Aortic root transposition of a percutaneously placed axillary left ventricular assist device in a patient awaiting heart transplantation

Smit Paghdar, MD,^a Smruti Desai, MD,^a Jose Ruiz, MD,^a Si Pham, MD,^b and Rohan Goswami, MD,^a Jacksonville, Fla

Disclosures: RG is a consultant for Abiomed. All other authors have no relevant financial disclosures.

Drs Paghdar and Desai contributed equally to this article and should be considered first authors.

Received for publication March 8, 2023; revisions received May 13, 2023; accepted for publication May 30, 2023; available ahead of print June 8, 2023.

Address for reprints: Rohan Goswami, MD, Department of Advanced Heart Failure and Transplant, Mayo Clinic in Florida, 4500 San Pablo Rd S, Jacksonville, FL 32246 (E-mail: Goswami.rohan@mayo.edu).

JTCVS Techniques 2023;20:105-10

2666-2507/\$36.00

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association for Thoracic Surgery. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xjtc.2023.05.016

Advanced heart failure is more prevalent due to increasing survival.¹ The Impella 5.5 (I5.5; Abiomed) is an axillary temporary left ventricular assist device allowing ambulation. The use of other support devices can limit ambulation, posing a risk for increased complications and frailty.²⁻⁴ The intra-aortic balloon pump (Getinge), peripheral venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (CardioHelp), TandemHeart (LivaNova), and Impella CP (Abiomed) can severely restrict rehabilitation potential, due to femoral placement. Acknowledging and reporting advances in ambulatory practices and potential complications is critical. We describe a case of I5.5 malposition and highlight early single-center surgical techniques and safe rehabilitation practices in this high-risk population. The institutional review board or equivalent ethics committee of the Mayo Clinic, Florida, approved as exempt for the study and publication of data on April 20, 2022 with the approval number 22-004000. The patient provided informed consent for the publication of anonymized information to be published in this article.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 65-year-old (body mass index 34 kg/m²) man with diabetes (A1C 6.6%) presented with acute shortness of breath and a history of nonischemic cardiomyopathy, New York Heart Association class 3b, American Heart Association stage D, Interagency Registry for Mechanically Assisted Circulatory Support profile 5, and Stevenson Profile C on



Check for updates

Anteroposterior chest x-ray showing Impella 5.5 malposition into ascending aorta (*arrow*).

CENTRAL MESSAGE

Increased use of Impella 5.5 highlights the need for a protocolized approach to surgical placement, device securement, and physical therapy.

home milrinone 0.375 μ g/kg/min. Findings of an echocardiogram showed an ejection fraction of 14%, left ventricular end-diastolic dimension of 78 mm, and a volume of 376 mL (Figure 1). Medications are bumetanide 4 mg twice daily, spironolactone 50 mg daily, and warfarin 5 mg daily. Dysuria limited sodium-glucose-like transport 2 inhibitor use. Beta-blockers resulted in fatigue and hypotension. He had deranged hemodynamics on pulmonary artery catheterization, and an increase of milrinone to 0.5 μ g/kg/min was ineffective, resulting in his deterioration to New York Heart Association class 4 (Table E1).

15.5 was implanted via right axillary cut-down (Figure 2, *left*). A 10-mm woven Hemashield graft (Getinge) was sewn onto the axillary artery (AA). Under fluoroscopic guidance, 15.5 was introduced to the AA and left ventricle. The device was secured, and skin was closed in 2 layers with a VICRYL suture (Ethicon). External securement was at 49 cm using the Abiomed-suggested 3-point anchor system (Figure E1).

On support day 6, the I5.5 console lost its left ventricular signal. The patient had no immediate signs of decompensation, with continued milrinone. A radiograph of the chest demonstrated I5.5 transposed in the ascending aorta

From the ^aDivision of Heart Failure and Transplant, and ^bDepartment of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Mayo Clinic in Florida, Jacksonville, Fla.

The *Journal* policy requires editors and reviewers to disclose conflicts of interest and to decline handling or reviewing manuscripts for which they may have a conflict of interest. The editors and reviewers of this article have no conflicts of interest.



FIGURE 1. Two-dimensional echocardiography before Impella placement. *2D*, Two-dimensional.

(Figure 2, *right*). Despite the patient being systemically heparinized with continuous heparin and therapeutic activated partial thromboplastin time (goal range 50-70), a graft embolectomy was performed, and multiple clots were recovered. The patient underwent a failed attempt at rewiring and repositioning, receiving a new I5.5. The decision to place a new I5.5 was complex, given a computed tomography scan demonstrating a dilated aortic root of 4.69 cm (Figure E2). He remained supported for 30 days before undergoing an uncomplicated heart transplant.

DISCUSSION

Our experience demonstrates the potential benefit of the I5.5 as bridge therapy. We present the potential risk of axillary placed devices—specifically, in our case, transposition during rehabilitation. The potential mechanism of aortic transposition is due to numerous factors: physical therapy, body habitus, enlarged aortic root, or overextension of the axilla. This patient's enlarged aortic root required more "slack" within the I5.5 driveline, increasing the chance of movement. Given an increase in axillary mechanical circulatory support use after the 2018 United Network for Organ Sharing allocation changes, we outline surgical strategies for placement/replacement and potential risks during rehabilitation.³⁻⁵

