Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Chemistry: X



journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/food-chemistry-x

Effects of cooking methods on aroma formation in pork: A comprehensive review

Shuwei Wang, Haitao Chen, Jie Sun^{*}, Ning Zhang, Shuqi Wang, Baoguo Sun

Beijing Key Laboratory of Flavor Chemistry, Beijing Technology and Business University, Beijing 100048, China

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
<i>Keywords:</i> Pork Flavor compounds Cooking methods Heat transfer media Maillard reaction	Pork is widely consumed and appreciated by consumers across the world, and there are various methods of cooking pork. This study aimed to summarize the effects of different heat transfer media on pork flavor and the sources of flavor compounds. The cooking methods are classified based on the heat transfer media used, which include water and steam (e.g. steaming, boiling, and stewing), heat source or hot air (e.g. baking and smoking), oil (e.g. pan-frying, stir-frying, and deep frying), and other cooking technologies. The objective is to provide a reference for researchers studying pork cooking methods and flavor components.

1. Introduction

Pork is an important type of meat for human consumption due to its relatively soft texture, low connective tissue content, and high intramuscular fat levels. Global pork production in 2021 is projected to be 101,481 thousand metric tons, while global pork consumption is expected to be 100,853 thousand metric tons. China, as a major pork consumer, imported 1.76 million tons of pork in 2022, valued at 3.898 billion US dollars, according to data from General Administration of Customs of the P.R. China. https://www.customs.gov.cn/eportal/ui? pageId=302275.

Cooking is an essential step in food preparation, as it can improve the flavor, texture, and appearance of food, and enhance its nutritional value to meet people's diverse taste preferences (Chumngoen, Chen, & Tan, 2016; da Silva et al., 2017; Domínguez, Gómez, Fonseca, & Lorenzo, 2014; Özcan & Bozkurt, 2015; Yuan et al., 2022). Different heat treatment methods can impact the nutritional content and quality of food to varying degrees. Cooking methods can be classified according to the heat transfer media used (Lin, Chen, Liu, & Guo, 2021). For instance, cooking methods that use water and steam, such as steaming, boiling, and stewing, are one category. Cooking methods that involve direct contact with hot air or heat sources, such as baking and smoking, are another. Other cooking methods use oil as a heat transfer medium, such as frying, sautéing, and deep-frying. With the advancement of technology and improvement of living standards, many new processing technologies, such as microwave cooking, low vacuum cooking, and highpressure processing, are increasingly used in food production.

This paper systematically reviewed the effects of different cooking methods on pork flavor and discussed the sources of flavor compounds that affect pork. This review will help gain more insight into pork flavor chemistry and can provide guidance for improving the flavor of pork and pork processing products. In addition, this review will provide a theoretical basis for the improvement of pork production technologies and quality.

2. Cooking methods and their effects on flavor generation

Raw meat has little or no aroma and only a salty, metallic and bloody taste, while cooked meat has unique and rich aroma with attractive taste. When meat is heated, non-volatile chemicals are converted into volatile ones. The volatile compounds formed during cooking determine the aroma characteristics of meat and contribute the most to its distinctive flavor. During the cooking process, a series of complex chemical reactions occur between the non-volatile components of fatty tissue and lean tissue, such as Maillard reaction and lipid oxidation, producing a large number of reaction products.

2.1. Maillard reaction mechanism

The Maillard reaction is a series of complex chemical reactions that occur when the carbonyl group of a reducing carbohydrate interacts with the amino group of free amino acids, peptides, or proteins (Fu, Zhang, Soladoye, & Aluko, 2020). The Maillard reaction is influenced by various factors, such as the type and concentration of reactants, time, pH

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* sunjie@btbu.edu.cn (J. Sun).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2023.100884

Received 10 May 2023; Received in revised form 10 September 2023; Accepted 15 September 2023 Available online 16 September 2023



^{2590-1575/© 2023} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

(Ajandouz, Tchiakpe, Ore, Benajiba, & Puigserver, 2001), temperature (Lan et al., 2010), and water activity (Davidek, Marabi, Mauroux, Bauwens, & Kraehenbuehl, 2018). Different cooking methods result in different processing temperatures for pork. The Maillard reaction is composed of three main stages: early, intermediate, and final (Liu et al., 2020). The reaction starts with the condensation of reducing sugars with free amino acids to produce Amadori or Heyns rearrangement products. In the intermediate stage, hydroxymethylfurfural or dicarbonyl compounds and reducketones are formed at various pH values, leading to a cascade of reactions. In the final stage, a variety of Maillard reaction products, including volatile flavor compounds, brown pigments, or melanoids, are generated (Sohail et al., 2022) (Fig. 1). Due to the intricate process of the Maillard reaction, each reaction and reactant is sensitive to temperature in different ways (Liu et al., 2022).

Heating time and temperature in different processing methods could influence the intensity of Maillard reaction. The Maillard reaction is more intense at higher processing temperatures. Bhaskar et al. studied the Maillard reaction in meat under different cooking treatments, such as braising, autoclaving, sous vide, and oven roasting (Bhaskar, Rene, Ines, & Jorge, 2018). Furosine, an early Maillard reaction indicator, was detected at different temperature–time combinations. Research found that boiling and high-pressure samples showed a significant increase in furosine content. N ε -Carboxymethyl lysine, an advanced glycation end product indicator, also increased with cooking temperature. Samples cooked in the oven showed the highest levels of these indicators, followed by meat cooked with autoclave operations and braising, suggesting that temperature plays a significant role in the development of the Maillard reaction in meat.

To explore the formation of potential Maillard reaction products in stir-fried pork slices, changes in acrylamide and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF) content were analyzed in the samples (Wang et al., 2021). 5-HMF, an important Maillard reaction product, increased significantly, indicating that the Maillard reaction was robust. As heating and reaction time were prolonged, 5-HMF underwent further polymerization or degradation reactions to generate final products, leading to a gradual reduction in its content. Moreover, the pyrazine content also reflected the degree of the Maillard reaction during pork slice processing. Pyrraline is an advanced glycation end product (AGE), and its content increased with prolonged cooking time during stir-frying of meat slices.

The chemical and sensory characteristics of pan-fried pork flavor at 150 °C and 250 °C were studied (Meinert, Andersen, Bredie, Bjergegaard, & Aaslyng, 2007). At higher temperatures, the local dehydration exhibited by the meat accelerates the formation of Maillard-derived flavor compounds. Therefore, the barbecue flavor of pork fried at 250 °C is more intense, and the variety and content of Maillard-derived compounds, such as pyrazines, furans, and aldehydes, increase. However, as the heating temperature increases and the heating time prolongs, the content of harmful substances such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, heterocyclic aromatic amines, and cholesterol oxidation products in pork also increases (Buła, Przybylski, Jaworska, & Kajak-Siemaszko, 2019; Hung, Lee, Inbaraj, Sridhar, & Chen, 2021; Kim et al., 2022; Polak, Demšar, Zahija, & Polak, 2020; Zhang, Yu, Mei, & Wang, 2013). Therefore, choosing the appropriate frying temperature and time can not only accumulate a stronger barbecue flavor, but also greatly avoid the formation of harmful substances caused by high temperatures (Wang et al., 2021).

2.2. Lipid oxidation

Lipid oxidation is a significant biochemical reaction that occurs during the processing or storage of meat products. There are two primary modes of lipid oxidation: (1) direct oxidation of lipids that produce volatile substances; and (2) lipids are first degraded into glycerol, phosphoric acid, and free fatty acids, and then oxidized to form various products. Research has demonstrated that the second mode is the main lipid oxidation pathway in meat products, and direct lipid oxidation without degradation only accounts for a small proportion (Wang, 2009) (Fig. 2). Moderate lipid oxidation can impart excellent flavor to meat products. During processing, many volatile flavor substances in meat are produced by lipid oxidation, such as hexanal and benzaldehyde, which are primarily oxidation products of linoleic acid (Brewer, 2009). Aldehydes containing 6 to 10 carbon atoms have a lower sensory detection threshold and play a significant role in meat aroma. Evaluation of the degree of lipid oxidation is commonly achieved by determining primary and secondary oxidation products. Primary oxidation is typically determined through the measurement of peroxide value and conjugated dienes. Secondary oxidation is typically evaluated by measuring the substrate value of the thiobarbituric acid reaction. Furthermore, the degree of lipid oxidation can be assessed by measuring the amount of volatile compounds using GC-MS. The intensity of lipid oxidation in meat is dependent on the cooking method, temperature, and time (Broncano, Petrón, Parra, & Timón, 2009).

The impact of different cooking temperatures (Meinert et al., 2007), cooking methods (Broncano et al., 2009; Hur, Lee, Moon, & Lee, 2014; Ivic, Tomovic, Jokanovic, Skaljac, & Sojic, 2019; Soladoye et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2016), cooking times (Broncano et al., 2009), and storage times (Soladoye et al., 2017) on the formation of lipid oxidation products in pork were investigated. The results demonstrated that the



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of Maillard reaction: Stages and key intermediates. Referred to (Liu et al., 2020).



Fig. 2. Complex reactions involved in lipid oxidation and degradation.

peroxide value (POV) and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) values of cooked pork significantly increased, and lipid oxidation in pork increased with an increase in cooking temperature and time. The impact of shorter cooking time and higher temperature on the oxidation process was greater than that of longer cooking time and lower temperature. Roasted and fried meat exhibited the highest oxidation levels (Broncano et al., 2009; Soladoye et al., 2017; Wang, 2009; Wang et al., 2016). However, in a study by (Hur et al., 2014), due to the high heating efficiency, the meat cooked by microwave was heated more evenly than generally occurs in other cooking techniques, resulting in a significantly higher total lipid digestibility of samples cooked by microwave compared to that of meat patties cooked by other methods, The content of free fatty acids was significantly higher than those cooked by frying or boiling meat patties, indicating that the sample with the highest lipid oxidation was the microwave-cooked one. The interaction between microwaves and meat fat was also found to result in the oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids. The degree of lipid unsaturation and the content of free fatty acids in pork can also influence the formation of pork flavors (Song et al., 2017).

2.3. Interaction between lipid and Maillard reaction

Maillard reaction products can either promote or reduce lipid oxidation (Zamora & Hidalgo, 2011). Moreover, from a non-enzymatic browning perspective, the two pathways share common intermediates and polymerization mechanisms, and the products produced are similar (Zamora & Hidalgo, 2005). The saturated and unsaturated aldehydes generated from lipid oxidation interact with Maillard reaction products to produce heterocyclic sulfur compounds, such as thiazolines, thiazoles, thiapyrans, and thiophenes (Mottram & Elmore, 2002). The resulting products typically have a higher odor threshold than those from the parent reaction, affecting the flavor of food by modifying the formation of other compounds during the Maillard reaction or lipid oxidation, which in turn affects the relative amounts of other flavor compounds in the food aroma spectrum. Thermal processing during frying, cooking, and grilling result in a wide range of volatile product formation due to the interaction of lipid oxidation and the Maillard reaction (Shahidi &

Hossain, 2022).

Six methods were used to treat beef patties to compare the effects of different cooking methods on the lipid and protein components of hamburgers (Rodriguez-Estrada, Penazzi, Caboni, Bertacco, & Lercker, 1997). The results showed that the peroxide value of raw samples was significantly higher than that of cooked hamburgers; however, the panisidine value of raw meat was significantly lower than that of cooked hamburgers. For cooked samples, heat treatment reduces the amount of peroxides that are converted to secondary oxidation products, resulting in an increase in the p-anisidine value. The total oxidation (TOTOX) values for roasted and barbecued hamburgers were significantly higher than those for raw meat and other cooked samples, while the combination of microwave and roasting had the lowest TOTOX value. In this case, some of the secondary products may combine with other molecules, such as Maillard reaction products, and produce a series of compounds. Although some studies have found that aromatic volatiles derived from lipids dominate in pork samples fried at 150 °C, while those derived from Maillard reactions dominate at 250 °C(Meinert et al., 2007), there are currently no reports on the effects of different cooking methods on lipid-Maillard interactions in pork.

3. Effects of cooking methods on the formation of volatile compounds

3.1. Impact of steaming, boiling and stewing on pork

Steaming is a cooking process that uses steam as a heat-transfer medium. During steaming, the juices inside and outside the raw materials are not volatilized as much as in other heating methods, thus retaining the umami substances in the dishes and reducing aroma loss. Boiling involves heating raw materials in a pot with an appropriate amount of soup or water and condiments, allowing them to mature in the pot. Boiling is the most versatile and functional technique for cooking with water as the heat-transfer medium. Stewing is similar to boiling, where raw materials are added to soups and condiments. The difference is that stewing involves boiling with a high flame initially, followed by a low flame for prolonged cooking. Stewing maximizes nutrient preservation without producing harmful substances from excessive heating. Covering the pot during stewing reduces oxygen exposure, thereby retaining antioxidants.

