eisele AK, Erlkamp KS, Kletke O, Pusch H, et al. Histamine action on vertebrate GABA_A receptors: direct channel gating and potentiation of GABA responses. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2008; 283(16):10470–5. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.M709993200</u> PMID: <u>18281286</u>
- O'Toole KK, Jenkins A. The apparent voltage dependence of GABA_A receptor activation and modulation is inversely related to channel open probability. Molecular Pharmacology. 2012; 81(2):189–97. doi: 10.1124/mol.111.074476 PMID: 22042665
- 42. Chiara DC, Jayakar SS, Zhou X, Zhang X, Savechenkov PY, Bruzik KS, et al. Specificity of intersubunit general anesthetic-binding sites in the transmembrane domain of the human α1β3γ2 γ-aminobutyric acid type A (GABA_A) receptor. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2013; 288(27):19343–57. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.M113.479725</u> PMID: <u>23677991</u>
- 43. Jayakar SS, Zhou X, Chiara DC, Dostalova Z, Savechenkov PY, Bruzik KS, et al. Multiple propofolbinding sites in a γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptor (GABA_AR) identified using a photoreactive propofol analog. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2014; 289(40):27456–68. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.M114.581728</u> PMID: <u>25086038</u>
- 44. Stewart D, Desai R, Cheng Q, Liu A, Forman SA. Tryptophan mutations at azi-etomidate photo-incorporation sites on α1 or β2 subunits enhance GABA_A receptor gating and reduce etomidate modulation. Molecular Pharmacology. 2008; 74(6):1687–95. doi: 10.1124/mol.108.050500 PMID: 18805938
- 45. Stewart DS, Hotta M, Li GD, Desai R, Chiara DC, Olsen RW, et al. Cysteine substitutions define etomidate binding and gating linkages in the α-M1 domain of γ-aminobutyric acid type A (GABA_A) receptors. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2013; 288(42):30373–86. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.M113.494583</u> PMID: <u>24009076</u>
- 46. Harpsøe K, Ahring PK, Christensen JK, Jensen ML, Peters D, Balle T. Unraveling the high- and low-sensitivity agonist responses of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2011; 31(30):10759–66. doi: <u>10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1509-11.2011</u> PMID: <u>21795528</u>
- 47. Mazzaferro S, Gasparri F, New K, Alcaino C, Faundez M, Iturriaga Vasquez P, et al. Non-equivalent ligand selectivity of agonist sites in (α4β2)₂α4 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: a key determinant of agonist efficacy. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2014; 289(31):21795–806. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.M114.</u> <u>555136</u> PMID: <u>24936069</u>
- 48. Feng H-J, Bianchi MT, Macdonald RL. Pentobarbital differentially modulates α1β3δ and α1β3γ2L GABA_A receptor currents. Molecular Pharmacology. 2004; 66(4):988–1003. PMID: 15247320
- Bianchi MT, Macdonald RL. Neurosteroids shift partial agonist activation of GABA_A receptor channels from low- to high-efficacy gating patterns. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2003; 23(34):10934–43.
 PMID: 14645489
- Feng HJ, Jounaidi Y, Haburcak M, Yang X, Forman SA. Etomidate produces similar allosteric modulation in α1β3δ and α1β3γ2L GABA_A receptors. British Journal of Pharmacology. 2014; 171(3):789–98. doi: 10.1111/bph.12507 PMID: 24199598
- Feng H-J, Macdonald RL. Multiple actions of propofol on αβγ and αβδ GABA_A receptors. Molecular Pharmacology. 2004; 66(6):1517–24. PMID: <u>15331770</u>
- 52. Günther U, Benson J, Benke D, Fritschy JM, Reyes G, Knoflach F, et al. Benzodiazepine-insensitive mice generated by targeted disruption of the γ2 subunit gene of γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1995; 92(17):7749–53.
- Bencsits E, Ebert V, Tretter V, Sieghart W. A significant part of native γ-aminobutyric acid_A receptors containing α4 subunits do not contain γ or δ subunits. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1999; 274 (28):19613–6. PMID: <u>10391897</u>
- Brickley SG, Cull-Candy SG, Farrant M. Single-channel properties of synaptic and extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors suggest differential targeting of receptor subtypes. The Journal of Neuroscience. 1999; 19(8):2960–73. PMID: <u>10191314</u>
- Yeung JYT, Canning KJ, Zhu G, Pennefather P, MacDonald JF, Orser BA. Tonically activated GABA_A receptors in hippocampal neurons are high-affinity, low-conductance sensors for extracellular GABA. Molecular Pharmacology. 2003; 63(1):2–8. PMID: <u>12488530</u>
- Mortensen M, Smart TG. Extrasynaptic αβ subunit GABA_A receptors on rat hippocampal pyramidal neurons. The Journal of Physiology. 2006; 577(3):841–56.
- 57. Everitt AB, Seymour VAL, Curmi J, Laver DR, Gage PW, Tierney ML. Protein interactions involving the γ2 large cytoplasmic loop of GABA_A receptors modulate conductance. The FASEB Journal. 2009; 23 (12):4361–9. doi: 10.1096/fj.09-137042 PMID: 19703932