Axillary Surgical Techniques, Risks, and Benefits

As device use expands, the surgical approach for I5.5 has also evolved.^{E1} Implantation via the AA is safe, feasible, and well-understood. Published literature highlights the benefits of AA placement with minimal calcification and alignment with the ventricular axis.^{E2} Risks of access-related complications center on neurovascular, thrombotic, and infectious. Neurovascular involvement is often related to brachial plexus injury, mimicking cerebrovascular accidents, requiring ruling out stroke.^{E3,E4} Dissection of the AA and infection are rare.^{E5}

Rehabilitation Strategies

I5.5 ambulatory protocols are not well established due to limited use beyond 14 days.^{E6-E8} This limited experience highlights the need to develop standardized protocols and assessment tools before explant or organ-replacement therapy. All patients with I5.5 devices at our institution ambulate within 24 hours of device placement. We use multiple avenues for rehabilitation: resistance bands, hallway ambulation, and in-room mobile treadmills. We restrict pushing, pulling, or lifting within the first 72 hours and avoid abduction beyond 45°. Increased ambulation is expected and may require supervision to manage alarms on the I5.5 or infusion pumps. Fear of Impella migration should not limit patient rehabilitation and is rare when protocols are implemented. Following the recommendation by the device manufacturer,



FIGURE 2. Anteroposterior radiograph of the chest demonstrating Impella 5.5 placement in left ventricular cavity (*left*) and Impella 5.5 malposition into ascending aorta during physical therapy (*right*). *Arrow* indicates Impella 5.5 device. *Implantable cardioverter defibrillator; + peripherally inserted central catheter line (*PICC*).

we have had no issues with the device and support-line entanglement or accidental falls.

CONCLUSIONS

With the increased use of Impella 5.5, more complications may be observed—we highlight the need for standardizing surgical placement, device securement, and physical therapy in patients waiting for heart transplantation.

References

 Hellermann JP, Jacobsen SJ, Gersh BJ, Rodeheffer RJ, Reeder GS, Roger VL. Heart failure after myocardial infarction: a review. *Am J Med.* 2002;113:324-30.

- Bottiger BA, Nicoara A, Snyder LD, Wischmeyer PE, Schroder JN, Patel CB, et al. Frailty in the end-stage lung disease or heart failure patient: implications for the perioperative transplant clinician. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2019;33: 1382-92.
- Peura J, Colvin-Adams M, Francis G, Grady K, Hoffman T, Jessup M, et al. Recommendations for the use of mechanical circulatory support: device strategies and patient selection. *Circulation*. 2012;126:2648-67.
- Cacciatore F, Amarelli C, Ferrara N, Della Valle E, Curcio F, Liguori I, et al. Protective effect of physical activity on mortality in older adults with advanced chronic heart failure: a prospective observational study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2019;26:481-8.
- McCabe JM, Kaki AA, Pinto DS, Kirtane AJ, Nicholson WJ, Grantham JA, et al. Percutaneous axillary access for placement of microaxial ventricular support devices: the Axillary Access Registry to Monitor safety (ARMS). *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2021;14:e009657.

E-Rreferences

- E1. Amat-Santos IJ, Varela-Falcón LH, Abraham WT. Current and future percutaneous strategies for the treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2017;70:382-90.
- E2. Haddad O, Jacob S, Ung RL, Goswami RM, Patel PC, Pham SM, et al. Impella flow pump reinsertion after axillary graft thrombectomy: technical points in replacing axillary Impella. *SAGE Open Med Case Rep.* 2021;9:2050313X 211032401.
- E3. Manoly I, Uzzaman M, Karangelis D, Kuduvalli M, Georgakarakos E, Quarto C, et al. Neuroprotective strategies with circulatory arrest in open aortic surgery—a meta-analysis. Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann. 2022;30:635-44.
- E4. Khalid N, Rogers T, Shlofmitz E, Chen Y, Khan JM, Musallam A, et al. Adverse events and modes of failure related to the Impella percutaneous left ventricular assist devices: a retrospective analysis of the MAUDE database. *EuroIntervention.* 2019;15:44-6.
- E5. Schachner T, Nagiller J, Zimmer A, Laufer G, Bonatti J. Technical problems and complications of axillary artery cannulation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2005;27: 634-7.
- E6. Nishida H, Koda Y, Kalantari S, Nguyen A, Chung B, Grinstein J, et al. Outcomes of ambulatory axillary intraaortic balloon pump as a bridge to heart transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2021;111:1264-70.
- E7. Esposito ML, Jablonski J, Kras A, Krasney S, Kapur NK. Maximum level of mobility with axillary deployment of the Impella 5.0 is associated with improved survival. *Int J Artif Organs*. 2018;41:236-9.
- E8. Rahimi RA, Skrzat J, Reddy DR, Zanni JM, Fan E, Stephens RS, et al. Physical rehabilitation of patients in the intensive care unit requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: a small case series. *Phys Ther.* 2013;93:248-55.



FIGURE E1. Photograph showing 3-point anchor technique for securement of Impella device. Image courtesy of Abiomed.



FIGURE E2. Computed tomography scan of the chest before Impella placement. AAO, Ascending aorta; LPA, left pulmonary artery; DAO, descending aorta. *Bronchus.

TABLE E1. Right heart catheterization results on milrinone 0.375 $\mu g/$ kg/min

SBP/DBP (MAP)	116/66 (83)
HR	97
CVP	12
PA SBP/PA DBP (mean)	66/33/(45)
Wedge pressure	23 (v wave 38)
Fick CO	5.5 L/min
Fick CI	2.21 L/min/m ²

SBP, Systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; CVP, central venous pressure; PA, pulmonary artery; CO, cardiac output; CI, cardiac index.