The effect of different steaming times on the flavor of pork belly fat was studied (Bi et al., 2022). Using gas chromatography-ion mobility spectrometry (GC-IMS), a total of 36 compounds were identified, including 14 aldehydes and 11 ketones. The results showed that the concentrations of volatile compounds in the 30 and 180-minute samples were similar, but different from those in the uncooked samples. After steaming, the contents of octanal, heptanal, ethyl hexanoate, hexanal-m, 2-methylbutanal-m, 2-methylbutanal-d, 3-methylbutanal-d, ethyl acetate-m, ethyl acetate-d, and 2, 3-butanedione increased significantly. These compounds are the main volatile flavor compounds in the steamed samples (relative odor activity value, ROAV \geq 1). Hexanald and 1-heptanol were the key volatile compounds in the samples after steaming. After steaming for 180 min, the contents of 3-hydroxy-2butanone and ethyl formate continued to increase and became the main volatile flavor compounds of the samples. The content of 3-hydroxy-2butanone and ethyl formate continued to rise after cooking for 180 min, becoming the primary volatile flavor compounds.

Different pork varieties (Han, Zhang, Fauconnier, & Mi, 2020), extraction methods (Wang et al., 2016), and stewing methods (Han et al., 2021) were used to stew pork, resulting in the detection of 61, 94, and 60 compounds, respectively, with the highest content being aldehyde compounds. Ketones and esters were not detected in samples from the high-temperature stewing method, but in another study (Han, Zhang, & Fauconnier, 2021), the amount of alcohols and ketones in pork samples gradually increased with the addition of seasoning. Odor activity value (OAV) calculation revealed that although the types of odoractive compounds were the same in different pork stewing methods, their OAV values differed significantly. Among them, hexanal, nonanal, and 1-octene-3-ol were considered the main contributors to the flavor of stewed pork. Different core temperatures in pork during boiling also affect the flavor and quality of pork (Chae & Kim, 2010).

The influence of seasoning on the volatile components and sensory characteristics of stewed pork was studied by gradually adding salt, spices, soy sauce, sugar, and cooking wine to the seasoning formula (Han, Zhang, & Fauconnier, 2021). The contents of volatile compounds were highest in pork stewed in water and salt, and the types and proportions of aldehydes and heterocyclic and sulfur-containing compounds increased significantly, among which (Z)-hept-2-enal, 2-butyloct-2-enal, and tetradecanal were only detected in samples stewed in water and salt. This indicated that spices, soy sauce, sugar, and cooking wine had certain inhibitory effects on the production of some aldehydes in stewed pork, but the principle of inhibition is unknown. The OAV values obtained using different processing methods are shown in Table 1.

By comparing different stewing durations (Chang, Wang, Chen, Zhang, & Sun, 2021), stewing conditions (Wang, Song, Zhang, Tang, & Yu, 2016), and pork stews (Xu et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2017), 48, 78, 104, and 42 compounds, respectively, were detected, among which hydrocarbon and aldehyde compounds were the most abundant. Acid compound content was highest in (Chang et al., 2021) and (Zhao et al., 2017), while aldehyde compound content was highest in (Yongxia Xu et al., 2011). Thirty, 47, 27, and 19 key flavor compounds were identified by GC-O, among which aldehydes were the most common. Commonly smelled compounds include hexanal (green, cut-grass), (E)-2-heptenal (fatty), (E,E)-2,4-decadienal (fatty), and 1-octen-3-ol (mushroom). In the pork soup stock (Takakura, Osanai, Masuzawa, Wakabayashi, & Nishimura, 2014), 15 compounds had flavor dilution (FD) \geq 64. Through aroma recombination, addition, and omission experiments, acetol, octanoic acid, δ -decalactone, and decanoic acid were identified as the main active compounds affecting the aroma of pork stock. The volatile flavor compounds in pork soup are shown in Table 2.

3.2. Impact of roasting and smoking on pork flavor and texture

Roasting is a cooking method that involves placing processed materials inside an oven and heating them with heat radiation produced by an open flame or a dark flame. After roasting, the raw materials have a crispy surface and a charred flavor. Smoking is a primary processing technology for smoked products. The use of wood chips, brown sugar, and other materials produced by incomplete combustion of smoke is a processing method that alters the flavor of raw materials and enhances product quality.

Different roasting techniques and the core temperature of pork during cooking can impact the flavor and texture of pork (Bejerholm & Aaslyng, 2004). The effects of different curing solutions on volatile compounds in roasted pork neck meat have been investigated (Biller, Boselli, Obiedziński, Karpiński, & Waszkiewicz-Robak, 2016). The results revealed that curing the meat before roasting could not only significantly alter the concentration and distribution of volatile compounds, but also reduce chemical differences between surface and internal layers. In total, 94 compounds were identified in roasted pork neck meat, and only 53 compounds were found in all surface samples. All of these 53 volatile compounds were significant by factor analysis and explained the differences among the surface samples related to the marinating process. The internal part had significantly higher contents of aldehydes and alcohols than the surface sample, while the surface sample had higher contents of ketones, carboxylic acids, esters, furan and pyran derivatives, pyrazine, pyrrol and pyridine derivatives, benzene derivatives, and other compounds than the internal part. By controlling the roasting temperature, it was found that among the 21 volatile compounds (Chen, Liu, & Chen, 2002), the total amount of volatile substances in pork jerky roasted at 200 °C was higher than that in pork jerky roasted at 150 °C. Under both conditions, the relative content of 1-octen-3-ol and methyl-eugenol was the highest, and the content difference was significant (at 200 °C, the content was 2108.6 μ g/kg and 1321.4 μ g/kg, respectively, and 192.2 μ g/kg and 169.1 μ g/kg at 150 °C). Due to the extremely high temperature during the roasting process, it is possible that lipid oxidation compounds have reacted or combined with other molecules, leading to a reduction in the content of oxidation compounds, such as hexanal (Broncano et al., 2009).

The impact of different smoking methods on the sensory properties, free amino acids, and volatile compounds of bacon has been investigated (Guo, Wang, Chen, Yu, & Xu, 2021). Only 13 volatile compounds were detected in unsmoked bacon, whereas 59 volatile compounds were identified in smoked bacon. Among them, no phenolic compounds were found in the unsmoked bacon, and only one ketone and two hydrocarbon compounds were detected, with a content of less than 6 μ g/kg. Conversely, the detected contents in bacon after smoking were 94.44 μ g/kg and 1214.37 μ g/kg. The volatile compounds in bacon are influenced by the smoking process, wood type, seasoning, and other factors, and the total amount and relative content of volatile compounds in bacon smoked in various ways also differ.

3.3. Impact of pan-frying, stir-frying and deep frying on pork

Pan-frying involves heating a small amount of oil in a pan, placing the food in it, and cooking it until it is fully cooked and slightly golden brown or even slightly mushy on the surface. The amount of oil should not be immersed in the raw materials. Deep-frying is similar to panfrying, but involves heating at a high temperature, and the amount of oil used is generally several times more than the raw material. Stir-frying is one of the most widely used cooking methods, mainly using oil as the main thermal conductivity. The raw materials are heated over medium-high heat in a short time, and turned at any time to cook. Stir-frying is generally a quick process, with the ingredients evenly heated to reach an edible state, that delivers a superior quality and flavor and helps to maintain the nutritional components of the raw materials (Bai et al., 2021).

Table 1

Odor-active compounds (OAVs \geq 1) identified in stewed pork using different processing methods.

Compounds	^a Odor threshold (µg∙kg ⁻¹)	fresh pork ^[1]	stewed pork with water ^[1]	stewed pork with water and salt ^[1]	Traditional stewed pork ^[2]	High- temperature stewed pork ^[2]	Duroc \times (Landrace \times Yorkshire) pig ^[3]	Sanmenxia pig ^[3]	Tibetar pig ^[3]
Pentanal	9	3.1 ± 0.4	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{8.3}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	13.5 ± 1.2	11.1 ± 0.7	4.6	1.3	16.1
Hexanal	4	0.9 \pm	158.3 ± 3.3	158.5 ± 3.7	426.7 ± 7.2	$\textbf{353.0} \pm \textbf{2.8}$	524.2	225.7	301.9
Heptanal	3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \\ 18.9 \pm \end{array}$	40.9 ± 5.3	53.4 ± 0.7	$\textbf{55.8} \pm \textbf{7.1}$	62.4 ± 0.4	41.7	19.0	32.2
Octanal	0.578	1.1 121.0	260.0 ±	$\textbf{329.9} \pm \textbf{35.8}$	$\textbf{380.8} \pm \textbf{9.1}$	$\textbf{883.9} \pm \textbf{31.7}$	142.2	N.D.	150.5
(7) Hopt 2 opol	19 E	± 15.5	14.8	20 ± 0.7	/	/	/	/	/
(Z)-Hept-2-enal	13.5 1	N.D.	0.4 ± 0.01	2.9 ± 0.7	/		/		
Nonanal	1	219.8	844.4 ±	1475.5 ±	987.7 ± 24.5	2339.4 ± 31.1	333.8	255.7	454.6
(E) 2 Osteral	0	± 13.3	$\begin{array}{c} 94.8\\ 25.3\pm0.4\end{array}$	124.6	/	/	157	71	77
(E)-2-Octenal	3	8.2 ±	25.3 ± 0.4	14.1 ± 2.5	/	/	15.7	7.1	7.7
Decanal	2	0.4 2.6 ±	$\textbf{4.5}\pm\textbf{0.9}$	$\textbf{4.0} \pm \textbf{0.3}$	/	/	N.D.	5.5	N.D.
	_	0.3							
Benzaldehyde	7	/	/	/	8.7 ± 0.5	12.2 ± 0.4	3.3	1.4	7.1
Benzeneacetaldehyde	4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \ \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	1.0 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.4	/	/	/	/	/
(E)-2-Nonenal	1	$\begin{array}{c} 39.2 \pm \\ 5.0 \end{array}$	59.5 ± 13.3	$\textbf{79.5} \pm \textbf{5.2}$	63.1 ± 12.9	$\textbf{77.9} \pm \textbf{7.2}$	16.6	8.7	13.9
(E)-2-Decenal	0.4	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9.8} \pm \\ \textbf{0.7} \end{array}$	18.6 ± 3.6	15.4 ± 3.4	/	/	N.D.	34.0	N.D.
(E,E)-2,4-Nonadienal	0.16	30.1 ± 3.0	$\textbf{67.7} \pm \textbf{3.2}$	64.0 ± 2.5	/	/	55.7	25.7	N.D.
Dodecanal	2	/	/	/	/	/	2.2	1.5	N.D.
2-Undecenal	0.78	3.3 \pm	3.5 ± 0.9	3.1 ± 0.4	/	/	9.3	N.D.	N.D.
(E,E)-2,4-Decadienal	0.07	0.3 104.3	143.3 ± 7.6	113.4 ± 13.1	/	/	153.4	83.1	N.D.
1,8-Cineole	1	\pm 14.7 1.7 \pm	$\textbf{9.6} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	15.2 ± 1.8	158.5 ± 2.6	160.4 ± 2.2	/	/	/
1-Octen-3-ol	2	0.8 0.7 ±	65.0 ± 2.2	68.5 ± 1.5	$\textbf{268.2} \pm \textbf{8.9}$	$\textbf{256.7} \pm \textbf{6.5}$	194.3	114.5	56.9
		0.2							
Ethyl hexanoate	1			/		/	N.D.	7.8	N.D.
Styrene	65	/	/	/	/	/	3.8	N.D.	1.4
2-Ethylfuran	2.3	/	/	/	/	/	17.3	18.5	22.7
Linalool	6	/	/	/	$\textbf{8.8} \pm \textbf{1.0}$	$\textbf{8.6} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	/	/	/
1-Octanol	110	/	/	/	0.6 ± 0.0	1.8 ± 0.1	/	/	/
(E)-2-Octen-1-ol	3,50	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 \pm \\ 0.1 \end{array}$	1.5 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2	$\textbf{22.4} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	28.6 ± 2.0	10.9	8.1	4.5
2,3-Butanedione	4.37	$2.9~\pm$ 0.5	$\textbf{5.4} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	5.3 ± 0.7	/	/	1	/	/
Hydroxyacetone	10	N.D.	2.1 ± 0.4	5.8 ± 1.5	/	/	/	/	/
2,3-Octanedione	12	25.3 ± 1.7	48.2 ± 2.2	93.5 ± 15.4	/	/	/	/	1
D-Limonene	10	N.D.	1.3 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 0.1	14.4 ± 1.5	$\textbf{32.9} \pm \textbf{0.7}$	/	/	/
3-Phellandrene	8				14.4 ± 1.5 10.2 ± 0.8		/	1	',
		/	1	1		13.5 ± 0.5 1.8 ± 0.0		/	1
Naphthalene	60 E	/	/	140 1 0 9	0.6 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.0	/	1	1
Ethyl acetate	5	N.D.	9.3 ± 0.8	14.0 ± 0.8	/	/	1	1	1
Estragole	6	/	/	/	18.8 ± 1.5	22.0 ± 0.5	/	/	/
Anethole	15	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	1.1 ± 0.04	1.1 ± 0.1	71.2 ± 2.1	55.9 ± 0.8	N.D.	N.D.	1.0
Eugenol	7.1	/	/	/	61.4 ± 2.0	21.7 ± 0.8	/	/	/
2-Pentylfuran	6	N.D.	$\begin{array}{c} 178.6 \pm \\ 18.1 \end{array}$	162.6 ± 29.7	$\textbf{36.9} \pm \textbf{2.0}$	55.8 ± 0.2	40.7	27.1	22.1
Methanethiol	1.05	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 \ \pm \\ 0.3 \end{array}$	$\textbf{45.2} \pm \textbf{3.5}$	$\textbf{80.1} \pm \textbf{18.1}$	/	/	/	/	/
Dimethyl disulphide	1.1	/	/	1	/	/	76.8	116.5	141.3
Dimethyl trisulfide	0.01	N.D.	$^{'}$ 136.7 ± 9.1	, N.D.			/	/	/
2-Acetylpyrazine	62	/	/	/			1.4	1.4	, 1.5
2-Acetylthiazole	10	/	,	/	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3.3	6.8	7.0
Benzothiazole	80	/	/	/	1	1	3.3 1.4	1.2	1.3
Total	50	/ 594.6	/ 2136.6 ±	/ 2773.0 ±	$^{\prime}$ 2608.3 \pm 71.3	/ 4399.6 ± 29.6	1.4	970.6	1.3
rotal		\pm 58.6	186.9	2773.0 ± 263.3	$2000.3 \pm / 1.3$	7377.0 ± 29.0	1002.0	570.0	1243.

Note: N.D. = not detected. "/", no data found.

^a Orthonasal odor thresholds are all in water (µg/kg) except for the specified liquids (µg/kg) or air (µg/m3) copied from Gemert, L. J. V. Odour Thresholds: Compilations of Flavor Threshold Values in Air, Water and Other Media, Second enlarged and revised edition; Oliemans Punter & Partners BV: Utrecht, the Netherlands, 2011. [1-3] The Nos. in the brackets are the references Nos. listed in the supplementary file 1.

Table 2

0.	Compounds	Odor description ^a	RIs ^b	References	
			Polar	Non Polar	
ldehydes					
	Hexanal	Green, cut-grass	1082	794	4,5,6,7
	(E)-2-heptenal	Fatty	1329	960	4,5,6,7
	(E)-2-octenal	Nutty, fatty	1435	1066	4,5
	Benzaldehyde	Almond-like, roasty	1514	963	4,5,8
	(E)-2-decenal	Waxy, fatty, meaty	1631	1261	4,5,6
	(E)-2-undecanal	Fruity	1736	/	4
	(E,E)-2,4-decadienal	Fatty	1819	1319	4,5,6,7
	(E,E)-2,4-nonadienal	Fatty	1695	1218	5,6,7
	Octanal	Fatty	1291	1013	5,7
)	Vanillin	Vanilla	2460	/	8
1	Nonanal	Fatty, oily	1397	1104	5,6,7,8
2	2-Undecenal	Fatty	1747	1381	5,7
3	Decanal	Sweet	1501	1207	5,7
4	Pentanal	Green	927	687	5,6
5	(E)-2-hexenal	Green, fatty	/	835	6,7
5	Heptanal	Sweet melon, fatty	1184	886	5,6,7
7	Phenylacetaldehyde	Rose	/	1049	6
3	(E)-2-nonenal	Cucumber	1542	1150	6,7
9	(E)-cinnamaldehyde	Spicy	2053	1273	5
)	Benzeneacetaldehyde	Rosy	/	1051	5
1	(E,E)-2,4-heptadienal	Chicken soup	1486	1024	7
llfur compounds	· / / /· ·····	- r			
2	3-(Methylthio)propanal	Cooked potato	1437	912	4,6
3	Dimethyl sulfone	Sulfurous burnt	1889	921	4
4	Dimethyl disulfide	Onions, Rotten	1075	739	5
5	Methyl ethyl disulfide	Onions	1149	828	5
5	Diethyl disulfide	Garlic	1218	925	5
	Dimethyl trisulfide		1389	923 984	5 5,6
7 3	Direthyl trisulfide	Meaty, cooked cabbage, sulfur Garlic	1518	984 1142	5,0 5
))	Methanthiol	Sulfur, gasoline		455	6
		-	1		
)	2-Methyl-3-furanthiol	Cooked meat	1	875	6
1	2-Furfurylthiol (Furfuryl mercaptan)	Sesame, meat, cheesy	1	916	6
2	2-Mercaptothiophene	Sesame	1	986	6
3	2-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde	Sulfur, nutty	/	1005	5,6
4	4-Methyl-5-thiazoleethanol	Sesame	2289	1299	4,6
5	3-(Methylthio)propionaldehyde	Cooked potato	1461	912	5
etones			1150	,	
5	2-Heptanone	Coconut, woody	1170	/	4
7	3-Hydroxy-2-butanone	Fatty, yogurt	1270	705	4,6
3	1-Hydroxypropan-2-one (1-Hydroxy-2-propanone)	Pungent	1286	673	4
Ð	2-Butanone	Green	901	/	5
)	2-Pentanone	Sweet	978	/	5
1	1-Penten-3-one	Pungent, rotten	1017	745	5
2	Acetophenone	Floral, sweet	1661	/	5
3	Acetol	Mushroom-like, green	1197	/	8
4	2-Pentadecanone	Sweet	1913	/	8
5	2,3-Pentanedione	Butter	1060	/	5
5	6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	Fruity	1342	/	5
lcohols					
7	1-Pentanol	Fusel oil, peanut	1255	775	4,5
3	1-Octen-3-ol	Mushroom	1454	982	4,5,6,7
Ð	2-Furanmethanol	Caramel, bread	1644	861	4
)	2-Butanol	Alcoholic	1029	/	5
1	2-Pentanol	Sweet, alcoholic	1124		5
2	3,5-Octadien-2-ol	Green	/	1021	5
3	Phenylethyl alcohol	Rose		1115	5
4	1-Octanol	Fatty, oxidized oil-like	1552	1076	5,7,8
5	1-Heptanol	Floral	1457	980	6
5	(E)-2-nonen-1-ol	Fatty, sweet	/	1175	7
cids					
7	Propanoic acid	Pungent acidic	1513	/	4
8	Butanoic acid	Pungent acidic	1610	/	4
		-		/ 992	4
)	Hexanoic acid Octanoic acid	Sour, fatty	1827	992 1175	
		Fatty, waxy	2035	11/0	4,8
1	Nonanoic acid	Waxy	2140	/	4,8
2	Decanoic acid	Sour, fatty	2249	/	4,8
3	Tetradecanoic acid	Fatty, soapy	2662	/	4
4	Acetic acid	Sour, sweat	1343	/	8
5	3-Methylbutanoic acid	Animal, cheese-like	1576	/	8
	Dedecourte esti	0	2202	/	8
5 sters	Dodecanoic acid	Camphor	2392	/	0

Table 2 (continued)

No.	Compounds	Odor description ^a	RIs ^b		References ^c	
			Polar	Non Polar		
68	Ethyl acetate	Fruity	886	/	5	
69	Butanoic acid butyl ester	Fruity	1222	/	5	
70	γ-Undecalactone	Peach	1298	/	5	
71	γ-decalactone	Peach	/	1430	6	
72	Methyl palmitate	Sweet	2130	/	8	
Heterocyclic con	npounds					
73	2-Acetylpyrrole	Musty	1953	/	4	
74	2-Acetylthiazole	Sesame, roasted, rice	1657	1023	5,6	
75	Benzothiazole	Caramel	1974	1224	5	
76	2-Acetyl-1-pyrroline	Nutty, roasted	/	950	6	
77	2-Ethyl-3-methylpyrazine	Roasted	/	1023	6	
78	5-(Hydroxymethyl) furfural	Musty	2431	/	8	
79	4-Hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone	Sweet, caramel	2011	1055	4,6	
Aromatics						
80	p-Cresol	Herbal, animal	2078	1075	4	
81	Naphthalene	camphoric	1733	1185	5	
Furans	•	-				
82	Furfural	Roasted	1469	/	5	
83	2-Pentylfuran	Fruity, green	1238	1002	5,7	
Thiophenes					,	
84	2-Methylthiophene	Onion	1091	/	5	
Hydrocarbons						
85	Toluene	Fruity, sweet	1039	759	5	
Others		• -				
86	Pyridine	Burnt	1195	737	5	
87	D-Limonene	Sweet	1192	1035	5	
88	2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-phenol	Woody	/	1407	6	

^a Odor descriptions were referred to the references of Nos. writing in this table.

^b Retention indices (RIs) relative to the n-alkanes in the polar (DB-wax) and non-polar (DB-5) columns documented in the NIST 14 database. "/", no data found.

^c The Nos. in the brackets are the references Nos. listed in the supplementary file 1.

The effects of frying on aroma components in pork were compared and analyzed using different pork products (Timón, Carrapiso, Jurado, & van de Lagemaat, 2004) and cooking methods (Yang et al., 2017). The volatile components in fried bacon and fried pork loin were similar, but fried bacon had many pyrazine, pyridine, and other nitrogen-like compounds that were almost absent in fried pork loin. Although the composition of the compounds in the fried bacon sample was higher than that in the fried pork loin sample, the concentrations of most compounds in the bacon sample were much lower. This can be attributed to the inhibition of lipid oxidation in the presence of nitrite. Aldehydes such as pentanal, hexanal, heptanal, and nonanal were the most abundant compounds in fried pork. They were abundant in uncured products, whereas cured products contained lower levels. It was found in (Yang et al., 2017) that the types and concentrations of aromatic active compounds in samples prepared using different cooking methods differed significantly. In addition to aldehydes and alcohols, pyrazines were also detected in deep oil-frying samples with a high content. The 2,5-dimethylpyrazine and 6-methyl-2-ethylpyrazine contents were 59 μ g/kg and 56.659 μ g/kg, respectively. However, the aldehyde content in the electric oven cooking sample was 2.6 times that in the deep-oil frying sample, which may be due to the pork loin being heated for a long time. Different frying temperatures can also affect the aroma components of pork. Compared to 150 °C, pan-frying at 250 °C generally increases the intensity of the flavor and odor of fried meat and burnt caramel, whereas the intensity of boiled meat, piggy, and sour flavors and odors decreases (Meinert et al., 2007). Pyrazine compounds were only formed at 250 °C. Moreover, different types of frying fats also have an impact on the volatile compounds in fried pork (Ramírez, Estévez, Morcuende, & Cava, 2004).

To investigate the changes in basic components, aroma components, and potentially harmful substances in the stir-frying process of meat slices, the volatile components in edible oil, vinegar, raw meat, and cooked samples were analyzed separately (Wang et al., 2021). Stirfrying increased the variety of volatile compounds in pork slices and produced 2-methylpropanal and heptanal, which were not detected in raw meat. Pyrazine compounds were primarily formed during the prolonged processing time of meat slices, and their content increased with longer stir-frying time. Table 3 provides a list of compounds that were detected multiple times in various pork cooking methods. To visually indicate the possible clustering relationships between different processing methods, sample material groups, and sensory attributes, a Venn diagram (Fig. 3) was performed using TBtools based on Table 3. Fig. 3 indicated that only three common volatile compounds produced by different cooking methods, namely hexanal, heptanal, and 3-hydroxy-2butanone. Hexanal and heptanal were considered universal contributors to meat flavour (Cui et al., 2023). 3-Hydroxy-2-butanone was also one of the key volatile compounds in pork belly fat (Bi et al., 2022). All of these three compounds played an important role in the flavor of pork. Out of a total of 208 compounds, 22 were only detected in smoked pork, indicating that these 22 compounds may be characteristic compounds of smoked pork. Similarly, 24 compounds were only detected in stewed and boiled pork, and they played a crucial role in stewed and boiled pork.

3.4. Effects of other cooking methods on pork flavor

Sous vide cooking is a vacuum-sealed method of cooking with precise temperature control that provides a wide range of doneness and texture options. Cooking in heat-stabilized vacuum pouches not only improves shelf life but also taste and nutrition (Baldwin, 2012; Pathare & Roskilly, 2016; Rotola-Pukkila, Pihlajaviita, Kaimainen, & Hopia, 2015). Using traditional and sous vide cooking methods under various conditions, differences in quality, flavor, and other aspects of braised meat were compared. Among all volatile compounds, aldehydes played a significant role in all samples, especially tetradecanal, benzaldehyde and hexadecanal. Octen-3-ol, phenylethyl alcohol, 2-octen-1-ol (E), and 1octanol are the main flavor substances in braised meat. 2,3-octanedione and 2-cyclopentanone are the main ketones detected in braised meat (Jiang et al., 2022). By comparing different sealing treatments and sous vide cooking of pork loin patties, it was found that additional sealing

Table 3

No.	Compounds	RIs ^a		Odor description ^b	Processing method ^c	
		Polar	Non Polar			
Aldehy	ydes					
L	Hexanal	1098	789	green, cut-grass, rancid, fatty, fruity, acorn	stewed ^[1,2,4,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[15,16,17,19,20] , roasted ^[22,23,24] , fried ^[10,12,14] , stir-fried ^[11] , deep fried ^[13]	
2	(E)-2-heptenal	1316	970	fatty	Stewed ^[4,7,5,6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16,17] , roasted ^[22] , freid ^[14]	
3	(E)-2-octenal	1416	1065	nutty, fatty	Stewed ^[1,4,7,5,6] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[16,18] , roasted ^[21,22] , freid ^[14]	
4	Benzaldehyde	1530	939	almond-like, roasty	Stewed ^[1,2,8,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[15,16,17,19,20] , roasted ^[22,23,24] , fried ^[10,12,14] , deep fried ^[13]	
5	(E)-2-decenal	1600	1247	waxy, fatty, meaty	Stewed ^[1,4,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , roasted ^[22,23]	
,	(E)-2-undecanal	1731	/	fruity	Stewed ^[4,7]	
7	(E,E)-2,4-decadienal	1781	1306	fatty, fried, waxy	Stewed ^[1,4,7,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22,23]	
3	(E,Z)-2,4-decadienal	/	1327	fat, fried	Smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22] , freid ^[14]	
)	(E,E)-2,4-nonadienal	1695	1204	fatty, geranium, pungent	Stewed ^[1,6] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22]	
0	Octanal	1291	1013	fatty, green, fresh, meat, stew-like, rancid, fruity, rancid, sewage, roasted	Stewed ^[1,2,4,7,5] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[15,18,19,20] , roasted ^[22,23] freid ^[14]	
1	Nonanal	1388	1090	fatty, oily, rancid, sweet, green	Stewed ^[1,2,4,7,8,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[15,16,19,20] , roasted ^[22,24] , fried ^[10,12,14] , deep fried ^[13]	
12	2-Undecenal	1747	1159	fatty, sweet	Stewed ^[1,5] , boiled ^[3] , fried ^[10] , smoked ^[15,16]	
13	Decanal	1501	1207	sweet, penetrating, floral, citrus, waxy	Stewed ^[1,7,5] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[16,20] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10,12,14]	
14	Pentanal	1002	693	green, nutty, toasted, fruity, pungent, acrid	Stewed ^[1,2,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[15,16,17,20] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10,12,14]	
15	(E)-2-hexenal	1206	856	green, fatty	Stewed ^[7,6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22]	
16	Heptanal	1192	881	fatty, oily, toasted, fruity, sewage, cured ham-like	Stewed ^[1,2,4,7,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[15,16,19,20] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10,12,14] , stir-fried ^[11]	
17	Phenylacetaldehyde	1648	1031	rose	Stewed ^[6] , roasted ^[22]	
8	(E)-2-nonenal	1542	1157	cucumber, peanut, almond, fatty	Stewed ^[1,2,7,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[18]	
19	Benzeneacetaldehyde	/	1051	rosy, honey	Stewed ^[1,5] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10,14]	
20	(E,E)-2,4-heptadienal	1486	1007	chicken soup	Stewed ^[7] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22] , fried ^[10]	
21	Propanal	776	/	almond-like, green, broth, spicy	Stewed ^[1] , fried ^[12]	
2	2-Methylpropanal	/	552	toasted, fruity, pungent, alcoholic, nutty	Smoked ^[15] , fried ^[10] , stir-fried ^[11]	
23	2-Methylbutanal	903	662	rancid, almond-like, toasted, fruity	Smoked ^[15,17,19,20] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10,12,14] , stir-fried ^[11]	
24	3-Methylbutanal	906	654	acorn, nutty, almond, toasted, cheesy, salty, fruity, pungent, malty	Smoked ^[15,16,19,20] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10,12,14] , stir-fried ^{[11}	
25	2-Hexenal	/	855	fruity, olive oil-like	Smoked ^{<math>[15,16], fried$[10,14]$</math>}	
26	2-Heptenal	1310	/	green, fatty, fried food-like, fruity, almond	Fried ^[10,12]	
27	2-Octenal	1416	1062	leaves, pungent, fatty, rancid, tropical fruit-like, fruity	Smoked ^[15] , fried ^[10,12]	
28	2-Nonenal	/	1163	fatty, waxy	Smoked ^[15,16] , fried ^[10,14]	
29	2,4-Decadienal	/	1325	fatty, rancid	Roasted ^[24] , fried ^[10]	
30	Butanal	850	593	smoky, fish, amylic, aldehyde	Stewed ^[1] , smoked ^[17] , fried ^[10,12]	
31	2,4-Nonadienal	/	1218	/	Stewed ^[7] , fried ^[10]	
32	2-Decenal	/	1266	/	Smoked ^[15,16] , fried ^[10]	
33	Dodecanal	1706	1412	herbaceous, fatty	Boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[20] , roasted ^[23] , freid ^[14]	
34	Tetradecanal	1918	1609	roasted, fried	Stewed ^{<math>[1,7,6], boiled$[3]$, smoked^{<math>[16], roasted$[22,23]$</math>}</math>}	
35	Pentadecanal	2025	1713	/	Stewed ^[1] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22]	
36	Hexadecanal	2133	1791	/	Stewed ^[1,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[16,21] , roasted ^[22,23]	
37	Octadecanal	2380	1998	/	Stewed ^[6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[24]	
38	Undecanal	1598	1305	floral, green, mild	Smoked ^[20] , roasted ^[23]	
39	(E)-2-Pentenal	1	746		Stewed ^[7] , roasted ^[22]	
10	4-(1-Methylethyl)benzaldehyde	1	1200		Stewed ^[5] , roasted ^[22]	
¥1	(E)-9-Octadecenal	/	1991		Stewed ^[1] , roasted ^[22,24] Boiled ^[3] , roasted ^[23]	
42	tridecanal	1812	1525	fatty, sweet	Boiled ^[3] , roasted ^[23] Stewed ^[1] , boiled ^[3]	
43	(Z)-4-Decenal	1534	1202	/	Stewed ^[1] , boiled ^[3] Stewed ^[1] , boiled ^[3]	
14 15	2-butyl-2-octenal	/	1372	cured ham, meaty	Stewed ^[7,6]	
45 Sulfur	2-Methyl-2-butenal compounds	1103	738	/	Sieweu ·	
46	3-(Methylthio)propanal	1424	906	cooked potato	Stewed ^[5,6] , smoked ^[15,16]	
17	Dimethyl disulfide	1073	737	onions, rotten, burnt	Stewed ^[1,5,6] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[15] , fried ^[10,12,14]	
8	Dimethyl trisulfide	1389	972	meaty, cooked cabbage, sulfur, rotten egg	Stewed ^[1,5] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[15] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10,12]	
19	Methanethiol	/	< 500	sulfur, gasoline, rotten eggs, meat or fish, cheesy	Stewed ^[1] , smoked ^[15]	
50	2-Thiophenecarboxaldehyde	1679	1008	sulfur, nutty	Stewed ^[1,5,6]	
	4-Methyl-5-thiazoleethanol	2275	1266	sesame	Stewed ^[5,6]	
51	(Z)-13-Octadecenal	2343	1965	/	Boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16]	
51 52				,	,	
2				/		
52 Ketone	es		892	/ coconut, woody. spicy. toasted	Stewed ^[1,6] , smoked ^[15,16,20] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10,14]	
51 52 Ketone 53 54		/ 1290	892 718	/ coconut, woody, spicy, toasted fatty, yogurt	Stewed ^[1,6] , smoked ^[15,16,20] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10,14] Stewed ^[4,5] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22] , fried ^[10,14] , stir-fried ^{[11}]	

Table 3 (continued)

No.	Compounds	RIs ^a		Odor description ^b	Processing method ^c	
		Polar	Non Polar			
6	2-Butanone	908	597	green, buttery	Stewed ^[1,5] , smoked ^[15,17,19,20] , fried ^[12,14]	
7	2-Pentanone	978	687	sweet	Stewed ^[5] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[17,20] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10]	
8	Acetophenone	1661	1069	floral, sweet	Stewed ^[1,5] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[17,19,20]	
9	2-Pentadecanone	2008	1688	sweet	Stewed ^[4,7,8,6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22]	
50	2,3-Pentanedione	1060	722	butter	Stewed ^[1,5] , fried ^[10,12]	
51	6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	1342	990	fruity	Stewed ^[5] , smoked ^[17,20] , fried ^[10]	
52	2,3-Butanedione	/	593	sweet, vanilla/caramel-like	Stewed ^[1] , smoked ^[17,20] , fried ^[10,14]	
53	2-Octanone	/ 1297	/	fruity, green, floral, fresh	Stewed ^[1] , stir-fried ^[11]	
		1315	/	rust-like, dust, mushroom	Smoked ^[15,18]	
54	1-Octen-3-one				Boiled ^[9] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10,14]	
5	2-Nonanone	/	1087	floral, fruity	Boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[17] , fried ^[10]	
6	Cyclohexanone	1289	844	sweet, wine-like	Smoked ^[19] , fried ^[10]	
57	2-Methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (2-Methyl- 2-cyclopentenone)	/	909	/		
8	2,3-Octanedione	1333	983	mushroom, fungi, green, woody	Stewed ^[1,6] , smoked ^[15] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10,14]	
59	2-Decanone	/	1193	heavy, sweet	Roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10]	
70	2-Undecanone	/	1294	fruity, fatty	Boiled ^[9] , fried ^[10,14]	
'1	Acetone (propan-2-one)	810	503	fruity	Smoked ^[19] , stir-fried ^[11] , fried ^[12,14]	
'2	2-Heptadecanone	/	1910	/	Smoked ^[19] , stir-fried ^[11] , fried ^[12,14] Boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16] , fried ^[14]	
'3	2,3-Dimethyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one	/	1027	1	Smoked ^[15,16,19]	
' 4	3-Methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one	1498	976	sweet, fruity	Stewed ^[6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16,18,19]	
· 75	3-Methyl-1,2-cyclopentanedione	/	/	/	Smoked ^[16,19]	
76	3-Ethyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one	, 1677	1065	, caramel-like	Smoked ^[16,18]	
77 Alcoho	3,5-Octadien-2-one	1564	1098	/	Boiled ^[3] , roasted ^[22]	
100110 78	1-Pentanol	1250	761	fusel oil, peanut, strong, balsamic, mildly	Stewed ^[1,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[15,16,17,19] , roasted ^[22,23] ,	
79	1-Octen-3-ol	1451	960	sweet mushroom, earthy, dust rust-like	fried ^[6,14] , stir-fried ^[11] Stewed ^[1,2,4,7,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[15,16,19] , roasted ^[23,24]	
30	2-Furanmethanol	1635	864	caramel, bread	fried ^[10,14] Stewed ^[6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[15,16,20] , roasted ^[22] , stir-	
					fried ^[11]	
81	2-Butanol	1029	606	alcoholic	Stewed ^[5] , fried ^[10]	
32	3,5-Octadien-2-ol	/	1021	green	Stewed ^[5] , roasted ^[22]	
33	Phenylethyl alcohol	1883	1112	rose	Stewed ^[1,5,6] , smoked ^[15]	
34	1-Octanol	1553	1072	fatty, oxidized oil-like	Stewed ^[1,2,7,8,5,6] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[15,19] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10,14]	
35	1-Heptanol	1457	970	floral	Stewed ^[1,5,6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[15] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10]	
36	Ethanol	937	453	alcohol, sweet	Stewed ^[5] , smoked ^[17,19] , stir-fried ^[11] , fried ^[12]	
37	1-Nonanol	1564	1173	fatty, green	Stewed ^[1,5]	
38	Benzyl alcohol	1848	1045	/	Stewed ^[6] , boiled ^[9]	
39	2-Methyl-2-propanol	/	1016	/	Stewed ^[5] , fried ^[10]	
90	1-Butanol	1155	/	medicinal, fruity	Stewed ^[1,6] , boiled ^[9] , fried ^[10]	
91	1-Penten-3-ol	1172	675	pungent, butter	Stewed ^[1,6] , smoked ^[15,20] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10]	
92			733		Stewed ^[6] , boiled ^[9] , fried ^[10]	
	3-Methyl-3-buten-1-ol	1254			Stewed ^[6] , boiled ^[9] , fried ^[10]	
93	3-Methyl-2-buten-1-ol	1325	767	/	Stewed $(3, \text{ Dolled}^3, \text{ fried}^3)$	
94	1-Hexanol	1358	869	fruity, green	Stewed ^[1,6] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[15,19] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10,1]	
95	2-Ethylhexanol	1493	1028	minty	Stewed ^[1] , boiled ^[9] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[14]	
96	2,3-Butanediol	1568	778		Stewed ^[1,6] , stir-fried ^[11]	
97	Isopropyl alcohol	916	707	/	Smoked ^[17] , fried ^[12]	
98	3-Methyl-1-butanol (Isoamyl alcohol)	/	726	/	Smoked ^[15,19] , roasted ^[22]	
99	1,8-Cineole	1204	/	mint, sweet	Stewed ^[1,2]	
100	4-Terpinenol	/	1177	/	Stewed ^[1] , roasted ^[24]	
101	(E)-2-Octenol	1610	1079	soap, plastic	Stewed ^[1,2,5] , boiled ^[3]	
02	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	1493	1030	/	Stewed ^[5,6]	
Acids						
03	Propanoic acid	1539	/	pungent acidic, sweat	Stewed ^[6] , stir-fried ^[11] , fried ^[14]	
.04	Butanoic acid	1635	, 824	pungent acidic, rancid, cheesy	Stewed ^[6] , smoked ^[16]	
104	Hexanoic acid	1857	1036	sour, fatty, cheesy, sweat	Stewed ^[4,6] , fried ^[14]	
105		2049	1030		Stewed ^[4,8,6] , fried ^[14]	
	Octanoic acid Nonanoic acid	2049 2153	1179	fatty, waxy	Stewed ^[4,8,6] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[14]	
07				waxy	Stewed ^[4,8,6] , fried ^[14]	
.08	Decanoic acid	2255	1376	sour, fatty	Stewed ^[5,6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16]	
.09	Tetradecanoic acid	/	1769	fatty, soapy		
.10 .11	Acetic acid 3-Methylbutanoic acid (Isovaleric acid)	1431 /	660 864	sour, sweat animal, cheese-like, Foot-like, acid,	Stewed ^[1,8,6] , smoked ^[19,20] , stir-fried ^[11] , fried ^[14] Stewed ^[8] , smoked ^[17]	
12	Dodecanoic acid	2474	1574	spoiled ham camphor	Stewed ^[4,8,6]	
112	Pentanoic acid	2474 1732	1574 893	meaty, roasted, spoiled ham	Stewed ^[1,6]	
					Stewed ^[7,6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16,21]	
114 115 Estano	Hexadecanoic acid Octadecanoic acid	/	1952 2148	waxy	Stewed ^(7,6) , boiled ⁽³⁾ , smoked ^(10,21) Stewed ^[7,6] , boiled ^[9]	
Esters	P (1, 1,	0.00	F /2		0. 1[1.5] 1.1[17.19]	
.16	Ethyl acetate	886	569	fruity	Stewed ^[1,5] , smoked ^[17,19] , stir-fried ^[11]	
17	Methyl palmitate	2196	1923	sweet	Stewed ^[8,6]	
18	Butyl acetate	1089	/	fruity	Stir-fried ^[11] , stewed ^[6]	
119	Hexanoic acid ethyl ester	1231			Stewed ^[5] , smoked ^[16]	

Table 3 (continued)

No.	Compounds	RIs ^a		Odor description ^b	Processing method ^c	
		Polar	Non			
			Polar			
20	Butyrolactone	/	911	/	Stewed ^[1] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[23]	
21	γ-Dodecalactone	/	1683	peach-like	Smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22]	
22	γ-Butyrolactone	1610	908	/	Stewed ^[4,6] , smoked ^[17]	
23	Ethyl propionate	957	696	/	Stewed ^[5] , smoked ^[17]	
24	4-Hexanolide	1693	1063	/	Stewed ^[1,6]	
	ocyclic compounds				[17] .[00]	
125	2-Acetylpyrrole	/	1061	musty	Smoked ^[17] , roasted ^[23]	
126	2-Acetylthiazole	1650	1040	sesame, roasted, rice	Stewed ^[5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22,23]	
27	Benzothiazole	1961	1224	caramel, cheese	Stewed ^[1,5,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[20] , roasted ^[22]	
28	Furfural (2-Furfural)	1469	818	roasted	Stewed ^[1,5,6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16,17,19,20] , roasted ^[22] ,	
	a a	1001			fried ^[10] , stir-fried ^[11]	
129	2-Pentylfuran	1234	993	fruity, green, butter	Stewed $[1,2,4,7,5,6]$, boiled $[3,9]$, smoked $[15,16,19,20]$,	
	0.7.1.10	050	510		roasted ^[22,23,24] , fried ^[10,12,14] , deep fried ^[13]	
130	2-Ethylfurane	952	712	sweet, rubber, pungent	Boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[20] , roasted ^[22]	
131	3-Methylthiophene	1185	773	1	Stewed ^[1] , boiled ^[3]	
32	Methylpyrazine	/	827	/	$Smoked^{[16]}$, roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10]	
33	2,5-Dimethylpyrazine	1316	915	/	Smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10,14] , stir-fried ^[11] , deep	
					fried ^[13] Fried ^[10,12,14]	
34	2-Ethyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazine	1441	1079	/		
.35	2-Acetylfuran	1483	913	sweet, roast	Smoked ^[18] , fried ^[10]	
36	2-Furanmethanethiol	/	913	/	Smoked ^[18] , fried ^[10]	
137	Pyridine	1178	742	burnt	Stewed ^[1,5,6] , boiled ^[9,6] , smoked ^[19,20] , fried ^[10,14]	
138	Pyrrole	/	751	/	Smoked ^[20] , fried ^[10] , stir-fried ^[11]	
139	2,3,5-trimethylpyrazine	1405	1006	roasty, earthy	Smoked ^{$[16,18]$} , stir-fried ^{$[11]$} , fried ^{$[12]$} , deep fried ^{$[13]$}	
40	(trimethylpyrazine)	~	,	,	o 1 1[20] c 1[12]	
40	2-Methylfuran	817	/	/	Smoked ^[20] , fried ^[12]	
41	Dibenzofuran	2284	1505		Stewed ^[5] , smoked ^[16] Smoked ^[17,18]	
.42	5-Methylfurfural	1593	966	green, sweet, grass	Smoked ^[17]10] Smoked ^[18] , roasted ^[22]	
143	2-Methylpyrazine	1260	863	roasty, nutty		
44	Pyrazine	1206	/	1	Stewed ^[6] , smoked ^[20]	
45	3-Ethyl-2,5-dimethylpyrazine	/	1078	/	Roasted ^[22,23]	
	Carbons	1000	750	Consideration of the second seco		
46	Toluene (Methyl benzene)	1039	759	fruity, sweet	Stewed ^[1,5] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[19,20] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^{[1}	
47	Hexane	/	/	spicy	Smoked ^[20] , stir-fried ^[11]	
48	Heptane	700	/	sweet	Smoked ^[16,20] , fried ^[10]	
49	Octane	800	788	sweet	Boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[19,20] , fried ^[10,12] , stir-fried ^[11]	
50	Limonene	1190	1034	lemon, wood, citric, fresh	Boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[16,19] , roasted ^[22] , fried ^[14]	
151	α-Pinene	/	937	sharp, pine	Smoked ^[19] , roasted ^[22] , fried ^[10] , stir-fried ^[11]	
152	Methylcyclohexane	/	724	/	Smoked ^[20] , fried ^[10]	
53	2-Octene	/	806	/	Smoked ^[20] , fried ^[10]	
154	4-Octene	/	813	/	Smoked ^[20] , fried ^[10]	
55	Ethylbenzene	1132	858	glue, unpleasant	Stewed ^[1,5,6] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[19,20] , roasted ^[24] , fried ^[10]	
56	Naphthalene	1737	1185	camphoric	Stewed ^[1,2,5] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22] , fried ^[10,14]	
57	1,3-Dimethylbenzene	/	872	/	Stewed ^[1] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[20] , fried ^[10]	
58	1,2-Dimethylbenzene (o-xylene)	1176	896	/	Stewed ^[1,6] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[20] , fried ^[10] , stir-fried ^[11]	
59	Nonane	/	896	1	Stewed ^[6] , fried ^[10]	
60	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1271	945	1	Stewed ^[1] , boiled ^[3]	
61	Decane	1025	993	/	Stewed ^[1,6] , boiled ^[9] , fried ^[10]	
62	3-Carene	/	1013	/	Smoked ^[16,19] , roasted ^[22] , fried ^[10]	
.63	D-Limonene	1170	1014	sweet, citrus, mint	Stewed ^[1,2,7,5,6] , boiled ^[9] , fried ^[10]	
64	Undecane	1100	/	/	Smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried ^[10] , stir-fried ^[11]	
65	Dodecane	1210	1203	/	Stewed ^[1,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[16,19,21] , roasted ^[23] , fried	
					stir-fried ^[11]	
.66	Tridecane	1301	1293	/	Stewed ^[1,4,6] , boiled ^[3] , roasted ^[23] , fried ^[10]	
.67	1-Tetradecene	/	1391	/	Stewed ^[7] , roasted ^[22] , fried ^[10]	
68	Tetradecane	1399	1389	/	Stewed ^[1,4,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[16,21] , roasted ^[23] , fried [[]	
69	camphene	/	943	/	Roasted ^[22] , stir-fried ^[11]	
70	β-pinene	/	976	/	Smoked ^[19] , roasted ^[22] , stir-fried ^[11]	
71	Styrene	1261	895	herbaceous, fatty	Stewed ^[1,5,6] , boiled ^[3] , roasted ^[22] , stir-fried ^[11]	
.72	Pentadecane	1495	1498	/	Stewed ^[1,4,6] , boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[16,20] , roasted ^[22,23] , fried	
					stir-fried ^[11]	
73	2-Methylpentane	/	573	/	Smoked ^[20] , fried ^[14]	
.74	1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene	1418	1218	/	Stewed ^[1] , boiled ^[3]	
75	Hexadecane	1594	1590	1	Stewed ^[4,7] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[16,20] , roasted ^[23]	
76	p-Xylene (Paraxylene)	1118	875	/	Stewed ^[1,6] , boiled ^[3,9] , smoked ^[16,19] , roasted ^[24]	
.77	Caryophyllene	/	1421	/	Smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22]	
.78	Octadecane	, 1794	1792	/	Stewed ^[4,6] , smoked ^[16]	
79	1-Methylnaphthalene	1877	1303	, medicinal, sweet, vanilla-like	Smoked ^[16,18]	
80	γ-Cadinene	/	1513	/	Smoked ^[16] , roasted ^[22]	
	2-Methylnaphthalene	, 1908	1299	bitter	Boiled ^[3] , smoked ^[18]	
81				/	Stewed ^[1] smoked ^[20]	
181 182 183	Benzene Nonadecane	/ 1893	/	/	Stewed ^[1] , smoked ^[20] Stewed ^[4,6]	

Table 3 (continued)

No.	Compounds	RIs ^a	odor description ^b		Processing method ^c
		Polar	Non Polar		
185	Tricosane	2287	2289	/	Stewed ^[4,6]
186	Tetracosane	2405	2397	/	Stewed ^[4,6]
Pheno	ols				
187	2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-phenol	2248	1487	woody	Stewed ^[1,5]
188	2-Methylphenol (o-cresol)	2028	1063	vanilla-like, woody	Smoked ^[15,16,18,19,20]
189	3-Methylphenol	2109	1086	burning, leathery, stinky	Smoked ^[15,16,18]
190	4-Methylphenol (p-cresol)	2071	1093	Herbal, animal	Stewed ^[4,6] , boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[15,20,21]
191	2-Methoxyphenol (Guaiacol)	1888	1075	woody, sweet, smoky	Smoked ^[15,16,17,18,19,20]
192	2,5-Dimethylphenol	2099	1135	butyric acid, stink, leather	Smoked ^[15,18]
193	2,3-Dimethylphenol	/	1136	/	Smoked ^[15,16]
194	3-Ethylphenol	2105	1156	leathery, smoky	Smoked ^[15,16]
195	Phenol	1970	998	/	Boiled ^[9] , smoked ^[16,21]
196	4-Ethyl Guaiacol (4-Ethyl-2-	2048	1279	wood, smoky, caramel-like	Smoked ^[16,18,19,21]
	methoxyphenol)			-	
197	2,6-Dimethoxyphenol	2283	1352	leathery, green	Smoked ^[16,18,19,21]
198	2,6-Dimethylphenol	1937	1109	smoky, burning	Smoked ^[16,18,19]
199	4-Ethylphenol (p-Ethylphenol)	/	1172	/	Smoked ^[16,21]
200	4-Methyl guaiacol	1978	1196	sweet, wood, caramel-like, smoky	Smoked ^[16,18]
201	Butylated hydroxytoluene	1902	1484	/	Stewed ^[6] , smoked ^[16]
202	2-Methoxy-6-methylphenol	1897	1257	woody, sweet	Smoked ^[18,19]
203	3,4-Dimethylphenol	2102	1194	butyric acid, stinky, leathery	Smoked ^[18,21]
204	Eugenol	2155	1361	clove, honey	Stewed ^[2] , roasted ^[22,24]
Other	s			· •	
205	Benzonitrile	/	997	/	Smoked ^[16] , fried ^[10]
206	Estragole	/	1206	licorice, anise	Stewed ^[1,2] , roasted ^[22]
207	<i>cis</i> -Anethole	/	1245	anissed-like, rubber, paint	Stewed ^[1,2] , boiled ^[3] , roasted ^[22]
208	trans-Anethole	/	1290	anissed-like	Roasted ^[22,24]

^a Retention indices (RIs) relative to the *n*-alkanes in the polar (DB-wax) and non-polar (DB-5) columns documented in the NIST 14 database. "/", no data found.

^b Odor descriptions were referred to the references of Nos. writing in this table. "/", no data found.

^c The Nos. in the brackets are the references Nos. listed in the supplementary file 1.



Fig. 3. Venn diagram of volatile compounds produced by different cooking methods of pork.

treatments before sous vide cooking can improve the appearance and palatability and enhance the usability of pork loin (Cho et al., 2021). Another study also indicated that vacuum conditions had no impact on any of the study variables of pork cooking (Sánchez del Pulgar, Gázquez, & Ruiz-Carrascal, 2012).

Microwave heating is a remarkable heat treatment technique, which includes lower energy consumption and shorter cooking times, preserves nutrients, and increases water retention. Compared to traditional methods, microwave heating and other techniques have been shown to reduce meat protein degradation at high heating rates, but can also lead to the deterioration of sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar proteins, resulting in increased texture hardness (Khalid et al., 2023). The effects of four cooking methods, including boiling, roasting, microwave heating, and frying, on the volatile flavor components of pork were studied and compared (Hur et al., 2014). The microwave heating method has a high content of total volatile flavor compounds but a low content of esters. During microwave reheating of pork cake patties, the contents of aldehydes, ketones, alcohols, and heterocyclic compounds initially increased and then decreased. However, prolonged microwave reheating can significantly reduce the total amount of volatile flavor compounds (Zhang et al., 2020).

High-pressure technology is a new non-thermal and eco-friendly method that can extend the shelf life and retain the intrinsic nutritional value and sensory quality of raw materials. High-pressure technology did not affect the color and flavor of cooked pork meat (Sazonova, Galoburda, Gramatina, & Straumite, 2018), and its effect was not affected by the amount, shape, or size of food materials (Khalid et al., 2023; Xu, Zhang, Wang, & Bhandari, 2021). Combining highpressure technology with heat treatment at a specific temperature can not only improve the quality degradation of food during storage but also enhance the hardness of food samples (Lee, Choi, & Jun 2016). After high-pressure treatment combined with heat treatment, the number of compounds in the pork sample increased, and the stronger the treatment conditions, the more the amount of compounds increased, and the greater the change in the sample's flavor. Under the same pressure or temperature, the total peak area of volatile substances in the sample increased with increasing temperature or pressure. The increase in the peak areas of aldehydes, ketones, and alcohols was the primary reason for the increase in the total peak area (Huang, Li, Gong, & Li, 2018).

4. Sources of flavor compounds in pork

Raw meat has a faint odor, and the most volatile compounds present in raw meat are carboxylic acids, ketones, alcohols, and other compounds with low carbon content (Ramírez et al., 2004; Soncin, Chiesa, Cantoni, & Biondi, 2007). However, raw meat contains a wealth of nonvolatile precursor compounds that generate the characteristic meat flavor through a series of reactions influenced by temperature (Kosowska et al., 2017). By examining the aroma dynamic changes of the Dongpo pork dish model throughout the production process, it was discovered that the number of volatile compounds increased as the processing deepened. Frying and steaming had the greatest impact on the aroma composition of Dongpo pork dishes. After frying and steaming, alcohols and aldehydes significantly increased, while hydrocarbons significantly decreased. In all three stages, alcohols and aldehydes had the highest relative content, followed by esters, furans, and pyrazines. Alcohols were highest during the frying stage, whereas aldehydes were higher in the fried samples but relatively lower in the pork after steaming (Li et al., 2022).

Studies have demonstrated that high-temperature heat treatments, such as boiling, roasting, and frying, can alter the perception of specific odors in pork (Peñaranda et al., 2017) or directly modify the chemical reaction between flavor precursors, consequently impacting the overall meat flavour (Gao et al., 2023). Generally, volatile organic compounds produced by lipid degradation are positively influenced by cooking temperature but negatively influenced by cooking duration. Amino acids and volatile organic compounds produced by the Maillard reaction are positively influenced by both factors (Del Pulgar, Roldan, & Ruiz-Carrascal, 2013).

Regardless of whether pork is stewed, smoked, or fried, aldehydes are the most abundant and varied compounds present in cooked pork. Octanal (fatty and fruity) (Dang et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2021), nonanal (fatty aroma and fruity taste) (Guo et al., 2021; Lammers, Dietze, & Ternes, 2009; Wang et al., 2022), and (E)-2-undecenal (sweet, fruity) (Lammers et al., 2009) are primarily derived from the oxidation of oleic acid, whereas hexanal (fatty aroma and fruity taste) (Dang et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2021; Lammers et al., 2009; Li et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022), (E)-2-nonenal (tallowy, cucumber-like) (Lammers et al., 2009), and (E, E)-2,4-decadienal (fried and oily aromas) (Dang et al., 2016; Lammers et al., 2009) are mainly derived from the oxidation of linoleic acid. Among these, hexanal is typically regarded as a useful indicator of oxidation levels (Guo et al., 2021). Some aldehydes are also produced via the Strecker degradation of the amino acid. Phenylacetaldehyde (rose odor) (Lammers et al., 2009), 3-methylbutanal (malty and chocolate odors) (Takakura et al., 2014; Xie, Sun, Zheng, & Wang, 2008), and 2-methylbutanal (Aaslyng & Schäfer, 2008) are produced through the Strecker degradation of phenylalanine, leucine, and isoleucine. Furfural (Lan et al., 2010) is the primary pyrolysis product of cellulose, which imparts a woody, baked goods, and caramel aroma to pork. Aldehydes are crucial contributors to the flavor of pork due to their low odor threshold. 3-(Methylthio)-propanal is a product of the Strecker degradation of the methylthioprotein (Aaslyng & Schäfer, 2008).

Alcohols are important volatile compounds in foods with mild characteristic flavors, primarily derived from lipid oxidation and substance degradation. Most alcohol compounds have a higher threshold and contribute less to flavor; however, 1-octene-3-ol (mushroom flavor) (Guo et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022) has a lower threshold. 1-octene-3-ol is mainly obtained by the oxidation of arachidonic and linoleic acid. 1-pentanol (fruity and oily odors) (V. B. Hoa et al., 2021) is considered to be a product of oxidized linoleic acid derived from meat during the cooking process. PCA and SLDA analysis indicate that 1-octanal/1-octene-3-ol and nonanal are the most important groups in characterizing pork samples when distinguishing the four pig breeds (Yang, Pan, Zhu, & Zou, 2014).

Sulfur-containing, nitrogen-containing, and oxygen-containing heterocyclic compounds are typically produced by the Maillard reaction. Sulfur compounds are characteristic aromas of meat, often generated by the reaction of cysteine or glutathione with reducing sugars. For example, 3-methylthiopropanal (cooked potato odors) is formed via the Strecker degradation of methionine. Thiamine is a key precursor for 2methyl-3-furanthiol, 2-methyldithiofuran, and bis(2-methyl-3-furyl) disulfide, all of which have a 'meaty-cooked ham' aromatic note (Thomas, Mercier, Tournayre, Martin, & Berdagué, 2014, 2015). In ham processing, microbial metabolism is also a source of sulfur-containing compounds (Xu, Shui, Chen, Ma, & Feng, 2022). Oxygen-containing heterocyclic compounds are typically derived from the skeleton of reducing sugars. 2-pentylfuran (fruity and buttery odor) (Dang et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2021; Hoa et al., 2021; Wu, Zhan, Tang, Li, & Duan, 2022; Ying Xu et al., 2022) is primarily derived from the oxidation of linoleic acid. Thermal degradation of thiamine can produce compounds such as 2-methyl-3-furanthiol (meaty, cooked ham), 2-methyl-3-furan (meaty, roasted meal), bis(2-methyl-3-furyl)disulfide (cooked ham), and 2-methylthiophene (Thomas et al., 2014). Pyrazinone has a unique baking aroma and is the particular odorant of Maillard reacted peptides obtained by the reaction of dicarbonyl compounds with glycine dipeptide (Sun et al., 2022). Pyrazine compounds, such as 2,6-dimethyl-pyrazine (roast and burnt odor) (Dang et al., 2016; Lammers et al., 2009) and 3-ethyl-2,5-dimethylpyrazine (Tamura, Iwatoh, Miyaura, Asikin, & Kusano, 2022), are characterized by a baking flavor and are a major byproduct of the Maillard reaction in the smoking process. 2,5-dimethyl-3-(3-methylbutyl)pyrazine can also be formed from leucine and lysine.

Phenolic compounds are ideal materials that form through the pyrolysis and oxidation of lignin at low temperatures (200–400 $^{\circ}$ C), imparting strong woody, pungent, and smoky characteristics. They are the primary cause of the unique smoky aroma and taste of bacon (Guo et al., 2021) and are commonly found in smoked foods, such as guaiacol (Pu et al., 2020), 3,5-dimethoxyphenol (Pu et al., 2020), 2,6-dimethylphenol (Pu et al., 2021). Moreover, the threshold values of guaiacol and 4-ethylguaiacol are relatively low, which accounts for the strong smoky flavor in smoked foods.

Most methyl ketones in cooked meat, such as 3-hydroxy-2-butanone, 2,3-butanedione, and 2-heptanone (Dang et al., 2016), may arise from lipid degradation and be recognized as precursors to the fatty aroma associated with meat products. 2,3-octanedione (creamy and fatty-like flavor) (Wu et al., 2022) is the characteristic component of pork aroma.

Hydrocarbons are generally believed to be products derived from lipid oxidation or Strecker degradation of amino acids, such as toluene (Hoa et al., 2021) and 1,3-xylene (Hoa et al., 2021), which may originate from the Strecker degradation of amino acids. Due to the high odor detection threshold of hydrocarbons, they contribute less to the flavor of cooked meat (Wu et al., 2022). Esters have a minimal impact on pork flavor, but ethyl acetate is considered a significant flavor substance in dry-cured ham (Dang et al., 2016).

5. Conclusions

The findings presented in this review show that the majority of aroma compounds in pork were from the Maillard reaction, lipid degradation, and the lipid-Maillard interaction. Among the different cooking methods, steaming, boiling, and stewing, baking, smoking, panfrying, stir-frying and deep frying have a major influence on the volatile composition of pork. In total, 208 odorants were summarized in pork processed by various cooking methods based on the relevant literatures, including aldehydes, ketones, alcohols, acids, esters, sulfur-containing or nitrogen-containing compounds. Nevertheless, these compounds have different odor-thresholds, and the extent to which they contribute to the aroma of pork is unknown, so further research is needed. In addition to traditional processing methods, many new processing technologies, such as ohmic heating and irradiation, are increasingly being applied to pork processing. With respect to these processing methods, the formation mechanisms of aroma compounds in these processes should be further studied.

Informed consent

Not applicable.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Shuwei Wang: Conceptualization, Software, Methodology, Writing – original draft. Haitao Chen: Project administration, Writing – review & editing, Validation. Jie Sun: Validation, Writing – review & editing. Ning Zhang: Formal analysis. Shuqi Wang: Validation. Baoguo Sun: Supervision.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

The present work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (No. 32072347).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2023.100884.

References

- Aaslyng, M. D., & Schäfer, A. (2008). The effect of free fatty acids on the odour of pork investigated by sensory profiling and GC-O-MS. *European Food Research and Technology*, 226(5), 937–948. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-007-0647-3
- Ajandouz, E. H., Tchiakpe, L. S., Ore, F. D., Benajiba, A., & Puigserver, A. (2001). Effects of pH on Caramelization and Maillard Reaction Kinetics in Fructose-Lysine Model Systems. *Journal of Food Science*, 66(7), 926–931. https://ift.onlinelibrary.wiley.com /doi/10.1111/i.1365-2621.2001.tb08213.x.
- Bai, S., Wang, Y., Luo, R., Shen, F., Bai, H., & Ding, D. (2021). Formation of flavor volatile compounds at different processing stages of household stir-frying mutton sao zi in the northwest of China. LWT – Food Science and Technology, 139. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110735
- Baldwin, D. E. (2012). Sous vide cooking: A review. International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science, 1(1), 15–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2011.11.002
- Bejerholm, C., & Aaslyng, M. D. (2004). The influence of cooking technique and core temperature on results of a sensory analysis of pork—depending on the raw meat quality. Food Quality and Preference, 15(1), 19–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-3293(03)00018-1
- Bhaskar, M., Rene, L., Ines, G., & Jorge, R.-C. (2018). Advanced glycation end products, protein crosslinks and post translational modifications in pork subjected to different heat treatments. *Meat Science*, 145, 415–424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. meatsci.2018.07.026
- Bi, J., Li, Y., Yang, Z., Lin, Z., Chen, F., Liu, S., & Li, C. (2022). Effect of different cooking times on the fat flavor compounds of pork belly. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 46(8), e14184.
- Biller, E., Boselli, E., Obiedziński, M., Karpiński, P., & Waszkiewicz-Robak, B. (2016). The profile of volatile compounds in the outer and inner parts of broiled pork neck is strongly influenced by the acetic-acid marination conditions. *Meat Science*, 121, 292–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.06.029
- Brewer, M. S. (2009). Irradiation effects on meat flavor: A review. Meat Science, 81(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2008.07.011
- Broncano, J. M., Petrón, M. J., Parra, V., & Timón, M. L. (2009). Effect of different cooking methods on lipid oxidation and formation of free cholesterol oxidation products (COPs) in Latissimus dorsi muscle of Iberian pigs. *Meat Science*, 83(3), 431–437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2009.06.021
- Buła, M., Przybylski, W., Jaworska, D., & Kajak-Siemaszko, K. (2019). Formation of heterocyclic aromatic amines in relation to pork quality and heat treatment parameters. *Food Chemistry*, 276, 511–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foodchem.2018.10.073
- Chae, Y.-C., & Kim, C.-J. (2010). Effects of Various Cooking Methods on Quality Characteristics of Korean Boiled Pork (Soo-yuk). Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources, 30(1), 42–48. https://doi.org/10.5851/KOSFA.2010.30.1.42

- Food Chemistry: X 20 (2023) 100884
- Chang, Y., Wang, S., Chen, H., Zhang, N., & Sun, J. (2021). Characterization of the key aroma compounds in pork broth by sensory-directed flavor analysis. *Journal of Food Science*, 86(11), 4932–4945. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.15937
- Chen, W. S., Liu, D. C., & Chen, M. T. (2002). The Effect of Roasting Temperature on the Formation of Volatile Compounds in Chinese-Style Pork Jerky. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 15(3), 427–431. https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2002.427
- Cho, D. K., Lee, B., Kim, S. K., Hyeonbin, O., Kim, Y. S., & Choi, Y. M. (2021). Comparison of Quality Characteristics and Palatability between Sous-Vide Cooked Pork Loin Patties with Different Searing Treatments. *Food Science of Animal Resources*, 41(2), 214–223. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2020.e90
- Chumngoen, W., Chen, H.-Y., & Tan, F.-J. (2016). Validation of feasibility and quality of chicken breast meat cooked under various water-cooking conditions. *Animal Science Journal*, 87(12), 1536–1544. https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.12589
- Cui, H., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, L., Chen, Y., ... Wen, J. (2023). Identification of common aroma contributors and the regulated metabolites of different kinds of meat. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*, 181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. lwt.2023.114737
- Dang, Y., Liu, Z., Gao, X., Gao, X., Cao, J., & Bao, G. (2016). Determination of Volatiles in Ham by Gas Chromatography with Olfactory Detection. *International Journal of Food Engineering*, 12(4), 323–332. https://doi.org/10.1515/jife-2015-0149
- Davidek, T., Marabi, A., Mauroux, O., Bauwens, I., & Kraehenbuehl, K. (2018). Preparation of activated flavor precursor DFG, N-(1-deoxy-1-fructosylglycine) by combination of vacuum evaporation and closed system heating steps. *Food Chemistry*, 244, 177–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.10.013
- Del Pulgar, J. S., Roldan, M., & Ruiz-Carrascal, J. (2013). Volatile Compounds Profile of Sous-Vide Cooked Pork Cheeks as Affected by Cooking Conditions (Vacuum Packaging, Temperature and Time). *Molecules*, 18(10), 12538–12547. https://doi. org/10.3390/molecules181012538
- Domínguez, R., Gómez, M., Fonseca, S., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2014). Influence of thermal treatment on formation of volatile compounds, cooking loss and lipid oxidation in foal meat. LWT – Food Science and Technology, 58(2), 439–445. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.lwt.2014.04.006
- Fu, Y., Zhang, Y., Soladoye, O. P., & Aluko, R. E. (2020). Maillard reaction products derived from food protein-derived peptides: Insights into flavor and bioactivity. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 60(20), 3429–3442. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/10408398.2019.1691500
- Gao, H., Yang, F., Zhu, B., Yin, S., Fu, Y., Li, Y., ... Xu, K. (2023). Optimization of HS-SPME-GC-MS for the Determination of Volatile Flavor Compounds in Ningxiang Pork. Foods, 12(2), 297. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12020297
- Guo, J., Wang, Q., Chen, C., Yu, H., & Xu, B. (2021). Effects of different smoking methods on sensory properties, free amino acids and volatile compounds in bacon. *Journal of* the Science of Food and Agriculture, 101(7), 2984–2993. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jsfa.10931
- Han, D., Zhang, C.-H., & Fauconnier, M.-L. (2021). Effect of Seasoning Addition on Volatile Composition and Sensory Properties of Stewed Pork. *Foods*, 10(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10010083
- Han, D., Zhang, C.-H., Fauconnier, M.-L., Jia, W., Wang, J.-F., Hu, F.-F., & Xie, D.-W. (2021). Characterization and comparison of flavor compounds in stewed pork with different processing methods. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*, 144, Article 111229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.111229
- Han, D., Zhang, C.-H., Fauconnier, M.-L., & Mi, S. (2020). Characterization and differentiation of boiled pork from Tibetan, Sanmenxia and Duroc × (Landrac × Yorkshire) pigs by volatiles profiling and chemometrics analysis. Food Research International, 130, Article 108910. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108910
- Hoa, V. B., Seol, K., Seo, H., Kang, S., Kim, Y., Seong, P., ... Cho, S. (2021). Investigation of Physicochemical and Sensory Quality Differences in Pork Belly and Shoulder Butt Cuts with Different Quality Grades. *Food Science of Animal Resources*, 41(2), 224–236. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2020.e91
- Hung, Y.-T., Lee, Y.-T., Inbaraj, B. S., Sridhar, K., & Chen, B.-H. (2021). Analysis and formation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and cholesterol oxidation products in thin slices of dried pork during processing. *Food Chemistry*, 353. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129474
- Huang, Y., Li, T., Gong, D., & Li, F. (2018). Effect of Combined Thermal and High Pressure Treatment on Flavor of Pork (in Chinese with English abstract). Food Science, 39(2), 227–232. https://doi.org/10.7506/spkx1002-6630-201802036
- Hur, S. J., Lee, S. Y., Moon, S., & Lee, S. J. (2014). In Vitro Effects of Cooking Methods on Digestibility of Lipids and Formation of Cholesterol Oxidation Products in Pork. *Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources*, 34(3), 280–286. https://doi.org/ 10.5851/kosfa.2014.34.3.280
- Ivic, M., Tomovic, V., Jokanovic, M., Skaljac, S., & Sojic, B. (2019). The influence of cooking methods and juniper essential oil on lipid oxidation in pork chops. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 333(1), Article 012064. https: //iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1755-1315/333/1/012064.
- Jiang, S., Xue, D., Zhang, Z., Shan, K., Ke, W., Zhang, M., ... Li, C. (2022). Effect of Sousvide cooking on the quality and digestion characteristics of braised pork. *Food Chemistry*, 375, Article 131683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.131683
- Khalid, W., Maggiolino, A., Kour, J., Arshad, M. S., Aslam, N., Afzal, M. F., ... Korma, S. A. (2023). Dynamic alterations in protein, sensory, chemical, and oxidative properties occurring in meat during thermal and non-thermal processing techniques: A comprehensive review. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 9. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fnut.2022.1057457
- Kim, S. Y., Shin, H. W., Kim, G. H., Kim, Y.-Y., Kang, M.-J., & Shin, H.-S. (2022). Risk Assessment and Evaluation of Analytical Method of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) for Deep-Fat Fried Pork Products in Korea. *Foods*, 11(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11111618

Lammers, M., Dietze, K., & Ternes, W. (2009). A comparison of the volatile profiles of frying European and Australian wild boar meat with industrial genotype pork by dynamic Headspace-GC/MS analysis. *Journal of Muscle Foods*, 20(3), 255–274. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4573.2009.00146.x

Lan, X., Liu, P., Xia, S., Jia, C., Mukunzi, D., Zhang, X., ... Xiao, Z. (2010). Temperature effect on the non-volatile compounds of Maillard reaction products derived from xylose-soybean peptide system: Further insights into thermal degradation and crosslinking. *Food Chemistry*, 120(4), 967–972. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foodchem.2009.11.033

Lee, S. H., Choi, W., & Jun, S. (2016). Conventional and Emerging Combination Technologies for Food Processing. *Food Engineering Reviews*, 8(4), 414–434. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12393-016-9145-3

Li, W., Zheng, L., Xiao, Y., Li, L., Wang, N., Che, Z., & Wu, T. (2022). Insight into the aroma dynamics of Dongpo pork dish throughout the production process using electronic nose and GC×GC-MS. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*, 169, Article 113970. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2022.113970

Liu, S., Sun, H., Ma, G., Zhang, T., Wang, L., Pei, H., ... Gao, L. (2022). Insights into flavor and key influencing factors of Maillard reaction products: A recent update. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.973677

Liu, X., Xia, B., Hu, L.-T., Ni, Z.-J., Thakur, K., & Wei, Z.-J. (2020). Maillard conjugates and their potential in food and nutritional industries: A review. *Food Frontiers*, 1(4), 382–397. https://doi.org/10.1002/fft2.43

Meinert, L., Andersen, L. T., Bredie, W. L. P., Bjergegaard, C., & Aaslyng, M. D. (2007). Chemical and sensory characterisation of pan-fried pork flavour: Interactions between raw meat quality, ageing and frying temperature. *Meat Science*, 75(2), 229–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.07.004

Mottram, D. S., & Elmore, J. S. (2002). Novel Sulfur Compounds from Lipid-Maillard Interactions in Cooked Meat. In G. A. Reineccius, & T. A. Reineccius (Vol. Eds.), Heteroatomic Aroma Compounds. Vol. 826. Heteroatomic Aroma Compounds (pp. 101-109). United States of America: American Chemical Society. https://pubs.acs. org/doi/abs/10.1021/bk-2002-0826.ch005.

Özcan, A. U., & Bozkurt, H. (2015). Physical and Chemical Attributes of a Ready-to-Eat Meat Product during the Processing: Effects of Different Cooking Methods. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 18(11), 2422–2432. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/10942912.2014.982256

Pathare, P. B., & Roskilly, A. P. (2016). Quality and Energy Evaluation in Meat Cooking. Food Engineering Reviews, 8(4), 435–447. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12393-016-9143-5

Peñaranda, I., Garrido, M. D., Egea, M., Díaz, P., Álvarez, D., Oliver, M. A., & Linares, M. B. (2017). Sensory perception of meat from entire male pigs processed by different heating methods. *Meat Science*, 134, 98–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. meatsci.2017.07.021

Polak, M. L., Demšar, L., Zahija, I., & Polak, T. (2020). Influence of temperature on the formation of heterocyclic aromatic amines in pork steaks. *Czech Journal of Food Sciences*, 38(4), 248–254. https://doi.org/10.17221/144/2019-CJFS.

Pu, D., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., Sun, B., Ren, F., Chen, H., & Tang, Y. (2020). Characterization of the Key Aroma Compounds in Traditional Hunan Smoke-Cured Pork Leg (Larou, THSL) by Aroma Extract Dilution Analysis (AEDA), Odor Activity Value (OAV), and Sensory Evaluation Experiments. *Foods*, 9(4), 413. https://doi. org/10.3390/foods9040413

Ramírez, M. R., Estévez, M., Morcuende, D., & Cava, R. (2004). Effect of the Type of Frying Culinary Fat on Volatile Compounds Isolated in Fried Pork Loin Chops by Using SPME-GC-MS. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 52(25), 7637–7643. https://doi.org/10.1021/if049207s

Rodriguez-Estrada, M. T., Penazzi, G., Caboni, M. F., Bertacco, G., & Lercker, G. (1997). Effect of different cooking methods on some lipid and protein components of hamburgers. *Meat Science*, 45(3), 365–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740 (96)00123-4

Rotola-Pukkila, M. K., Pihlajaviita, S. T., Kaimainen, M. T., & Hopia, A. I. (2015). Concentration of Umami Compounds in Pork Meat and Cooking Juice with Different Cooking Times and Temperatures. *Journal of Food Science*, 80(12), C2711–C2716. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13127

Lin, R.-R., Chen, J.-Q., Liu, S.-D., & Guo, Z.-B. (2021). Research progress on the formation and reduction and control of harmful substances in food during cooking (in Chinese with English abstract). *Journal of Food Safety and Quality*, 12. https://doi. org/10.19812/j.cnki.jfsq11-5956/ts.2021.22.040.

Sánchez del Pulgar, J., Gázquez, A., & Ruiz-Carrascal, J. (2012). Physico-chemical, textural and structural characteristics of sous-vide cooked pork cheeks as affected by vacuum, cooking temperature, and cooking time. *Meat Science*, 90(3), 828–835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.11.024

Sazonova, S., Galoburda, R., Gramatina, I., & Straumite, E. (2018). High pressure effect on the sensory and physical attributes of pork. *Research for Rural Development*, 1, 227–232. http://doi.org/10.22616/rrd.24.2018.036.

Shahidi, F., & Hossain, A. (2022). Role of Lipids in Food Flavor Generation. *Molecules*, 27 (15), 5014. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27155014

da Silva, F. L. F., de Lima, J. P. S., Melo, L. S., da Silva, Y. S. M., Gouveia, S. T., Lopes, G. S., & Matos, W. O. (2017). Comparison between boiling and vacuum cooking (sous-vide) in the bioaccessibility of minerals in bovine liver samples. *Food Research International*, 100, 566–571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foodres.2017.07.054

Sohail, A., Al-Dalali, S., Wang, J., Xie, J., Shakoor, A., Asimi, S., ... Patil, P. (2022). Aroma compounds identified in cooked meat: A review. *Food Research International*, 157, Article 111385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2022.111385

Soladoye, O. P., Shand, P., Dugan, M. E. R., Gariépy, C., Aalhus, J. L., Estévez, M., & Juárez, M. (2017). Influence of cooking methods and storage time on lipid and protein oxidation and heterocyclic aromatic amines production in bacon. Food Research International, 99, 660-669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.06.029

Soncin, S., Chiesa, L. M., Cantoni, C., & Biondi, P. A. (2007). Preliminary study of the volatile fraction in the raw meat of pork, duck and goose. *Journal of Food Composition* and Analysis, 20(5), 436–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2006.09.001

Song, S., Tang, Q., Fan, L., Xu, X., Song, Z., Hayat, K., ... Wang, Y. (2017). Identification of pork flavour precursors from enzyme-treated lard using Maillard model system assessed by GC–MS and partial least squares regression. *Meat Science*, 124, 15–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.10.009

Sun, A., Wu, W., Soladoye, O. P., Aluko, R. E., Bak, K. H., Fu, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Maillard reaction of food-derived peptides as a potential route to generate meat flavor compounds: A review. Food Research International, 151. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110823

Takakura, Y., Osanai, H., Masuzawa, T., Wakabayashi, H., & Nishimura, T. (2014). Characterization of the key aroma compounds in pork soup stock by using an aroma extract dilution analysis. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 78(1), 124–129. https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2014.877184

Tamura, Y., Iwatoh, S., Miyaura, K., Asikin, Y., & Kusano, M. (2022). Metabolomic profiling reveals the relationship between taste-related metabolites and roasted aroma in aged pork. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*, 155, Article 112928. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112928

Thomas, C., Mercier, F., Tournayre, P., Martin, J.-L., & Berdagué, J.-L. (2014). Identification and origin of odorous sulfur compounds in cooked ham. Food Chemistry, 155, 207–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.01.029

Thomas, C., Mercier, F., Tournayre, P., Martin, J.-L., & Berdagué, J.-L. (2015). Effect of added thiamine on the key odorant compounds and aroma of cooked ham. *Food Chemistry*, 173, 790–795. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.10.078

Timón, M. L., Carrapiso, A. I., Jurado, Á., & van de Lagemaat, J. (2004). A study of the aroma of fried bacon and fried pork loin. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 84(8), 825–831. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.1740

Wang, B., Li, H., Huang, Z., Kong, B., Liu, Q., Wang, H., ... Xia, X. (2021). Dynamic changes in the qualities and heterocyclic aromatic amines of roasted pork induced by frying temperature and time. *Meat Science*, 176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. meatsci.2021.108457

Wang, C. (2009). Study on lipid oxidation and anti-oxidation of dry cured meat products during processing (in Chinese with English abstract). Nanjing Agricultural University. https://kns.cnki.net/kcms2/article/abstract? v=205crrwph27R0N7LEw404UQpw3jCVoxCCJ32_ 2N6YY0JYND3Qmwas9Usz7poB21In-TsqiErNER4HNpmHvpwmf1jFqEmMJ0SM0T-PiQvjVp27qeQ21XKjFU2W74ou1k0A3KAj1KNLDM

=&uniplatform=NZKPT&language=CHS.
 Wang, M., Hou, L., Liang, L., Zhao, J., Zhao, M., & Xie, J. (2016). Analysis of the aroma compounds from boiling pork based on simultaneous distillation and solvent extraction (in Chinese with English abstract). *Food and Fermentation Industries*, 42(9), 184–191. https://doi.org/10.13995/j.cnki.11-1802/ts.201609032.

Wang, R., Jiang, W., Wang, Q., Chen, J., Ye, X., & Liu, D. (2016). Effects of Different Cooking Methods on Lipid Oxidation and Volatile Compound Profile of Pork (in Chinese with English abstract). Modern, 175–182+312 Food Science and Technology, 32(1) https://doi.org/10.13982/j.mfst.1673-9078.2016.1.028.

Wang, X., Xu, R., Tong, X., Zeng, J., Chen, M., Lin, Z., ... Mo, D. (2022). Characterization of different meat flavor compounds in Guangdong small-ear spotted and Yorkshire pork using two-dimensional gas chromatography–time-of-flight mass spectrometry and multi-omics. *LWT – Food Science and Technology*, 169, Article 114010. https:// doi.org/10.1016/i.jwt.2022.114010

Wang, Y., Song, H., Zhang, Y., Tang, J., & Yu, D. (2016). Determination of aroma compounds in pork broth produced by different processing methods. *Flavour and Fragrance Journal*, 31(4), 319–328. https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.3320
Wang, Z., Cai, R., Yang, X., Gao, Z., Yuan, Y., & Yue, T. (2021). Changes in aroma

Wang, Z., Cai, R., Yang, X., Gao, Z., Yuan, Y., & Yue, T. (2021). Changes in aroma components and potential Maillard reaction products during the stir-frying of pork slices. *Food Control*, 123, Article 107855. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foodcont 2020 107855

Wu, W., Zhan, J., Tang, X., Li, T., & Duan, S. (2022). Characterization and identification of pork flavor compounds and their precursors in Chinese indigenous pig breeds by volatile profiling and multivariate analysis. *Food Chemistry*, 385, Article 132543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.132543

Xie, J., Sun, B., Zheng, F., & Wang, S. (2008). Volatile flavor constituents in roasted pork of Mini-pig. Food Chemistry, 109(3), 506–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foodchem.2007.12.074

Xu, J., Zhang, M., Wang, Y., & Bhandari, B. (2021). Novel Technologies for Flavor Formation in the Processing of Meat Products: A Review. Food Reviews International, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2021.1926480

Xu, Y., Chen, Q., Wu, P., Xu, X., Wang, K., & Pan, S. (2011). Characterization of Aroma Components in Stewed Pork Broth by GC-O (in Chinese with English abstract). *Food Science*, 32(18), 274–277. https://www.spkx.net.cn/CN/10.7506/spkx1002-6630-201118061.

Xu, Y., Shui, M., Chen, D., Ma, X., & Feng, T. (2022). Optimization of Jinhua Ham Classification Method Based on Volatile Flavor Substances and Determination of Key Odor Biomarkers. *Molecules*, 27(20), 7087. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules27207087

Yang, J., Pan, J., Zhu, S., & Zou, Y. (2014). Application of Pca and Slda Methods for the Classification and Differentiation of Cooked Pork From Chinese Indigenous Pig Breeds and A Hybrid Pig Breed. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 17(7), 1518–1528. https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2012.723234

Yang, Z., Lu, R., Song, H., Zhang, Y., Tang, J., & Zhou, N. (2017). Effect of Different Cooking Methods on the Formation of Aroma Components and Heterocyclic Amines in Pork Loin. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 41(3), e12981.

- Yuan, H., Xu, L., Chang, M., Meng, J., Feng, C., Geng, X., ... Liu, Z. (2022). Effects of different cooking methods on volatile flavor compounds, nutritional constituents, and antioxidant activities of Clitocybe squamulosa. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 9. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.1017014
- Zamora, R., & Hidalgo, F. J. (2005). Coordinate Contribution of Lipid Oxidation and Maillard Reaction to the Nonenzymatic Food Browning. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 45(1), 49–59. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408690590900117
- Zamora, R., & Hidalgo, F. J. (2011). The Maillard reaction and lipid oxidation. Lipid Technology, 23(3), 59–62. https://doi.org/10.1002/lite.201100094
- Zhang, K., Zhang, M., Zhang, Z., Li, D., Li, X., & Chen, W. (2020). Effect of Microwave Reheating Time on Warmed-Over Flavor, Lipid Oxidation and Water Distribution in Precooked Pork Patties (in Chinese with English abstract). *Food Science*, 41(9), 50–56. https://doi.org/10.7506/spkx1002-6630-20190816-173
- Zhang, Y., Yu, C., Mei, J., & Wang, S. (2013). Formation and mitigation of heterocyclic aromatic amines in fried pork. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A*, 30(9), 1501–1507. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2013.809627
- Zhao, J., Wang, M., Xie, J., Zhao, M., Hou, L., Liang, J., ... Cheng, J. (2017). Volatile flavor constituents in the pork broth of black-pig. *Food Chemistry*, 226, 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.01.011